Composition, structure, and origin of the Moon

Paolo A Sossi^a, Miki Nakajima^b, and Amir Khan^{a,c}, ^aInstitute of Geochemistry and Petrology, ETH Zürich, Zurich, ZH, Switzerland; ^bDepartment of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, United States; ^cInstitute of Geophysics, ETH Zürich, Zurich, ZH, Switzerland

© 2025 Elsevier Inc. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

This chapter has been reviewed by the section editor Sujoy Mukhopadhyay.

Introduction	410
Introduction	410
dephysical consulants on the composition and interior structure of the moon	421
State of the art	421
	421
	422
Uncertainties in geophysical constraints on the lunar interior	423
Effect of manue composition on interior structure	424
Implications for core composition	426
Geochemical constraints on the composition and interior structure of the Moon	428
State of the art	428
Mantle	428
Core	429
Methods for constraining mantle and core composition	430
Composition of the Moon	432
Refractory lithophile elements	432
Volatile lithophile elements	433
Mn, Cr, and V	434
Nonvolatile siderophile elements	435
Volatile chalcophile and siderophile elements	436
Physicochemical evolution of the Moon	438
Volatile depletion	438
Core formation	445
A terrestrial origin for the Moon?	448
Timing of the formation of the Moon	450
Sm/Nd	450
Hf/W	451
Rb/Sr	453
U-Pb	454
Age of the Moon	455
Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon	456
Historical hypotheses	456
Beview of the giant impact hypothesis	457
Dynamics and predictions of the giant impact hypothesis	460
Angular momentum evolution in the Earth–Moon system	460
Nyamical constraints on the giant innact	461
Problems with as drag and the streaming instability	463
Lupar volatile loss	463
Machanisms for volatile loss from the Moon	400
Interim grades for the proposed dynamical models	403
Summary and outlook	400
Acknowledamente	400
Automotion and	407
	407

Abstract

Extensive sampling of the Moon, both directly and remotely, has afforded a unique view into its composition and structure. In the canonical model, the proto-Earth is struck by a Mars-sized body, where the Moon is derived predominantly from the impactor. However, the isotopic compositions of a variety of elements that show variability among planetary materials, initially O, but subsequently Cr and Ti, attest to a near-identical match between the composition of the Earth's mantle and the Moon. These observations, together with the low Fe content of the bulk Moon (\sim 7.5 wt%) compared to that of the Earth (33 wt%) previously inferred from geophysical observations, have spurred the development of new physical models for its

generation. Here we critically examine the geophysical and geochemical properties of the Moon in order to identify the extent to which dynamical scenarios satisfy these observations. New joint inversions of existing lunar geophysical data (mean mass, moment of inertia, and tidal response) assuming a laterally and vertically homogeneous lunar mantle show that, in all cases, a core with a radius of 300 ± 20 km (~0.8%–1.5% the mass of the Moon) is required. However, an Earth-like Mg# (0.89) in the lunar mantle results in core densities (7800 \pm 100 kg/m³) consistent with that of Fe-Ni alloy, whereas FeO-rich compositions (Mg#=0.80-0.84) require lower densities (6100 \pm 800 kg/m³). Consequently, an FeO-rich Moon implies the existence of a core with light (e.g., S, C) and/or exotic (e.g., ilmenite) components, whereas Earth's mantle-like compositions typically result in an elastically more rigid planet in contradiction with the observed tidal response and may require a partially molten layer surrounding the core. Geochemically, we use new data on mare basalts to reassess the bulk composition of the Moon for 70 elements, and show that the lunar core likely formed near 5 GPa, 2100 K, and ~1 log unit below the iron-wüstite buffer, based on the depletions of Ni, Co, Mo, and W in the lunar mantle. Moreover, the Moon is depleted relative to the Earth's mantle in elements with volatilities higher than that of Li, with this volatile loss likely having occurred at low temperatures (1400 \pm 100 K). These temperatures are also able to reproduce the extent of mass-dependent stable isotope fractionation observed in moderately volatile elements (e.g., Zn, K, Rb), provided equilibrium was attained between the vapor and the condensed phase, which, owing to the low temperatures, was likely solid. On this basis, and given the low 87 Sr/ 86 Sr of ferroan anorthosites (FANs) and high 238 U/ 204 Pb in mare volcanics, additional volatile loss relative to the Earth must have been associated with Moon formation. The identical nucleosynthetic (O, Cr, Ti) and radiogenic (W) isotope compositions of the lunar and terrestrial mantles (following subtraction of the late veneer from the latter) strongly suggest the two bodies were made from the same material, rather than from an Earth-like impactor. The W isotope homogeneity among lunar rocks, coupled with only mild ¹⁴²Nd variations, indicates that lunar mantle differentiation occurred at ~4350 Ma, providing a minimum age for the formation of the Moon, whereas Rb-Sr in FANs and Lu-Hf and Pb-Pb zircon ages point to \sim 4500 Ma. Taken together, there is no unambiguous geochemical or isotopic evidence for the role of an impactor in the formation of the Moon, implying perfect equilibration between the proto-Earth and Moon-forming material or alternative scenarios for its genesis.

Keywords

Composition; Evolution; Highlands; Mare; Moon; Origin; Structure

Key points

- The relationship between the Moon and the Earth is explored through geophysical and geochemical evidence in order to assess the plausibility of dynamical models.
- The Moon's density and moment of inertia suggest it is made largely from silicate material with only a small (~300 km radius) core.
- An Earth's mantle-like Mg# in the lunar mantle requires a core made of Fe-Ni metal, whereas lower Mg#s result in a core rich in light- and/or exotic components.
- Elemental abundances in the bulk silicate Moon are determined for 70 elements.
- Endogenous core formation caused the depletion of Ni, Co, Mo, and W in the lunar mantle at \sim 5 Pa, \sim 2100 K, and \sim Δ IW-1.
- Volatile depletion on the Moon affected elements more volatile than Li and likely occurred near 1400 K at or near equilibrium.
- The Moon is required to have formed from already volatile-depleted precursors in order to explain its present day Sr- and Pb isotope compositions, which give a lunar formation age close to 4500 Ma.
- The similarity in nucleosynthetic and radiogenic W isotope compositions as well as in elemental abundances between the Earth and Moon strongly suggest that the latter was derived from the Earth's mantle after core formation.
- Canonical giant impacts are dynamically common but struggle to account for the isotopic homogeneity between the Earth and Moon, while the frequency of half-Earth impacts depends on the accretion model invoked yet should engender isotopic similarity.
- There is no one dynamical model that satisfies all geochemical and geophysical properties of the Moon.

Introduction

As the only major satellite orbiting the inner, rocky planets, the existence of the Moon is something of an anomaly. Although of a typical size for a moon in absolute terms, its mass, 1.2% that of the Earth's, means that it is the largest with respect to that of its host of any planet in the Solar System (excepting the Pluto-Charon system). Its constitution, too, is atypical with the 1737 km-radius Moon being comprised chiefly of silicate material and only a small metallic core with a radius in the range 300–400 km (Khan et al., 2014; Matsumoto et al., 2015; Garcia et al., 2019), comprising ~1%–4% of its total mass. This is in contrast to other inner

solar system planets, and even smaller bodies such as the asteroid 4 Vesta, whose core makes up at least 18% by mass of the body (Russell et al., 2012). The high total angular momentum of the present-day Earth–Moon system, 3.5×10^{36} kgm²s⁻¹, exceeds that for the other inner planets, but lies on a correlation with mass raised to the power of 2/3 defined by the gas giants and asteroids (Fish, 1967; Hartmann and Larson, 1967). These peculiar traits imply that equally enigmatic processes played a role in the Moon's origin.

Fortunately, the 382 kg of rock samples collected during the Apollo missions between 1969 and 1972 provide concrete records by which to test the prevailing theories on the formation of the Moon (Heiken et al., 1991). These hand-collected samples are supplemented by 0.2 kg from the unmanned Luna missions of the 1970s, as well as samples from the more recent Chang'e missions, the most recent of which, Chang'e 5, collected 1.7 kg of material in late 2020. Moreover, the lunar meteorite collection, at \sim 1026 kg (Korotev and Irving, 2021), now far outweighs that returned by the Apollo missions, though the nature of the lithologies remains largely representative of those in the returned sample collection.

In situ geophysical characterization of the Moon has been instrumental in defining the nature of the lunar interior. Surface instrumentation in the form of seismometers, heat flow probes, magnetometers, and surface reflectors that were placed on the Moon by the Apollo astronauts has done much to enhance our understanding of its interior (Dickey et al., 1994; Wieczorek et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2013). More than 12,000 moonquakes were recorded over a period of ~8 yrs (1969–77) (Nakamura, 1983; Nunn et al., 2020) that have yielded information on the lunar radial seismic structure (Toksöz et al., 1974; Nakamura et al., 1982; Khan and Mosegaard, 2002; Lognonné et al., 2003; Matsumoto et al., 2015; Garcia et al., 2019), including its compositional, thermal, and mineralogical constitution (Khan et al., 2006a, 2014; Kronrod and Kuskov, 2011; Kronrod et al., 2022).

Remote missions were also instructive, notably the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (2009–present) charged with determining precise radius- and spectroscopic information using the LOLA (Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter) instrument (Smith et al., 2010), and the GRAIL mission (Zuber et al., 2013; Wieczorek et al., 2013) that made precise measurements of the gravity field of the Moon. These have been supplemented in recent years by the Chandrayaan orbiters designed primarily to spectroscopically examine the surface of the Moon (Goswami and Annadurai, 2009), the Korean Pathfinder Lunar Orbiter, as well as various payloads on the Artemis-I mission, launched in 2022.

Although the mass of the Moon had been determined to a precision of 0.5% by the end of the 19th century (see Hughes (2002), it was not until much later that Daly (1946) noted the similarity in the mean density of the Moon (modern value = 3345.56 ± 0.40 kgm⁻³) with that of the Earth's upper mantle (~3350 kgm⁻³ over Moon-relevant pressures, 0–5 GPa). Moreover, the Moon's mass is nearly homogeneously distributed in its interior; its mean normalized moment of inertia (I/MR^2) is 0.393112 \pm 0.00012 (Konopliv et al., 2001; Garcia et al., 2019), similar to, but marginally lower than that for a homogeneous sphere for which $I/MR^2 = 0.4$. To first-order, these observations permit that the Earth's mantle and Moon are made from similar material with little-to-no Fe-rich metal in its core. However, such an interpretation is non-unique, owing to the possible combinations of mineralogies that lead to similar results (e.g., Khan et al., 2006a). Nevertheless, combining multiple geophysical datasets, produce lunar models with compositions akin to that of Earth's mantle, but almost invariably with higher FeO contents (8.1 wt% for the Earth's mantle, compared with 9–13 wt%; Khan et al., 2006a; Kronrod and Kuskov, 2011; Dauphas et al., 2014, and references therein) and point to the existence of a small liquid core (radius <350 km) of either pure Fe or an Fe-Ni-X alloy with S being the most likely candidate incorporated as a light element (Hood et al., 1999; Williams et al., 2001; Khan et al., 2004, 2014; Wieczorek et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2011; Harada et al., 2014; Matsuyama et al., 2016; Morard et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2023).

On the basis of the thick anorthositic crust that forms a carapace over ~80% of the lunar surface, the Moon was thought to be enriched in refractory lithophile elements (RLE) relative to the Earth's mantle (Taylor and Bence, 1975). Downward revision of the crustal thickness in the vicinity of Apollo stations 12 and 14 from ~60 km based on early interpretation of Apollo seismic data (Toksöz et al., 1974) to ~35-40 km obtained from reanalysis of the seismic data (Khan and Mosegaard, 2002; Lognonné et al., 2003) and subsequently supported by the GRAIL mission (Wieczorek et al., 2013) implies a commensurate decrease in the abundances of the RLE, Ca, and Al, which are concentrated in plagioclase-dominated anorthosites. This result, combined with the observations that the lunar nearside is anomalously rich in Th (and by extension, other incompatible refractory elements; Prettyman et al., 2006) and the crust more porous than previously supposed (Wieczorek et al., 2013), results in no significant difference, or possibly even a deficit (Siegler et al., 2022) in the refractory lithophile element budget of the Moon relative to the Earth's mantle (Warren, 2005; Dauphas et al., 2014).

One of the key findings from the Apollo missions was the striking similarity in the chemistry of mare basalts to those of terrestrial basalts (Wänke et al., 1977; Ringwood and Kesson, 1977). This kinship extends not only to the refractory lithophile elements but, surprisingly, also to some ratios among refractory siderophile and refractory lithophile elements—for example the W/La ratio (Wänke et al., 1973, 1977)—across both mare and highlands rocks. The curiosity of this ratio is that it is also lower, by a factor of ~20, in terrestrial and lunar basalts compared to chondritic meteorites. While early models mooted that such a depletion in W may be caused by metal saturation upon eruption of mare basalts or during lunar core formation (Wänke et al., 1973), these hypotheses promptly fell out of favor owing to the consistency (within a factor of 2–3) of the depletion of other siderophile elements between terrestrial and low-Ti mare basalts (Ringwood and Kesson, 1977; Rammensee and Wänke, 1977), including P, V, Mn, and Cr (Drake et al., 1989). Not only does this concordance imply the limited influence of lunar core formation on their abundances in the lunar mantle, but it also lends strong support to the idea that the Moon was derived from the Earth's mantle after its core formed. The abundances of Ni and Co, which are lower in lunar mare magmatic products relative to terrestrial oceanic basalts, are exceptions. Extraction into a lunar core at relatively oxidizing (Ni-rich, 1 log_{10} unit below the iron-wüstite buffer, $\Delta IW-1$) conditions at an assumed 1 bar pressure was proposed by Delano (1986) and later by O'Neill (1991) to account for this depletion. More recent

models show that lunar core formation must have occurred at pressures similar to those found at the center of the Moon (\sim 4–5 GPa, Righter, 2002; Rai and van Westrenen, 2014) with lunar core masses roughly 2% of its total mass. These models permit, though do not require, formation of an endogenous lunar core from material that initially resembled Earth's present-day mantle.

By virtue of high-precision isotope measurements, the aforementioned elemental similarity was found to extend to the abundances of stable isotopes whose variations are caused only by nucleosynthetic processes. While the isotopic closeness between the two bodies had long been recognized for Δ^{17} O (Clayton and Mayeda, 1975), the ~0.3 per mille (300 ppm) variability among lunar rocks permitted some differences between the Earth and Moon. More modern methods narrowed the isotopic difference between the Earth's mantle and Moon to within ~5 ppm (Wiechert et al., 2001; Greenwood et al., 2018; Young et al., 2016) though recent estimates indicate as much as a 10-ppm difference (Cano et al., 2020). This contrast remains, in all cases, insignificant relative to the ~7‰ range observed among planetary materials (Mittlefehldt et al., 2008). Confirmation that the two bodies are indistinguishable among elements with a different geochemical character came from Cr (Qin et al., 2010; Mougel et al., 2018) and Ti (Trinquier et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012) isotopes, that agree to within ±4 ppm, a factor ~150 smaller than that observed among planetary materials. These observations prompted models in which Moon-forming material equilibrated with that derived from Earth's mantle in the context of a giant impact (Pahlevan and Stevenson, 2007; Pahlevan et al., 2011; Lock et al., 2018).

Equally, however, these scenarios must also be able to explain the severe depletion in (moderately) volatile elements observed in mare basalts relative to their terrestrial counterparts (Ganapathy et al., 1970; Tera et al., 1970). The volatile-poor nature of mare basalts was initially ascribed to both degassing upon eruption (O'Hara et al., 1970) and to an intrinsic feature of the lunar interior (Ringwood, 1970). Support for the latter hypothesis came via the uniformly low K/Th of the lunar surface (\sim 360, Prettyman et al., 2006) compared to those of other terrestrial objects (between 2000 and 7000, Peplowski et al., 2011) as deduced from gamma-ray measurements. While the alkali metals are depleted by a factor of 5–6 (e.g., Kreutzberger et al., 1986), more volatile elements (such as Zn, Ag, Cd, and In) are 100-500 times more impoverished in mare basalts than in terrestrial basalts (Wolf and Anders, 1980). O'Neill (1991) proposed that the abundances of these elements in the lunar mantle may result from the addition of a small (4%) H-chondrite component added post-core formation, given their near-chondritic relative abundances. However, the heavy massdependent stable isotope composition in one such volatile element, Zn ($\delta^{66/64}$ Zn = 1.2 ± 0.3‰ in a range of mare basalts compared to the terrestrial mantle; Paniello et al., 2012), provides evidence that the low abundances of these elements reflect partial evaporation rather than complete loss and then overprinting. This is because evaporation is expected to result in the preferential loss of the lighter isotope of a given element (e.g., Langmuir, 1916). These conclusions were subsequently confirmed by the similarly heavy isotope composition of another moderately volatile element, K ($\delta^{41/39}$ K=0.4 ± 0.1‰; Wang and Jacobsen, 2016). The degree of isotope fractionation of a given volatile element scales with the extent of its depletion relative to the Earth (Nie and Dauphas, 2019), which has been interpreted as resulting from a Rayleigh evaporation process, and is also observed in Cl (Boyce et al., 2018; Gargano et al., 2020) and H (Greenwood et al., 2011). The locus and timing of this loss remain an open question (Day and Moynier, 2014), with scenarios during spreading of the protolunar disk (Canup et al., 2015; Nie and Dauphas, 2019) and from a magma ocean during lunar assembly at the Earth's Roche limit (Tang and Young, 2020; Charnoz et al., 2021) having been investigated.

Additional constraints on the timing of volatile loss of the Moon are afforded by the Rb-Sr and U-Pb systems. In the former, the parent, Rb, is a volatile element with 50% nebular condensation temperature, T_c^{50} , of 752 K (Wood et al., 2019) while the daughter, Sr, is a refractory element ($T_c^{50} = 1548$ K). The roles are reversed for U-Pb; the T_c^{50} for U is 1609 K while that of Pb is 495 K. Consequently, ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and Pb isotope ratios reflect time-integrated volatile depletion. The initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr defined by ferroan anorthosite and Mg-Suite rocks that have chondritic ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd and give concordant ages is 0.69905 ± 0.00005 at their crystallization ages of ~4.35 Ga (Halliday and Porcelli, 2001; Borg et al., 2022). This is marginally higher than the Solar System initial value given by calcium-aluminum-rich inclusions (CAIs), 0.698975 ± 0.00008 (Hans et al., 2013). Extensive Pb loss is also documented in mare basalts, which must have been formed from high- μ (μ =²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb) sources, between ~300 and 4600, for low-Ti- and KREEP-basalts, respectively (Snape et al., 2019; Connelly et al., 2022). This reflects a factor ~35–500 lower Pb contents in the Moon relative to the Earth's mantle. Together, these observations imply that the source material that formed the Moon had already experienced volatile depletion in the first few Myr of Solar System evolution.

While initially thought to be direct cumulates from a lunar magma ocean (Wood et al., 1970), the ferroan anorthosites define ages that overlap with those of lithologies that are clearly metamorphic in origin (the Mg-Suite; see Borg and Carlson, 2023, for a recent review). The view that multiple magmas (rather than a single magma ocean) may have contributed to the generation of FAN, first suggested by Haskin et al. (1982), has been reinforced by the observed variability in their trace element patterns (Floss et al., 1998; Pernet-Fisher et al., 2019; Ji and Dygert, 2023). Because FAN crystallized at 4.36 Ga, after ¹⁸²Hf was extinct ($t_{1/2}$ =8.9 Myr; Vockenhuber et al., 2004), lunar rocks do not contain any significant W isotope anomalies that are not spallogenic (Touboul et al., 2015; Kruijer et al., 2015) while variations in ε^{142} Nd are muted in comparison to those found in Martian shergottites, defining a whole-Moon isochron of 4.33 Ga (Borg et al., 2019), in agreement with internal isochron ages. However, the Moon exhibits a ~25 ppm ¹⁸²W excess with respect to the present-day Earth's mantle, which has been variously interpreted as reflecting a proportionally lower fraction of late veneer on the Moon relative to Earth (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017; Kruijer et al., 2021) or partial sequestration of W in to the lunar core while ¹⁸²Hf was extant at ~75 Myr after t_0 (Thiemens et al., 2019, 2021). The former scenario permits a young Moon formation age (possibly as young as 190 Myr after CAI), whereas the latter requires an older age (around 75 Myr after CAI). Therefore, it is unclear whether the ages given by highland rocks directly record that of the crystallization of the

magma ocean or whether the time lag required for complete crystallization (Maurice et al., 2020) and remelting to have occurred (as is necessary for the generation of the Mg Suite magmas; Shearer et al., 2015) permits older Moon formation ages.

Darwin (1880), son of Charles, was the first to account for tidal evolution of the Earth-Moon system, demonstrating that the two would have initially existed as a single body with a rotation period of ~4 hours. Modern mechanisms that account for both geophysical and geochemical constraints are typically rationalized in the context of a giant impact scenario for the formation of the Moon (Hartmann and Davis, 1975; Cameron and Ward, 1976). Although these early models advocated for a Mars-sized impactor, a result later also favored by Canup and Asphaug (2001) owing to its success in reproducing the angular momentum of the Earth-Moon system, such "canonical" impact events all had the commonality that most of the material that later accreted to form the Moon was derived from the impactor (Canup, 2004). Owing to the growing body of evidence that supports a close genetic link between the Earth and the Moon, subsequent studies have been focused on finding solutions that satisfy both the angular momentum and the chemical/isotopic composition of the Moon. These efforts have spawned a variety of models (see Halliday and Canup, 2022; Canup et al., 2012; Asphaug et al., 2021), a half-Earth impact (Canup, 2012), multiple impacts (Rufu et al., 2017), or impact on Earth with a preexisting magma ocean (Hosono et al., 2019). Perhaps the most significant advance has come from the realization that many high-energy impacts result in the formation of a supercritical fluid throughout much of the protolunar disk, giving rise to a structure, dubbed a synestia, that promotes mixing and equilibration (Lock et al., 2018).

In order to understand what mechanism(s) gave rise to the unique chemical and physical properties of the Moon, we embark upon a focused review of observations that permit constraints to be placed on the origin of the Moon. To do so, in Section "Geophysical constraints on the composition and interior structure of the Moon," we use the latest geophysical data to assess the major element composition of the bulk Moon, together with the nature of its mantle and core. This approach minimizes the ambiguity introduced by the use of highlands rocks or mare basalts in inferring the abundances of the major elements in the Moon. The likelihood that the Moon's bulk composition and interior structure of the Moon," we supplement these geophysical approaches with new estimations of the trace element content of the lunar mantle using legacy and more recent measurements of their abundances in products of lunar magmatism. In Section "Physicochemical evolution of the Moon," we summarize the recent advances made in the stable isotope geochemistry of lunar lithologies to better characterize the source material from which the Moon," isotopic constraints on the timing of accretion of the Moon and its relationship with the Earth are investigated, and, finally, in Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon," the foregoing information is combined with physicochemical constraints in order to infer likely scenarios for its origin.

Geophysical constraints on the composition and interior structure of the Moon

State of the art

The wide lithological diversity recorded in lunar rocks, not only between the plagioclase-bearing highlands rocks and the basaltic maria but also among low- and high-Ti mare basalts, far exceeds that observed in the ocean basins on Earth. Models for their petrogenesis cite the presence of varying degrees of cumulate minerals in the source regions; high-Ti basalt sources contain greater fractions of ilmenite as compared to low-Ti basalts (Longhi, 1992; Neal and Taylor, 1992). A similar trend is mirrored by the lunar pyroclastic glasses (Delano, 1986; Guenther et al., 2022). Other evidence for gross mineralogical changes in the lunar mantle comes from the highlands Mg-Suite, which are cumulates formed from magmas with higher Mg#s than recorded by mare magmatism (Shearer et al., 2015). It follows that the chemical heterogeneity observed in the lunar crust reflects that in the lunar mantle. Therefore, and unlike the Earth, the interior of the Moon likely preserves large-scale mineralogical heterogeneity (e.g., Elkins-Tanton and Grove, 2011). Indeed, there are no lunar equivalents to xenolith or massif peridotites that, by examination of chemical trends therein, permit determination of a primitive mantle composition (McDonough and Sun, 1995; Palme and O'Neill, 2014). Though a single lunar dunite (72,415) occurs in the Apollo collection, and dunitic and peridotitic clasts have been identified in Apollo 14 breccias (Lindstrom et al., 1984; Shervais and McGee, 1999; Treiman and Semprich, 2023), the degree to which they represent the lunar mantle is uncertain. The corollary is that estimation of the bulk Moon's major element composition using only lithologies present in the lunar crust is fraught with uncertainty (cf. Jones and Delano, 1989).

In order to break the degeneracy introduced by a poorly mixed lunar mantle in determining its bulk composition by geochemical means, a variety of geophysical methods have been employed that mainly rely on data obtained from surface instrumentation installed during the Apollo missions. This included seismometers (Nakamura et al., 1982; Lognonné and Johnson, 2007; Khan et al., 2013), heat flow probes (Langseth et al., 1976; Rasmussen and Warren, 1985), laser retroreflectors (Dickey et al., 1994; Williams et al., 2006), and magnetometers (Sonett, 1982; Hood et al., 1982; Khan et al., 2006b; Grimm, 2024; Mittelholz et al., 2021). The virtue of each of these methods is that they are able to provide a global, mean estimate of density, seismic velocity, and electrical and thermal conductivity, germane to constraining the bulk properties of the Moon.

Mantle

Determining the chemical composition of the interior of a planetary body from geophysical observations can be achieved by making a number of simplifying assumptions. Chief among these are the model chemical system invoked, the condition of

chemical equilibrium, and compositional homogeneity. First, geophysically relevant chemical elements of the silicate mantle are Ca, Fe, Mg, Al, Si, and O that make up more than 98.5% of the Earth's silicate mantle (Palme and O'Neill, 2014). All elements are bound to oxygen and Fe is taken to exist solely as FeO, giving rise to a five-component chemical system: CaO-FeO-MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ (CFMAS). Second, through thermodynamic equilibrium, a bulk composition can be converted to a mineral assemblage by Gibbs Free Energy minimization (e.g., Kuskov and Kronrod, 1998; Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2005; Connolly and Khan, 2016). Note that initial studies attempting to infer the bulk composition of the Moon (e.g., Buck and Toksoz, 1980; Hood and Jones, 1987; Mueller et al., 1988) relied on equations-of-state predictions for a restricted suite of mantle mineral assemblages and comparison to Apollo-era-derived seismic velocity models. Lastly, the mantle is considered to be compositionally homogeneous on account of the resolving power of the geophysical data (e.g., Khan et al., 2006a). Other parameters that are disregarded include variations in mantle water content and oxidation state. Although there are indications for the presence of water in the mantle of the Moon (Greenwood et al., 2011), its abundance is too low (likely no more than 100s of ppm; Saal et al., 2008; Hauri et al., 2015, 2017; McCubbin et al., 2023) to measurably affect geophysical properties. The reduced nature of the lunar interior (Sato, 1976) means that Fe₂O₃ is also disregarded as a chemical component.

Initial studies (e.g., Kuskov and Kronrod, 1998) relied on comparison with the "final" Apollo-era seismic velocity model of Nakamura (1983) and returned bulk lunar mantle compositions with refractory-element enrichment over Earth's mantle (Kuskov and Kronrod, 1998), similar to that posited by Taylor (1982) on petrologic arguments, with lower MgO (\sim 30 wt%) and higher FeO (\sim 11 wt%) than for Earth's mantle (\sim 37 wt% and 8 wt%, respectively). Subsequent reprocessing and inversion of the Apollo lunar seismic data using techniques not available during the Apollo era (Khan et al., 2000; Khan and Mosegaard, 2002; Lognonné et al., 2003; Gagnepain-Beyneix et al., 2006) together with selenodetic constraints on bulk mean density and moment of inertia resulted in Earth's mantle-like RLE abundances in the bulk Moon (Khan et al., 2006a,b). FeO contents, however, remained high at \sim 10–13 wt%. Subsequent extensions of these model inversions (e.g., Khan et al., 2014; Kuskov et al., 2014; Kronrod et al., 2022) included more chemical elements, namely, Na and Ti, the latter of which is abundant in the source regions of mare basalts, and confirmed earlier conclusions that the bulk lunar mantle is enriched in FeO (11–13 wt%) relative to Earth's upper mantle, whereas there is less consensus about Al₂O₃ enrichment.

Core

As evidenced in the similarity of the Moon's normalized mean moment of inertia (MoI) with that of a homogeneous sphere, the lunar core is at the limit of detectability: mean lunar mass and MoI are compatible with both a small (<300 km in radius) Fe-Ni-bearing and a larger (~400 km) light element- or ilmenite-bearing core. Analyses of the electromagnetic sounding data acquired during the Apollo era are sensitive only to mantle structure (Hood et al., 1982; Khan et al., 2006b, 2014; Grimm, 2024; Mittelholz et al., 2021), whereas the observation of the Moon's induced magnetic field is only able to place upper bounds (400 km in radius) on an (assumed) perfectly conducting central sphere (Russell et al., 1982; Hood et al., 1999; Shimizu et al., 2013).

Although more than 12,000 seismic events were recorded by the Apollo lunar surface experiment package (ALSEP; Nakamura et al., 1981), only about 60 of these could be employed to infer the structure of crust, mantle, and core because of the presence of the highly scattering regolith and generally low signal-to-noise ratio of the detected moonquakes and meteorite impacts (Toksöz et al., 1974; Nunn et al., 2020). Moreover, because of the geographical distribution of the seismic sources and the stations, little-to-no seismic energy penetrated the central parts of the Moon (see Khan et al., 2013, 2014, for a summary). While there are claims that core-reflected seismic phases have been observed in the coda of the Apollo seismic records (Weber et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2011, 2012), the issue is far from resolved, as it is yet to be demonstrated that consistent results across different studies are obtained. For example, Weber et al. (2011) detected P-wave reflections from a putative molten layer, core-mantle-boundary (CMB), and inner-core-boundary, whereas Garcia et al. (2011) only identified S-wave reflections from the CMB, highlighting the difficulty of extracting seismic phases in the scattering-dominated lunar seismograms. Moreover, the discrepancies in their results illustrate the difficulties associated with the type of data processing undertaken by both teams where Garcia et al. (2011) favor a core with a radius of 380 ± 40 km with an outer liquid part, Weber et al. (2011) find a 150-km thick partially molten mantle layer overlying a 330-km radius core, consisting of a 90-km thick outer liquid and 240-km solid inner core, respectively.

Other geophysical evidence for a liquid core comes from the analysis of ~40 yrs of lunar laser ranging (LLR) data that measure the travel time between an observatory on the Earth and retroreflectors on the lunar surface installed as part of the Apollo and Soviet Lunokhod missions (e.g., Dickey et al., 1994). Through detection of the displacement of the Moon's pole of rotation, which indicates that dissipation is acting on the rotation, Williams et al. (2001) noted that only a combination of dissipation due to monthly solid-body tides raised by the Earth and Sun and a fluid core (350–380 km in radius) with a rotation distinct from that of the solid body is able to account for the LLR data. Subsequent analyses of the amplitude and/or phase of the lunar tidal response are all compatible with a region of reduced rigidity in the deep lunar interior, which, following the initial suggestion by Nakamura et al. (1973) from seismic observations, has been interpreted as a partially molten layer sitting atop the core (e.g., Khan et al., 2004, 2014; Harada et al., 2014; Matsumoto et al., 2015; Matsuyama et al., 2016; Tan and Harada, 2021; Xiao et al., 2022).

While a consensus appears to have been achieved with regard to lunar core size with radii in the range of 300–400 km and possibly a narrower range of 300–350 km, there is less agreement on core properties (P-wave velocity and density) as a consequence of the lack of direct sensitivity. This is reflected in the current range of core densities, spanning from 3900 to 7000 kg/m³, corresponding to core compositions covering those expected for dense silicates/oxides over the Fe-FeS eutectic to pure Fe (see Garcia et al., 2019 for a recent summary).

Uncertainties in geophysical constraints on the lunar interior

The pressure at the center of the Moon, ~55 kbar, is sufficiently low so as to facilitate comparison of the physical properties of common mantle minerals, such as olivine, orthopyroxene, and clinopyroxene, with those recovered from geophysical estimates, as they overlap with the accessible range in large-volume press apparatuses (piston cylinder, multianvil) and diamond anvil cells germane to spectroscopic measurements (e.g., Hazen, 1976; Angel and Hugh-Jones, 1994). Thermodynamic databases (Robie and Hemingway, 1995; Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2005; Holland and Powell, 2011) are employed in order to convert a bulk chemical composition into a mineral assemblage and assign to it physical properties that can be used in a forward sense (e.g., Kronrod and Kuskov, 2011) or inverted jointly with geophysical data (e.g., Khan et al., 2006a,b). While thermodynamic equilibrium remains a convenient assumption, petrologic evidence indicates that disequilibrium, or igneous layering, may exist in the lunar interior (Section "State of the art"). Inversions of the composition of Earth's upper mantle, assuming it can be described by mechanical mixtures of lithologies, highlight differences to equilibrium models (Munch et al., 2020); however, such approaches, as yet, remain untested for the Moon.

Existing models devised within the aforementioned framework that satisfy geophysical constraints point to an FeO-enriched Moon relative to Earth's upper mantle (e.g., Khan et al., 2006a; Kronrod et al., 2022). Despite the richness of selenodetic data, there are, nevertheless, significant uncertainties in interpreting geophysical observations that prevent an unequivocal assessment of the composition of the lunar mantle. Notably, the quality of the Apollo-era seismic data, owing partly to the poorly consolidated lunar regolith and partly to the distribution of moonquakes, is only well-resolved to depths of \sim 1100 km (Nakamura, 1983; Khan and Mosegaard, 2002). Related hereto is the question of layering in the lunar mantle. There are no seismological requirements for vertical layering to exist. despite petrological observations from mare basalts for at least TiO₂ variations (e.g., Neal and Taylor, 1992). The "final" Apollo-era lunar seismic velocity model of Nakamura (1983) contained a midmantle seismic discontinuity, but was only included because of computational convenience. The Apollo lunar seismic data are entirely compatible with a homogeneous mantle without large-scale radial velocity variations (Khan et al., 2006a). Consequently, seismic wave speeds in the lunar interior are essentially constant as a function of depth (e.g., Khan et al., 2006a), and the lunar mantle can be considered mineralogically homogeneous with respect to the level of accuracy and precision afforded by the Apollo Lunar seismic data, with the caveat that the seismic waves appear to be attenuated below ~1100 km depth in the Moon (Nakamura et al., 1973). Indeed, a low-viscosity and highly dissipative zone in the lowermost lunar mantle has been mooted on the basis of LLR data (Harada et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2004, 2014; Matsumoto et al., 2015), but there are no essential requirements for differences in composition. The presence or absence of a liquid layer is largely dictated by the nature of the lunar viscoelastic response (Nimmo et al., 2012; Efroimsky, 2012; Karato, 2013; Harada et al., 2014; Walterová et al., 2023), which cannot, as yet, be uniquely determined. For completeness, we note that a recent study relying on selenodetic constraints has indicated the existence of a lunar solid inner core with a radius of around 260 km (Briaud et al., 2023), yet considerable ambiguity remains as to the nature of the supporting evidence. A summary view of our current understanding of the interior of the Moon based on the geophysical evidence is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the internal structure of the Moon as viewed from geophysics. The Moon is differentiated into crust, mantle, and core. A midmantle seismic discontinuity—a potential relic of magma ocean crystallization in the form of a compositional boundary—is not required by data. Geophysical evidence suggests the presence of a deep-seated partially molten layer, although whether the layer is located in the deep mantle or belongs to the core is unclear. The core is small and likely consists of a liquid metallic Fe-Ni alloy, plausibly together with a light element component (e.g., S or C, see Section "Implications for core composition"). The locations of the Apollo lunar seismic stations 12, 14, 15, and 16 on the lunar nearside are indicated by numbers. Shallow and deep (DMQ) moonquakes occur in the depth ranges 50–200 km and 800–1100 km, respectively. Farside DMQs have yet to be confirmed. The selenographical location of the indicated moonquakes is not accurate. Variations in crustal thickness are real but not to scale. Modified from Wieczorek MA, Jolliff BL, Khan A, Pritchard ME,Weiss BP,Williams JG, Hood LL, Righter K, Neal CR, Shearer CK, et al. (2006) The constitution and structure of the lunar interior. Reviews in mineralogy and geochemistry 60 (1):221–364; Khan A, Connolly JA, Pommier A, Noir J (2014) Geophysical evidence for melt in the deep lunar interior and implications for lunar evolution. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 119 (10):2197–2221.

Effect of mantle composition on interior structure

For the purpose of determining how mantle composition affects interior structure, we examine the case of a homogeneous lunar mantle composition, in which equilibrium is assumed in order to permit Gibbs Free Energy minimization to sample the stable mineralogical assemblage, given the prevailing composition, pressure, and temperature. Mantle compositions are modeled using the model chemical system CaO-FeO-MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-TiO₂ after Khan et al. (2014), assuming Earth's mantle-like refractory element contents and ratios; Al₂O₃=4.49 wt% and CaO=3.65 wt% and TiO₂=0.21 wt% with MgO, FeO and SiO₂ abundances that depend on the chosen model (Table 1). In addition to the compositions compiled from the literature, we also considered a variable mantle compositional model, where we inverted for the major element components (including refractory oxides) following Khan et al. (2014). As for the selenotherm, we consider a range of variable mantle temperatures that span from sub- to super-adiabatic conditions. The core, owing to the scarcity of geophysical data sensitive to this region, is modeled as a homogeneous sphere of constant density. The inversions are designed to match the mean mass, mean moment of inertia, and tidal response in the form of the elastic degree-2 Love number. In detail, the tidal response of the Moon is formally quantified by Love numbers that depend upon the spherical harmonic degree and order of the tide-raising potential, where the ratio of the induced potential to the tidal potential is denoted by the Love number k. Here, we shall restrict ourselves to the amplitude of the degree-2 tide, that is, k_2 . The degree-2 Love number considered here ($k_2 = 0.0232 \pm 0.00021$) is that of Khan et al. (2014), which represents the elastically corrected value of Williams et al. (2014) obtained from Doppler tracking of GRAIL. The Love numbers are in the general case complex, consisting of a real part, which corresponds to the elastic response, and an imaginary part that describes the phase lag, which is proportional to dissipation within the Moon. To avoid having to introduce model-dependent visco-elastic parameters, only the elastic part of k_2 is considered. The inversions therefore help to constrain the density and rigidity structure of the mantle, in addition to core density. Geophysical predictions are calculated according to the methods outlined in Khan et al. (2014) and the observations are reported in Table 1. Uncertainties in density and elastic moduli computed using Gibbs free-energy minimization are <0.5% and <1%, respectively (Connolly and Khan, 2016). Pressure is computed by integrating the load from the surface assuming hydrostatic equilibrium. Finally, to avoid complexities associated with the lunar seismic data, we do not consider the latter as part of the inversion. Instead, we verified that the models were in general agreement with the seismic arrival time data set of Nunn et al. (2020) within 2-3 standard deviations about the mean value.

Seismic P- and S-wave speed and density profiles for all 7 mantle compositional models are shown in Fig. 2. For comparison, the "final" Apollo-era model of Nakamura (1983) and the more recent models of Khan et al. (2006a) and Garcia et al. (2019) are also shown (in the case of (Garcia et al., 2019), we focus on their M2 model). While the model of Nakamura (1983) contains discontinuities, these are, as already discussed, not required by the seismic data and were only included for computational convenience. More generally, pressures inside the Moon are not high enough to cause mineral phase transformations and associated seismic discontinuities. In contrast, the models of Khan et al. (2006a) and Garcia et al. (2019) assume mantle homogeneity and are parameterized in terms of the thermo-chemical properties of the equilibrium mineral assemblage and the Adams–Williamson equation of state in combination with Birch's law, respectively, and are inherently smooth. In the model of Khan et al. (2006a), as with the seven compositional models used here, P- and S-wave speeds are seen to decrease toward the bottom of the mantle, which results from the decrease in seismic speed associated with increasing temperature with depth dominating over the opposing increase in wave speed associated with the increase in pressure with depth. The model of Garcia et al. (2019), in contrast, increases from the top to the bottom of the mantle, which results from the particular modeling assumptions made (e.g., P- and S-wave speeds are obtained from density using constant, i.e., depth-independent, scaling factors, and thermodynamic parameters).

Relative to Nakamura (1983), the compositional models are slightly faster in the upper (300–500 km depth) and slower in the lower part (>500 km) of the mantle, but are in good agreement with the models of Khan et al. (2006a), and are, with the exception of the low mantle FeO, that is, the Earth- and Warren (2005) models, therefore also in general agreement with the Apollo lunar

Model	R _{core} [km]	ρ _{core} [kg/m³]	f _{core}	T _{pot} [K]	FeO [wt%]	Mg0 [wt%]	SiO ₂ [wt%]
Dauphas et al. (2014)	317 ± 7	7004 ± 222	0.0127 ± 0.0006	1008 ± 68	10.6	34.3	46.8
Khan et al. (2006b)	315 ± 8	6679 ± 238	0.0119 ± 0.0007	1051 ± 60	12	34.3	45.5
O'Neill (1991)	307 ± 14	6305 ± 525	0.0104 ± 0.0008	1011 ± 67	12.1	32.8	46.8
Taylor (1999)	284 ± 24	6036 ± 728	0.0078 ± 0.0011	976 ± 57	13.1	31.7	46.8
Warren (2005)	324 ± 2	7301 ± 58	0.0141 ± 0.0003	1067 ± 51	9.1	35.8	46.8
Variable composition ^a	289 ± 18	6292 ± 812	0.0087 ± 0.0017	1013 ± 103	13.7 ± 0.9	$\textbf{30.7} \pm \textbf{3.2}$	$\textbf{47.2} \pm \textbf{3.9}$
Earth	$\textbf{322} \pm \textbf{2}$	7877 ± 94	0.0149 ± 0.0003	1117 ± 39	8.1	36.8	44.9

Table 1Radius and density of the lunar core, and the potential temperature of the mantle constrained by geophysical inversions for fixed bulk compositions and
a model in which the mantle has a variable bulk composition. Uncertainties are 1 standard deviation about the mean. The geophysical observations considered
include the degree-2 Love number (k_2), mean mass (M), and mean normalized moment of inertia (I/MR^2) after Garcia et al. (2019).

 $k_2 = 0.0232 \pm 0.00021.$ $M = (7.34630 \pm 0.00088) \times 10^{22} \text{ kg}$

 $MMR^2 = 0.393112 \pm 0.000012$

R=1737.161 km

 $^a\!\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and CaO varied between 4.59 \pm 2.00 wt% and 3.57 \pm 1.03 wt%, respectively



Fig. 2 Inverted seismic P-wave (A) and S-wave (B) speed and density (C, D) profiles that fit the lunar tidal response and mean mass and moment of inertia for the range of compositional models listed in Table 1. The inverted profiles correspond to Dauphas et al. (2014) (*blue*), Earth's mantle-like after Palme and O'Neill (2014) (*light blue*), Khan et al. (2006a) (*cyan*), O'Neill (1991) (*light green*), Taylor (1999) (*light yellow*), Warren (2005) (*orange*), and variable composition (*red*). Core P- and S-wave speeds are unconstrained and therefore not shown. For comparison, models obtained from the Apollo lunar seismic data are shown as *yellow* (Khan et al., 2006a) and *black solid* (Model M1; Garcia et al., 2019) and *dashed lines* (Nakamura, 1983), respectively. For the Khan et al. (2006a) model, uncertainties are included in the form of upper and lower bounds. Core density is not well constrained by these models and therefore omitted. See main text for details.

seismic data inasmuch as the models of Khan et al. (2006a) match the latter. In terms of density, there is good agreement with the models of Khan et al. (2006a) and Garcia et al. (2019). In summary, the model variability displayed in Fig. 2 serves to underscore the lack of, and need for, improved constraints on the deep lunar interior, preferably through the acquisition of novel seismic data as envisaged with the return of seismology to the Moon with for instance, the upcoming Farside Seismic Suite mission (Panning et al., 2022).

The density structure of the mantle is nearly indistinguishable among the seven compositional models investigated here (Fig. 2C and D), yielding values around 3400 kg/m³, with higher Mg# compositions yielding slightly lower average densities. Mantle potential temperatures derived from this region of the lunar mantle show a weak tendency for higher T_{pot} in Mg-rich compositions (Table 1). Although the core radii returned by the various mantle compositions all overlap within a small range (280–320 km), differences in core density arise, which are positively correlated with mantle Mg# (Fig. 3). This dependence results from the requirement to match the mean mass and moment of inertia of the bulk Moon. Because the geophysical data result in a relatively constant core radius, the greater mass deficit for marginally less dense, high-Mg# mantle compositions must be compensated for by a relatively denser core to produce the observed mass of the Moon (Fig. 3).

Not all models satisfy the elastic degree-2 tidal Love number of the Moon, with the more rigid, Mg-rich lithologies uniformly underpredicting k_2 , with values near 0.0210, compared to the observed value considered here (0.0232). In contrast, the FeO-rich compositions of Taylor (1999) and the variable-compositional model with ≥ 13 wt% FeO (Table 1) are entirely consistent with the observed tidal response. Nevertheless, the degree-2 tidal Love number is not an unambiguous indicator of composition, owing to the (i) weak differences in elasticity among silicate minerals as a function of their Mg# (e.g., Speziale et al., 2004) and (ii) the possible presence of a core-enveloping molten layer that can, without any chemical contrast with the surrounding solid mantle, produce an increase in k_2 sufficient to account for the observed value (e.g., Khan et al., 2014; Matsumoto et al., 2015; Garcia et al., 2019). Hence, although a solid lunar mantle with an Earth-like Mg# does not readily reproduce the k_2 of the bulk Moon, such compositions cannot be excluded based on k_2 alone. The partially molten lower-mantle layer originated with the observation that strong shear wave arrivals from deep moonquakes were only observed at the two closest stations of the four-station Apollo lunar seismic network, corresponding to bottoming depths of ~1100 km, from what was then the only located farside deep moonquake. Waves having traveled deeper lacked the prominent shear wave arrivals and were inferred to have been attenuated as they transited a partially molten region (Nakamura et al., 1973). Moreover, the curious lack of farside moonquakes has long been considered to be



Fig. 3 The relationship between Mg# of the lunar mantle and the density of its core required to satisfy its bulk density and moment of inertia. Mantle compositions are fixed, except for the "Variable Composition" case (see Table 1). Note that the Mg#-core density distribution of the Variable Composition case is not Gaussian. *Vertical lines* correspond to densities of potential core-forming materials at 1900 K and 5.5 GPa, *Yellow*=Fe₇₃Ni₁₀S₁₇ (in at%) liquid (Terasaki et al., 2019); *Blue*=Fe₈₈Ni₉C₃ (in at%) liquid (Zhu et al., 2021); *Orange*=Fe liquid (Anderson and Ahrens, 1994); *Green*=Ni liquid (Nasch and Manghnani, 1998).

an indication of an attenuating, possibly molten, region in the deep lunar interior (Nakamura, 2005). In spite hereof, the partially molten layer remains debated (see Khan et al., 2014; Walterová et al., 2023, for recent summaries).

In this context, two of the seven P- and S-wave speed models, Earth and Warren (2005) contain negative velocity discontinuities in the upper mantle (at 300 and 400 km depth, respectively) that are an artifact resulting from a trade-off with temperature. Based on this observation, compositionally homogeneous models of the lunar mantle containing an Earth-like FeO budget are unlikely to be plausible, yet radially heterogeneous models, where FeO content possibly varies with depth so as to be consistent with a bulk FeO content in the range 8–9 wt%, for example, cannot be excluded per se. The nature of the resultant seismic velocity profiles will, however, have to be tested further against the Apollo lunar seismic data, although the latter are unlikely to constrain compositional complexity beyond homogeneity. Thus, while geophysical evidence largely appears to favor a compositionally uniform lunar mantle with an FeO content in excess of that of the Earth's, more complicated scenarios that act to produce an overall Earth-like composition cannot, at present, be ruled out.

Mare basalts, whose Mg#s are in the range 0.45–0.55 (Neal and Taylor, 1992), require mantle sources that are more FeO-rich (Mg# ~0.71–0.79) than any mean bulk Moon composition tested in Table 1, given an olivine-melt $K_D^{Fe-Mg} = 0.33 \pm 0.02$ at low TiO₂^{liq} (Longhi et al., 1978). The most magnesian melt compositions, the Apollo 15 green glass clods, have inferred source regions with Mg# of 0.84–0.87 (Delano, 1986) and come from deeper regions in the lunar mantle, 2.0–2.5 GPa, than do mare basalts, 0.5–1.5 GPa (Longhi, 1992; Elkins-Tanton et al., 2003; Barr and Grove, 2013), pointing to significant mineralogical heterogeneity. Higher Mg# with increasing depth in the Moon is consistent with layering resulting from a magma ocean crystallization sequence (Snyder et al., 1992). Were Mg-rich compositions to exist predominantly in the lower mantle (as required for bulk lunar Mg#s ~0.89), this would imply that overturn (Hess and Parmentier, 1995; Elkins-Tanton et al., 2002) and mixing was either inefficient or did not operate to excavate Mg-rich regions of the lunar mantle. Indeed, spectroscopic data (Melosh et al., 2017; Sun and Lucey, 2024; Yamamoto et al., 2023) indicate that low-calcium pyroxene-rich lithologies with Mg#s ~0.85 are abundant in the South Pole-Aitken basin, while olivine is present at much lower modal abundances (typically <4 %) than in peridotitic upper mantle (~60 %). Because this region is thought to have been formed through an impact that excavated portions of the lunar mantle down to 100 km depth (Melosh et al., 2017), such lithological characteristics may indicate incomplete (or no) overturn, as the lowermost mantle is expected to be olivine-rich (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2002).

Implications for core composition

The densities of the inverted core layer (Fig. 2D) range from $\sim 6000 \pm 800 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$ in the most iron-enriched mantle compositions, to $\sim 7800 \pm 100 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$ for a composition equivalent to that of Earth's mantle. This diversity has significant implications for the inferred chemical composition of a nominal lunar core. Chemically, the lunar core is expected to be an alloy of Fe-Ni-rich metal together with some fraction of light components (H, C, O, S, Si), by analogy with the compositions of cores of terrestrial planets (e.g., Badro et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2022; Khan et al., 2023; Samuel et al., 2023), as well as of iron meteorites (e.g., Scott and Wasson, 1975).

In this framework, alloys that provide matches to the core of bulk density \sim 6250 kgm⁻³ recovered by Weber et al. (2011) require substantial amounts of S (10 wt%) or Si (18 wt%) (Terasaki et al., 2019). Silicon-containing alloys are strongly disfavored on the grounds that lunar core formation would have taken place under relatively oxidizing conditions (near Δ IW-1, e.g., O'Neill, 1991, Section "Core formation"), precluding the incorporation of significant amounts of Si into the core. Oxygen, like Si, enters metal at very high temperatures (O'Neill et al., 1998; Gendre et al., 2022), also making it an unlikely candidate. Another cosmochemically abundant light element that may be present in the lunar core is C. However, C is highly volatile during condensation of the solar nebula (e.g., Wood et al., 2019) and has low solubility in silicate melts (e.g., Yoshioka et al., 2019) such that it is unlikely to be sufficiently abundant in the bulk Moon to represent a major constituent of its core. Indeed, a similar conclusion was reached by Righter et al. (2017), who calculate 3750 ppm C in the lunar core based on geochemical data in mare basalts and pyroclastic glasses (Bombardieri et al., 2005; Wetzel et al., 2013) that yield a mantle abundance of ~5 ppm. Although Steenstra et al. (2017) propose that the lunar core could host 0.5–4.8 wt% C, values at the upper end of this range are unlikely given that the C/Nb ratios in melt inclusions in pyroclastic glass 74220 are depleted by a factor ~200 with respect to midocean ridge basalts (McCubbin et al., 2023). The most plausible candidate, therefore, appears to be S, which is present in the lunar mantle at levels of 88–202 ppm (Ding et al., 2018; McCubbin et al., 2023; Gargano et al., 2022).

Taking these broad expectations into account, Fig. 3 overlays the densities of typical core-forming compounds (liquid Fe, Ni, Fe-Ni-C, and Fe-Ni-S) on the densities constrained by the inversions (Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure"). The iron-rich mantle compositions of Taylor (1999) or O'Neill (1991) produce cores that overlap with the S-rich compositions shown in Fig. 3. Such mantle compositions would imply a lunar core made almost entirely (~75% with the remainder being Fe-Ni alloy) of a eutectic Fe-S liquid, which, at 5.5 GPa, contains ~23 wt% S (Buono and Walker, 2011). Indeed, most of the two-layer geophysical models assume a mixture of a solid, Fe-Ni inner core together with a lower density, liquid outer core with the composition of the Fe-S eutectic (Weber et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2014). This amount of S, given the corresponding mass of the core, yields a bulk Moon S content of ~2500 ppm (Fig. 4). This compares with 250 ppm S in the BSE (Palme and O'Neill, 2014) and the ~7800 ppm in the bulk Earth, given a maximum of 2.4 wt% S in the Earth's core (Dreibus and Palme, 1996; Badro et al., 2014; Hirose et al., 2021).

Any of the bulk Moon compositions are significantly poorer in Fe (6.3–10.6 wt%; Table 1) than the bulk Earth (33 wt%), indicating that the Moon is akin to a silica-rich fragment of a differentiated body. That is, it does not have a "chondritic" abundance of iron (18–30 wt% Fe, Wasson and Kallemeyn, 1988). Therefore, although the Moon is often described as being an "oxidized" body owing to its high FeO/Fe ratio, this description fails to capture the fact that it is depleted in iron with respect to the other terrestrial planets and chondrites, and cannot alone be formed by oxidizing the majority of the iron present in an otherwise chondritic precursor. Rather, the Moon has been stripped of a metallic component. Given the strongly sidero-/chalcophile behavior of sulfur, it follows that it must also be missing an S-rich component. If we consider, as a minimum estimate, that S is as siderophile as Fe, and given that the Moon has lost 3/4 of its Fe compared to an Earth-like precursor, then it should have no more than 2000 ppm S, which would allow for the Fe-rich mantle compositions predicted by the models of O'Neill (1991) or Taylor (1999).



Fig. 4 Calculated evolution of density of the lunar core (at 5.5 GPa) assuming it is produced from an ideal (i.e., mechanical) mixture of Fe-Ni alloy of density 8000 kgm⁻³ (*black point*) and Fe-S eutectic liquid (77 wt% Fe, 23 wt% S) of density 5171 kgm⁻³ (*red point*) and a constant total radius of 330 km (see Table 1). The total core mass fraction thus varies from 1.74% (pure Fe-Ni) to 0.46% (pure Fe-S). The *solid black curve* refers to the S content of the bulk Moon (in ppm) while the *red dashed line* denotes the S content of the bulk core (in wt%). *Dashed vertical lines* represent the modeled percentages of Fe-S eutectic composition that constitute the core. The S content of the bulk silicate Earth (BSE, Palme and 0'Neill, 2014) is shown as a *horizontal bar*.

However, this S content is likely to be a maximum estimate for two reasons: (i) S is far more depleted in the BSE than is Fe, with some 96% of its total budget in the Earth residing in the core (~87% for Fe) and (ii) S, as a moderately volatile element, is likely to be as depleted in the Moon as elements with comparable condensation temperatures, such as Zn (factor ~50 depletion relative to the BSE). While the lunar mare basalts themselves are only poorer in S by a factor of ~4 relative to terrestrial basalts (e.g., Ding et al., 2018, section "Composition of the Moon"), this would nevertheless imply a maximum of 500 ppm S in the bulk Moon (see also Righter et al., 2017; Steenstra et al., 2017). Indeed, were the Moon to have the bulk composition of the BSE (250 ppm), then Fig. 4 shows its core should have no more than ~2 wt% S (for a core mass fraction of 0.0125). If true, this would cast doubt on a ferroan lunar mantle composition, as this, in turn, would imply a low density core (~6000 ± 800 kgm⁻³, Fig. 3), for which an appropriate light ingredient is lacking.

The presence of sulfur in the lunar core would also have left a discernible trace in the abundances of chalcophile metals in the complementary mantle (e.g., Mann et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2014). Given experimentally determined metal–silicate partition coefficients, sulfur-rich cores (~6 wt%) appear more consistent with the lunar mantle abundances of nonvolatile moderately siderophile elements (namely, Mo, W, Ni and Co; Schmitt et al., 1989; Righter and Drake, 1996; Rai and van Westrenen, 2014; Steenstra et al., 2016) though S-free models also provide a satisfactory solution within the uncertainties of the experimental data. Hence, at present, the partitioning of siderophile elements is unable to provide conclusive constraints on the nature or abundance of any potential light elements in the lunar core.

Alternative postulates consider the possibility that the lunar core does not comprise solely Fe-Ni-S, but other, more exotic components, such as ilmenite (e.g., Khan and Mosegaard, 2001; de Vries et al., 2010). As ilmenite is among the densest phases (4700 kgm^{-3}) in the lunar mantle, it has a tendency to sink (e.g., Hess and Parmentier, 1995; Elkins-Tanton et al., 2002), and TiO₂ is sufficiently abundant (~0.2–0.3 wt%) for ilmenite to comprise some fraction of a lunar core. Indeed, geophysical studies (e.g., Khan et al., 2014; Matsumoto et al., 2015) show that a TiO₂-rich layer in the lower mantle of the Moon is consistent with a range of geophysical observations (e.g., Nakamura et al., 1973, 1974; Williams et al., 2001). As ilmenite-bearing cumulates could have incorporated heat-producing elements (U, Th, and K), they could be responsible for having initiated melting at depth (Shearer and Papike, 1993) and producing dynamically stable TiO₂-rich melts in the deep lunar interior (e.g., Delano, 1990; Sakamaki et al., 2010; van Kan Parker et al., 2012). This model may obviate some of the difficulties in producing a low-density core, required in the FeO-enriched compositions, in the absence of volatiles. Nevertheless, some Fe-rich core is thought to be required on the basis of the remnant magnetism observed (10–100 μ T; Cisowski et al., 1983; Lawrence et al., 2008; Weiss and Tikoo, 2014) in highland samples, declining to nil by 1920- to 800 Ma, interpreted to reflect the crystallization of the lunar core (Mighani et al., 2020).

On the other hand, Mg-rich mantle compositions require near-pure Fe-Ni cores, a result that is readily reconcilable with the volatile-deprived nature of the Moon. As Fe and Ni mix almost ideally, their alloys have similar melting points with respect to the pure end members (~1980 K at 5.5 GPa; Urakawa et al., 1987). Temperatures deduced from the inversions using different mantle compositions invariably yield temperatures at the lunar CMB of ~1623–2073 K, consistent with independent estimates of the temperature of the lunar core (Antonangeli et al., 2015). At these temperatures, fusion of a predominantly Fe-Ni core may be expected, particularly in the presence of small quantities (<1 wt%) of light elements. Forming a partially molten layer on the mantle side of an Earth's mantle-like composition is difficult as the solidus temperature of mantle peridotite (Mg# = 0.89) is equivalent to that of Fe-Ni alloy, ~1973 K at 5.5 GPa (Hirschmann, 2000). The lack of readily fusible sources within an Earth's mantle-like bulk Moon renders fitting its k_2 and the LLR data more problematic, yet not impossible. Nimmo et al. (2012) and Karato (2013) demonstrated that the k_2 Love number of the Moon could be reproduced in the absence of a liquid layer, but showed difficulties in fitting other observables.

FeO-enriched and -poor lunar mantle compositions have significantly different, yet testable, implications for the bulk density, and hence composition of the lunar core. Both end members result in considerable difficulties in reconciling certain aspects of the Moon. Clear detection and characterization of the lunar core, particularly in terms of its bulk density and V_P , will therefore be key to testing hypotheses for the composition of the lunar mantle, and thus, its chemical relationship to the bulk silicate Earth.

Geochemical constraints on the composition and interior structure of the Moon

State of the art

Mantle

Most preeminent models for the bulk composition of the Moon, informed largely by geochemical data, proposed enrichments in refractory elements relative to Earth (cf. Morgan et al., 1978; Taylor, 1982). This presumption was founded on the perceived thickness of the anorthositic crust, 60–70 km (e.g., Nakamura, 1983), which was demonstrably shown to be an overestimate through reprocessing of the Apollo seismic data (Khan and Mosegaard, 2002; Lognonné et al., 2003). Their more accurate determinations revealed a thickness of 38 ± 3 km and 30 ± 5 km, respectively, entailing a commensurate downward revision of RLE content of the bulk Moon (~6 wt% Al₂O₃) to more Earth's mantle-like values (3.7 wt% Al₂O₃, Khan et al., 2006a), supported by inferences of a more porous crust from the GRAIL mission (Wieczorek et al., 2013). Ryder and Wood (1977), on evidence of highland material excavated by impacts, had already asserted that the lower crust underlying FAN is likely to be noritic in composition, arguing against higher-than-terrestrial Al₂O₃ contents in the bulk Moon. Indeed, other contemporary geochemical models had also begun to recover Earth's mantle-like Al₂O₃ contents (cf. Ringwood, 1977; Wänke and Dreibus, 1982; Ringwood et al., 1987; Jones and Delano, 1989). These models came from the common observation of clearly defined chemical trends among

lunar highlands rocks, whose compositions could be produced by mixing a pure anorthite end member and "primary matter," which was assumed to have chondritic Ca/Al or Al/Sc ratios (Wänke et al., 1977). The significance of this correlation is tied to the assumption that the lunar highlands represent material directly crystallized from the magma ocean (cf. Ringwood, 1979). Noticing, however, that the "primary matter" component contained a markedly subchondritic Mg/Si ratio, 0.77 (Mg/Si_{CI}=0.89), and that such a component resembled terrestrial komatiites, Ringwood et al. (1987) refined the hypothesis by adding forsteritic olivine (Fo₈₈) to primary matter in order to recover either CI chondritic (Mg/Si=0.89; 22% olivine) or terrestrial (Mg/Si = 1.04; 44% olivine) ratios. This model is therefore based on an empirical resemblance of a fictional component in the lunar crust to Archean magmatic rocks.

A later postulate, that of O'Neill (1991) is model-dependent in the framework of a giant impact, wherein some fraction (14%) of the Moon is assumed to have derived from a CI-like impactor and the remainder (86%) from the proto-Earth's mantle in order to fit the geophysically constrained Mg# (0.83 at the time, though see Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure"), arriving at similar bulk compositions. However, such a scenario no longer holds up to scrutiny when considering the identical isotopic composition of the two bodies, which implies that the impactor:proto-Earth ratio of the present-day Earth and Moon must be the same (see Section "A terrestrial origin for the Moon?"). Owing to the low relative volume of the lunar mantle sampled by mare magmatism, together with its mineralogical heterogeneity, Warren (2005) inferred that regions of high Mg# exist in the lunar mantle from the observation that the most magnesian pyroclastic glasses were in equilibrium with a source with Mg# of up to 0.87. This view is supported by trends among highland samples, which, when combined with estimates for the mass of the lunar crust, give Al₂O₃ content of 3.8 wt% in the bulk Moon, and yield Mg# of 0.88–0.89 (Warren, 2005). Assuming that the lunar mantle can be modeled as simple binary mixtures of olivine and pyroxene, Dauphas et al. (2014) concluded, given recent estimates for the bulk density of the Moon and that those of its constituent minerals are functions of temperature, pressure, and composition, that the Moon's Mg# is 0.85, though Earth's mantle-like values cannot be excluded (see also Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure").

Studies providing comprehensive estimates of the trace element composition of the bulk Moon are few and far between. O'Neill (1991) constructed a model for the composition of the lunar mantle, first deriving the abundances of volatile elements from mare basalt source data originally presented in Ringwood and Kesson (1977) and Wolf and Anders (1980), normalized to a refractory element of similar incompatibility. Second, owing to the correlation observed between the abundances of volatile elements between the Moon and H chondrites (with a slope = 0.04), he proposed that these volatiles were delivered by addition of 4 wt% H chondrites to the lunar mantle. As discussed in Section "Volatile depletion," new data renders this scenario unlikely. Taylor and Wieczorek (2014) employed correlations between volatile elements and refractory lithophile elements in both mare- and KREEP-rich basalts to refine the abundances of K, Rb, Cs, Sb, Bi, Zn, Cd, Br, and Tl in the lunar mantle, assuming the RLE abundance is equal to that in Earth's mantle. The O'Neill (1991) model tends to overestimate the abundances of the highly volatile elements compared to Taylor and Wieczorek (2014), due to the imperfect assumption of the 4 wt% H chondrite contribution (see Fig. 4 of O'Neill, 1991). Nevertheless, as these studies are ultimately drawn upon comparable primary data, and the key results that the Moon is depleted by a factor \sim 5–6 in the alkali metals, and 100–500× in highly volatile elements are sound.

Saal et al. (2008) showed that the pyroclastic glass beads had lost significant fractions of their volatile elements upon extrusion. Chief among them is H, though moderately volatile elements were also inferred to have been vaporized, due to the presence of finegrained coatings that often carapace individual glass beads (Meyer et al., 1975; Wasson et al., 1976; Liu and Ma, 2022). The model for the composition of the bulk silicate Moon derived by Hauri et al. (2015) is predicated upon reconstituting the bulk composition of the glass beads by addition of the volatiles hosted in their surface coatings, and typically yields higher volatile contents for the BSMoon than contemporary estimates (e.g., McCubbin et al., 2023). However, recent analyses of diffusion profiles of moderately volatile elements in glass beads show that the pyroclastic liquids may have experienced several episodes of out- and ingassing (Su et al., 2023), calling into question the assumption of closed-system behavior. Indeed, Righter et al. (2018) point out that, were loss of an S-rich gas phase from glass beads to have transported moderately and highly volatile elements (such as Cd, In, and Bi), then their abundances should be inversely correlated with that of S, for which there is no evidence.

Recognizing this ambiguity, Ni et al. (2019) examined melt inclusions in mare basalts, and orange glass 74220, to determine preeruptive volatile contents. They demonstrated that orange glass 74220 has typical mare-like volatile/nonvolatile element abundance ratios (e.g., S/Dy, Cl/K, Rb/Ba; though, crucially not H₂O/Ce), suggesting these magmas may not have been sourced from anomalously volatile-rich regions of the lunar interior. Nevertheless, there is evidence that mare basalt and pyroclastic glasses were generated under different petrogenetic circumstances (Longhi, 1987), with the latter showing evidence for KREEP in their sources, while their eruptive style (Shearer and Papike, 1993) and lower 238 U/²⁰⁴Pb (<50; Tera and Wasserburg, 1976) attest to a higher volatile budget. This conclusion is supported by the Δ^{33} S of lunar glasses, which differs from those of mare basalts (Dottin et al., 2023). As such, the exclusive reliance on pyroclastic glasses to determine the composition of the Moon with respect to volatile elements should be treated with caution.

Core

There are no samples of the lunar core; hence its existence is inferred, on geochemical grounds, from its imprint on the composition of lunar rocks. Owing to the small mass ($\sim 0.8\%-1.5\%$) of the lunar core (Table 1), geophysical studies are equivocal as to its nature (i.e., solid or liquid) and composition (see Section "Core") and they must therefore be complemented with geochemical analyses of the products of lunar magmatism.

Ringwood and Kesson (1977) contended that the siderophile element pattern of the Moon is inherited from the Earth's mantle, largely based on the inferred abundances of Co, P, and W in the lunar mantle (themselves derived from low-Ti mare basalt data).

That is, if one accepts that the depletions of these elements in the terrestrial mantle are likely *only* to have been the result of processes endemic to core formation on the Earth (i.e., at mean temperatures and pressures of the order ~3000 K and 30–50 GPa; Wade and Wood, 2005), then Ringwood and Kesson (1977) argue that such conditions could not have prevailed during endogenous core formation on the Moon, the internal pressure of which does not exceed 5.5 GPa. Indeed, the small mass of the lunar core hinders the mantle depletion of all but the most siderophile elements. On this basis, Rammensee and Wänke (1977) came to the same conclusion as Ringwood and Kesson (1977), and argued that the observed W/La ratio is too low (a factor ~20) with respect to chondrites to have been imputed by lunar core formation alone. By contrast, on the evidence that eucrites have W/La similar to lunar basalts, Newsom and Drake (1982) suggested that the W/La similarity between lunar and terrestrial basalts was coincidental and could instead be explained by endogenous core formation on the Moon, were it to have occurred under sufficiently low *f*O₂. Such an hypothesis encountered difficulties when considering that it would result in an overdepletion of other siderophile elements with respect to their observed abundances, notably P, Ni, and Co, and would have required lunar core masses (5.5 wt%) and/or mantle FeO contents (3–6 wt%) (see Newsom and Palme, 1984; Ringwood and Seifert, 1986, for a discussion) that are now known to be far outside those allowed geophysically (see Table 1).

O'Neill (1991) supposed that the activity of the Ni₂SiO₄ component of olivine in the lunar mantle could be used to predict the Ni content of the lunar core, yielding, for an Fe₂SiO₄ activity of 0.17 (Mg#=0.83), segregation at Δ IW-0.8 at an assumed temperature of 1573 K, resulting in 45 wt% Ni in the metallic phase and f_{core} =0.007. However, as O'Neill (1991) employed 1 bar thermodynamic data to solve for equilibrium, and subsequent metal-silicate partitioning data showed a marked decrease in Ni siderophility as a function of increasing pressure (Walker et al., 1993; Thibault and Walter, 1995), the Ni mole fractions estimated by O'Neill (1991) in the lunar core are too high by a factor ~1.5. As a result, commensurately higher core masses (~1 wt %) are required to account for the observed Ni depletion had the lunar core formed at its present-day pressure (~5 GPa; see Section "Core formation"). Nevertheless, the O'Neill (1991) model provides a satisfactory fit for the abundances of some elements (e.g., Cu and P), but not others (Ga, Ge, Sn, Sb). With new experimental data in hand, Righter and Drake (1996) used the fO₂ (Δ IW-1) and lunar mantle abundances determined in the O'Neill (1991) model (and the assumption that the *bulk* Moon abundances are equal to those in Earth's mantle) to derive a core mass of ~5% by mass, which formed at 2200 K and 3.5 GPa with 15 mol% S. The higher pressures computed come from the need to explain the near-negligible Co depletion in the Moon with respect to Earth's mantle, which, at 1 bar, would require temperatures far in excess of the peridotite liquidus.

Most recent estimates have employed Monte-Carlo simulations that take into account uncertainties on parametric fits of metal-silicate partition coefficients to invert for the best-fit values of pressure, temperature, and composition, P-T-X (Righter, 2002; Rai and van Westrenen, 2014; Sharp et al., 2015; Steenstra et al., 2016). The first study to converge upon lunar core properties that remain in line with modern-day estimates is that of Righter (2002), with $P \sim 5$ GPa, $T \sim 1873 - 2000$ K, fO_2 between $\Delta IW - 0.75$ and -1.5, 0.20 < XNi < 0.31, and f_{core} between 0.003 and 0.02. The range of values comes from the different forward models employed to estimate the abundances of Ni, Co, Mo, W, P, Re, and Ga in the bulk Moon. Rai and van Westrenen (2014) were able to narrow this range by considering the five nonvolatile, moderately siderophile elements, Ni, Co, Mo, W, and P (although P is slightly volatile, e.g., Wood et al., 2019) to $P \sim 4.5 \pm 0.5$ GPa and T = 2200 K at the somewhat lower fO₂ of Δ IW-2, yet required a core mass (~2.5%) higher than is now geophysically permitted (Table 1). Again, these authors relied upon preexisting estimates for the abundances of these elements in the bulk Moon (chiefly O'Neill, 1991) which differ from those in the BSE, leading to considerable nonuniqueness in their P-T-X estimates of core formation. Steenstra et al. (2016) extended the model of Rai and van Westrenen (2014) by including chalcophile trace elements (most of which are moderately volatile) to assess the S content of the lunar core, concluding that it must have exceeded 6 wt% to account for the depletion of 15 elements in the lunar mantle. This conclusion, however, is predicated on the depletion of moderately volatile siderophile elements being the consequence of core formation alone, which is unlikely to be the case (see Righter, 2019). Indeed, Steenstra et al. (2020) later argued that superliquidus temperatures (2600-3700 K) were needed to account for Ni and Co abundances in the lunar mantle, under the revised assumption of a BSE-like bulk Moon composition and an fO_2 of $\Delta IW-2$ for $f_{core} = 0.015 - 0.02$. However, increasing the fO_2 to $\Delta IW-1$ and/or decreasing the core mass to 1% would also produce fits to the Ni and Co abundances of the lunar mantle without invoking superheating (see Section "Core formation"). These uncertainties highlight the degeneracy in determining the conditions of lunar core formation, even using the well-constrained elemental abundances of Ni and Co.

Methods for constraining mantle and core composition

As is evident from the foregoing discussion, each method for estimating the composition of the Moon is subject to considerable uncertainty. As such, geochemical estimates for the major element composition of the Moon were supplanted by geophysically constrained models (Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure"). Therefore, here, we focus on the trace element composition of the Moon.

Based on cosmochemical expectations, the bulk lunar mantle should have (i) near-chondritic ratios of refractory lithophile elements (e.g., Al, Ti, Ca) and (ii) Mg#s between 0.80 and 0.90 (cf. Table 1). The inferred sources of low-Ti mare basalts and green glass samples come closest to fulfilling these criteria and have thus been taken as the most representative partial melting products of an hypothetical bulk silicate Moon (e.g., Ringwood and Kesson, 1977; Delano, 1986; Haskin and Warren, 1991). Despite fact that the maria, consisting of flows with total average thicknesses of ~400 m (Dehon, 1979), make up 17% of the surface by area, but only ~1% by volume—~10⁷ km³—of the lunar crust (Head III and Wilson, 1992), they have the advantage of representing partial melting products of the lunar mantle, for which experimental studies can be used to invert their chemical abundances to obtain those of their source regions (e.g., Longhi, 1992; Brown and Grove, 2015, see below). To determine compositions of the elements in

the basalt source regions, we exploit correlations between the element of interest and a refractory lithophile element with similar behavior during partial melting, a commonly used approach (e.g., McDonough and Sun, 1995; Yi et al., 2000; Taylor and Wieczorek, 2014). In practice, this is achieved in two ways:

- (1) By direct use of the ratio X/RLE, where the identity of the RLE is selected such that the ratio X/RLE is independent of X (Wänke et al., 1973). The abundance of X in the lunar mantle is given by multiplying X/RLE by the abundance of the RLE supposed in the lunar mantle, which, here, is assumed to be equal to that in Earth's primitive mantle (i.e., 1.21 × CI, normalized to Mg). Typical ratios are In/Y (Yi et al., 2000), P/Nd (Wanke and Dreibus, 1986), and K/U (McDonough and Sun, 1995).
- (2) Based on the assumption that low Ti magmatism (both glasses and basalts) and MORB are formed by similar degrees of partial melting, the ratio (X/RLE)_{low-Ti} / (X/RLE)_{MORB} is multiplied by the abundance of X in the terrestrial mantle (e.g., Palme and O'Neill, 2014) to yield X_{Moon} . That the behavior of a given element remains constant during partial melting in both mantles is another caveat. This predominantly affects redox-sensitive elements whose valence state is liable to differ between the terrestrial (fO₂ ~ Δ IW+3.5; Frost et al., 2008) and lunar upper mantle (fO₂ ~ Δ IW-1; Herd, 2008).

The considerable improvement in our understanding of the compositions of ocean floor basaltic magmas (Arevalo and McDonough, 2010; Jenner and O'Neill, 2012), and high-precision analyses of mare magmatic products (e.g., Ni et al., 2019; Gargano et al., 2020; Gleißner et al., 2022) behoves a more detailed examination of the differences between terrestrial and lunar basalts. In the following, we use the compositions of mare rocks, taking care to adhere to approaches (1) and (2) (above), together with geophysical constraints to provide estimates for the composition of the bulk silicate Moon for 70 elements (Table 2).

Element	X	1s	Comments	References
H (%)	_	_		
Li (ppm)	1.08	0.55	Li/Ce $=$ 0.42 \pm 0.11 in all mare basalts; n $=$ 110 vs. Li/Ce $=$ 0.54 \pm 0.24 in MORB	Neal (2007); Magna et al. (2006); Day et al. (2016b); Marschall et al. (2017)
Be (ppm)	0.062	0.012	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
B (ppm)	0.10	0.05	$B/Ce = 0.056 \pm 0.006$ in Apollo 12 basalts, $n = 5$. Uncertain.	Neal (2007)
C (%)	-	-		
N (%)	-	-		
F (ppm)	2.5	0.9	F/Lu $=$ 36 \pm 7 in Low Ti mare basalts	Gargano et al. (2020)
Na (ppm)	403	81	Na/Sr = 18.3 \pm 3.7 in all mare basalts; <i>n</i> = 136	Neal (2007)
MgO (%)	-	-	See Table 1	
Al ₂ O ₃ (%)	4.49	0.36	RLE, PM-like	
SiO ₂ (%)	-	-	See Table 1	
P (ppm)	18.7	7.5	P/Nd in mare basalts converge to a ratio of \sim 10 \pm 5 in primitive examples, mean P/La = 35.1 \pm 9.4, n = 116	Neal (2007); Haskin and Warren (1991)
S (ppm)	81	15	S/Tb in mare basalts, melting model	Ding et al. (2018); Gleißner et al. (2022); Gargano et al. (2022)
CI (ppm)	0.31	0.10	Cl/K $=$ 0.006 \pm 0.001 in mare basalts and melt inclusions	Hauri et al. (2011); McCubbin et al. (2015, 2023); Boyce et al. (2018); Ni et al. (2019); Gargano et al. (2020)
K (ppm)	52.6	9.6	K/La = 77 \pm 14 in all mare basalts; n = 136	Neal (2007)
CaO (%)	3.65	0.29	RLE, PM-like	
Sc (ppm)	16.4	1.6	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Ti (ppm)	1265	127	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
V (ppm)	86	5	RLE, PM-like	
Cr (ppm)	2098	461	Constant Cr/V in all mare basalts; $24.4 \pm 5.3 n = 116$	Neal (2007); Sossi et al. (2018)
Mn (ppm)	1236	331	Green glass 0.23 \pm 0.03 wt% Mn0, D_{Mn} ${\sim}1,$ Mn0/Fe0 ${=}$ 0.014 \pm 0.001, Fe0 between 8 and 14 wt%, See Table 1	Laul et al. (1974); Haskin and Warren (1991); Neal (2007)
FeO (%)	-	-	See Table 1	
Co (ppm)	90	5	Green glass = Co/(Fe0+Mg0) = 1.95 \pm 0.05	Delano (1986)
Ni (ppm)	470	50	Green glass $=$ 180 \pm 20 ppm	Delano (1986)
Cu (ppm)	2.3	1.1	Primitive low-Ti basalts = 9.4 ± 2.7 ppm (n =6) vs. MORB = 100 ± 20 ppm, PM = 25 ± 5	Gleißner et al. (2022); Sun et al. (2020)
Zn (ppm)	1.0	0.6	Average, all mare basalts; $n=34$, normalized to primitive MORB/PM	Paniello et al. (2012); Kato et al. (2015); Ni et al. (2019); Gargano et al. (2022)
Ga (ppm)	0.94	0.47	Correlation with Mg# in mare basalts 3.2 vs. 15 ppm in MORB at Mg# $=$ 0.7	Neal (2007); Kato and Moynier (2017); Borg et al. (2019)
Ge (ppm)	-	-	No high precision data	· · ·
As (ppm)	-	-	No high precision data	

 Table 2
 Abundances of elements in the bulk silicate Moon.

Table 2	(Continued)			
Element	X	1s	Comments	References
Se (ppm)	0.014	0.003	S/Se = 5558 \pm 316 in Low Ti mare basalts (n =7)	Gleißner et al. (2022)
Br (ppm)	0.001?	-	Uncertain	Wolf and Anders (1980); Gargano et al. (2020)
Rb (ppm)	0.15	0.01	Rb/Sr $=$ 0.00674 \pm 0.0005 (Sr isotopes)	Halliday and Porcelli (2001); Borg et al. (2022)
Sr (ppm)	22.0	2.2	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Y (ppm)	4.1	0.4	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Zr (ppm)	10.3	1.0	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Nb (ppm)	0.73	0.08	Nb/Ta = 17 \pm 2, n = 37	Münker (2010); Thiemens et al. (2019)
Mo (ppm)	0.019	0.010	Average of ID data of mare basalts ($n=4$); batch melting $F=0.1$ to 0.15. Uncertain.	Burkhardt et al. (2014); Leitzke et al. (2017)
Ru (ppm)	1.6×10^{-4}	5.0×10^{-5}	$0.00023 \pm 2 \times \text{Cl}$	Day et al. (2016a)
Rh (ppm)	3.0×10^{-5}	9.1×10 ⁻⁶	$0.00023 \pm 2 \times \text{Cl}$	Day et al. (2016a)
Pd (ppm)	1.5×10 ⁻⁴	1.0×10^{-4}	$0.00023 \pm 2 imes$ Cl	Day et al. (2016a)
Ag (ppm)	9.9×10 ⁻⁵	9.0×10 ⁻⁶	Constant Ag/Sm in low-Ti basalts; 2.3(0.2) \times 10 ⁻⁴ , $n=7$	Gleißner et al. (2022)
Cd (ppm)	5.2×10 ⁻⁵	1.1×10 ⁻⁵	Constant Cd/Dy in all mare basalts; 7.1(1.6) \times 10 ⁻⁵ , $n = 12$	Gleißner et al. (2022)
ln (ppm)	1.1×10 ⁻⁴	5.0×10 ⁻⁵	Constant In/Y in low-Ti basalts; 2.5(1.2) \times 10 ⁻⁵ , $n=8$	Gleißner et al. (2022)
Sn (ppm)	0.023	0.008	Sn/Sm $=$ 0.018 in mare basalts; $n =$ 8	Wang et al. (2019)
Sb (ppm)	-	-	No high precision data	
Te (ppm)	2.1×10^{-4}	0.3×10^{-4}	Se/Te = 66 \pm 7 (<i>n</i> =7) in low-Ti mare basalts	Gleißner et al. (2022)
l (ppm)	6.2×10 ⁻⁴	2.6×10 ⁻⁴	Cl/I $=$ 0.02 \pm 0.003 in Low Ti basalts (n =7)	Gargano et al. (2020)
Cs (ppm)	0.0062	0.0005	Rb/Cs = 25.4 ± 7.4 , $n = 69$ all mare basalts; log(Cs) = 1.01log(Rb)+1.65 all lunar rocks	Haskin and Warren (1991); Neal (2007)
Ba (ppm)	6.85	1.03	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
La (ppm)	0.683	0.068	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Ce (ppm)	1.753	0.175	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Pr (ppm)	0.266	0.040	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Nd (ppm)	1.341	0.134	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Sm (ppm)	0.435	0.043	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Eu (ppm)	0.167	0.017	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Gd (ppm)	0.586	0.029	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Tb (ppm)	0.108	0.016	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Dy (ppm)	0.724	0.072	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Ho (ppm)	0.160	0.024	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Er (ppm)	0.468	0.047	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Tm (ppm)	0.074	0.011	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
YD (ppm)	0.477	0.048	RLE, PM-like	Palme and U'Neill (2014)
Lu (ppm)	0.071	0.011	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
HT (ppm)	0.301	0.030	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
Ta (ppm)	0.04	0.002	KLE, PM-like	Paime and U'Nelli (2014) Münker (2010): Kruijer and Kleine (2017): Thiomana
w (ppm)	1.1×10 -	2.0×10 °	Constant W/O in all mare basaits; 0.48 \pm 0.07, $n = 17$	et al. (2019)
Ke (ppm)	9.0×10^{-5}	6.0×10°	$0.00023 \pm 2 \times 0$	Day et al. (2016a)
us (ppm)	9.7×10 °	3.0×10 °	$0.00023 \pm 2 \times 01$	Day et al. (2016a)
ir (ppm)	8.7×10 °	4.0×10^{-4}	$0.00023 \pm 2 \times Cl$	Day et al. (2016a)
Pt (ppm)	2.1×10 ⁻⁵	1.3×10 ⁻⁵	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00023 \pm 2 \times \text{Cl} \\ 0.00023 \pm 2 \times \text{Cl} \end{array}$	Day et al. (2016a)
AU (ppm)	3.4×10 °	1.0×10 °	U.UUU23 \pm 2 \times 01	Day et al. (2016a)
ng (ppm)	-	-	No migh precision data	Cleigner et al. (2022)
II (ppm)	3.3×10 °	1.1×10 ° - 0.0010	Constant 11/16 III 10W-11 Dasai(S; 1.5(U.3) \times 10 , $n = 7$	Graph et al. (2022)
ro (ppm)	0.0045	+0.0018	U/ PD (μ ratio) in iow-11 dasaits = 350 \pm 100	Shape et al. (2019); Connelly et al. (2022)
Bi (ppm)	-	-	No high precision data	-
Th (ppm)	0.0849	0.0127	RLE, PM-like	Palme and O'Neill (2014)
U (ppm)	0.0229	0.0034	KLE, YM-like	Paime and O'Neill (2014)

Composition of the Moon

Refractory lithophile elements

Owing to the near constancy (\sim 10% relative) in chondritic meteorites (Wasson and Kallemeyn, 1988), and their lack of affinity for the metallic phase during core formation (e.g., Ringwood and Hibberson, 1991), the relative proportions of the RLEs are taken to be constant in the bulk Moon. Their absolute enrichment factors relative to CI chondritic reference were initially thought to be greater than that observed in the Earth's mantle (2.83 × CI, Palme and O'Neill, 2014) on the basis of the thickness of the Ca-, Al-rich anorthositic crust (e.g., Taylor, 1999). However, this view was overturned following revisions to the crustal structure from Apollo seismic data that led to a thinner and anorthositic crust (Khan et al., 2000; Lognonné et al., 2003, see Section "Mantle") with

significant portions of gabbroic-noritic material (Khan et al., 2000; Wieczorek et al., 2013) both leading to reduced contributions of the crust to the Al- and Ca budget of the bulk Moon. Moreover, the crust over which the Apollo seismic data were collected possesses a higher porosity (12%, on average, in the upper several km) than crystalline anorthosite resulting in a lower mean density (2550 \pm 250 kgm⁻³; Wieczorek et al., 2013).

In independent support of Earth-like RLE abundances, remote-sensing gamma-ray measurement of the concentrations of radioactive RLEs, namely U and Th, show distinct hemispherical asymmetry with most elevated values being detected on the nearside Procellarum KREEP Terrain (PKT) (Lawrence et al., 1998; Jolliff et al., 2000). Calibration of the gamma-ray data with respect to the composition of lunar meteorites and Apollo samples (Warren, 2005; Prettyman et al., 2006; Siegler et al., 2022) yielded mean surface Th contents of ~1.49 ppm, which, when extrapolated to a mantle concentration, resulted in Th between 0.045 and 0.08 ppm (Earth's mantle = 0.08 ppm; Palme and O'Neill, 2014). The major sources of uncertainty contributing to this range of estimates relate to the Th content of the present-day lunar mantle (and, given a constant U/Th ratio of 3.7 ± 0.2 , the U content) as well as its Urey ratio that are used to compare compositional models with lunar heat flux measurements (Siegler et al., 2022). Based on these observations, we assume the RLE enrichment in the Moon to be equivalent to that in Earth's mantle, that is, $2.83 \times CI$.

Volatile lithophile elements

Alkali metals-Li, Na, K, Rb, and Cs. The incompatibility of alkali metals increases down the group, with Li being relatively compatible in olivine and Na in clinopyroxene and plagioclase, while K, Rb, and Cs are highly incompatible, and are therefore often compared with other highly incompatible RLEs (La, Th, U, Ba) and one another (e.g., O'Neill, 1991; Taylor and Wieczorek, 2014). The Li/Yb ratio is typically used for estimating lunar Li abundances (O'Neill, 1991; Ni et al., 2019; Norman and Taylor, 1992); however, this ratio differs among Apollo 12 and 15 low-Ti mare basalts, whereas we find Li/Ce is constant among all mare basalts, producing an Li content of 1.08 \pm 0.55 (Table 2) that is consistent with estimates for the Earth's primitive mantle (1.39 \pm 0.10; Marschall et al., 2017). Sodium is referenced to Sr, owing to their preference for plagioclase over other minerals, for which all basaltic groups define similar Na/Sr ratios to give 403 ± 81 ppm in the bulk Moon, though there are no clear trends within a mare basalt suite. Our result matches the estimate of Ni et al. (2019) derived from melt inclusions, 398 ppm. Among mare basalts, K correlates better with La ($r^2 = 0.93$; Fig. 5) than it does with U ($r^2 = 0.84$) due to its slightly higher compatibility, giving 52.6 \pm 9.6 ppm, higher than the 36.9 \pm 9.6 ppm reported by Taylor and Wieczorek (2014) using the same method, although their Fig. 5 implies a concentration of 46.2 ppm, indistinguishable from our estimate, that of Dauphas et al. (2022), 44 ppm, Ni et al. (2019), 45.5 ppm, and of Hauri et al. (2015), 57 ppm. Rubidium is determined independently by the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Rb ratio of lunar magmatic rocks (Halliday and Porcelli, 2001; Borg et al., 2022) to yield an estimate (0.15 ± 0.01 ppm) in agreement with that derived from Rb/La ratios (0.13 \pm 0.005 ppm; Taylor and Wieczorek, 2014), the 0.1470 ppm of Hauri et al. (2015) but higher than the 0.096 ppm of Dauphas et al. (2022). Using their Rb/Sr ratio (0.0053 \pm 0.0006) and the Sr content of the BSE (22 ppm) yields a higher estimate, 0.117 ± 0.013 ppm. The good correlation between Rb and Cs in all lunar samples ($r^2 = 0.93$; Haskin and Warren, 1991) is exploited to derive 6.2 ± 0.5 ppb Cs in the bulk silicate Moon in agreement with Hauri et al. (2015), 6.7 ppb, though marginally higher than the 5 \pm 0.3 given in Taylor and Wieczorek (2014) on the basis of Cs/La ratios ($r^2 = 0.88$) or Ni et al. (2019), 4.1 ppb.



Fig. 5 Data for K (ppm) vs. K/La compiled from mare basalts, subdivided into those from the Apollo 11, 12, 15, and 17 missions. Modified after Neal CR (2007) Mare basalt compendium. https://www3.nd.edu/cneal/Lunar-L/.

Zn. Precise estimates for the Zn content of the BSMoon are now afforded by high-precision Zn isotope measurements of a variety of lunar lithologies (Moynier et al., 2006; Paniello et al., 2012; Kato et al., 2015; Gargano et al., 2022). These studies reveal remarkable homogeneity in the Zn contents of mare basalts, both high-Ti and low-Ti, lying within the range 1.5 ± 0.8 ppm (excepting 8.1 ppm in the Apollo 11 high-Ti basalt, 10017, which has anomalously light δ^{66} Zn, $-8.0 \pm 4.5\%$). Considering the slight incompatibility of Zn in mantle minerals (Kohn and Schofield, 1994; Le Roux et al., 2011; Davis et al., 2013) and the concomitant enrichment of Zn in MORB relative to their mantle sources (Jenner and O'Neill, 2012), a BSMoon Zn abundance of 1 ± 0.6 ppm is proposed. This is similar to the factor ~200 depletion in Zn/Fe relative to MORB reported by Albarède et al. (2015), but significantly lower than the 7.7 ppm given by Hauri et al. (2015) using the reconstructed composition of orange glass 74220, and the 2.9 ppm reported by Ni et al. (2019) using Zn/Fe ratios on the same sample, but higher than the 0.4 ± 0.15 ppm based on Zn/Sc ratios of Taylor and Wieczorek (2014). Using Sc as a normalizing element likely underestimates Zn abundances in the BSMoon, as Sc is ~10× more compatible than Zn in pyroxenes whereas Zn has a preference for olivine (e.g., Mallmann and O'Neill, 2009; Davis et al., 2013). However, Zn/Fe ratios are also likely misleading, as Zn is present in trace proportions such that its partitioning during partial melting follows Henry's Law, whereas that of Fe is dependent upon phase equilibria, meaning a single partition coefficient is not applicable. Indeed, the Zn/Fe ratio in lunar pyroclastic glasses ranges from 10^{-6} to 10^{-4} (Albarède et al., 2015; McCubbin et al., 2023), rendering Zn abundance estimates using Zn/Fe ratios uncertain.

B, F, Cl, Br, and I. Boron abundances, together with those of the halogens are more difficult to determine in the BSMoon, owing to both their volatility and the lack of samples for which their concentrations have been quantified. Boron abundances have been measured in only a handful of basalts, and our estimate comes from the constancy of B/Ce ratios (as observed for terrestrial magmas; Marschall et al., 2017) in Apollo 12 mare basalts. Nevertheless, our value of 0.10 ± 0.05 ppm is similar to the 0.0743 ppm reported by Hauri et al. (2015) and roughly half the BSE abundance (0.19 ± 0.02 ppm; Marschall et al., 2017). Halogen abundances chiefly rely upon the measurements of McCubbin et al. (2015), Boyce et al. (2018), Ni et al. (2019), and Gargano et al. (2020) in pyroclastic glasses, melt inclusions, and lunar mare basalts. Chlorine, the most often-studied halogen, has abundances that correlate well with K, yielding Cl/K= 0.006 ± 0.001 (see McCubbin et al., 2023), which, given K of 52.6 ppm, yields 0.31 ± 0.10 ppm Cl, similar to 0.142-0.205 ppm (Hauri et al., 2015) and 0.39 ppm (Ni et al., 2019), but lower than the 1.0-4.1 ppm proposed by McCubbin et al. (2023). Given that Cl is as incompatible as K during partial melting, and the Cl contents of mare basalts and glasses are typically ~1-6 ppm (e.g., Ni et al., 2019; Gargano et al., 2020), the estimates of McCubbin et al. (2023) appear too high. The content of fluorine, the most abundant and least volatile of the halogens, is typically estimated by comparison to a LREE, La (O'Neill, 1991) or Nd (Hauri et al., 2015); however, Ni et al. (2019) note that F/Nd ratios are variable among mare basalts, yet, in the absence of another proxy, use it to derive F contents of 5 ppm in the BSMoon, while McCubbin et al. (2023) propose 3.1-4.9 ppm, also based on F/Nd of melt inclusions and mare basalts. We utilize the constant F/Lu ratios in low-Ti basalts (Gargano et al., 2020) to give a similar estimate, 2.5 ± 0.9 ppm. Bromine, although showing behavior akin to that of Cl in MORB (Kendrick et al., 2012), is not correlated with any other element in lunar mare basalts (Gargano et al., 2020), and hence we ascribe a tentative BSMoon estimate of 0.001 ppm to Br, which is, in turn, similar to 0.00079 ppm suggested by Taylor and Wieczorek (2014). Iodine correlates with Cl in low-Ti mare basalts (Gargano et al., 2020), defining a constant I/Cl ratio of 0.02 ± 0.003 , significantly higher than that in MORB ($1.3 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$; Kendrick et al., 2012), yielding $6.2 \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ppm in the BSMoon.

Mn, Cr, and V

These elements are afforded special status in lunar geochemistry on account of their peculiarity among terrestrial and lunar magmas relative to those from other telluric bodies in the inner Solar System. They have similar 50% nebular condensation temperatures at 10^{-4} bar (e.g., Wood et al., 2019) that increase in the order Mn (~1150 K) < Cr (~1290 K) < V (~1380 K).

The philosophy behind the determination of their lunar abundances is contingent upon the empirical correlations between the three elements and Fe among lunar highlands rocks (Ringwood and Kesson, 1977; Seifert and Ringwood, 1988; Drake et al., 1989). This coherence stems from their existence as divalent cations at the fO_2 of the formation of the lunar crust, although this is expected to break down should spinel be present. O'Neill (1991) describes the MnO/FeO ratio as *"the surest known of all items of lunar chemistry*," with a constant value of 0.014 \pm 0.001 across all lunar rocks (Laul et al., 1974; Haskin and Warren, 1991). Previous estimates simply assumed FeO = 12.7 wt% to derive Mn = 1350 ppm, and thus an enrichment of ~30% over Earth's mantle, but significantly lower than in the mantles of Vesta (~4000 ppm; Mittlefehldt, 2015) or Mars (~3000 ppm; Khan et al., 2022). Our approach differs in that we are agnostic as to the lunar mantle FeO content (see Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure"), which could vary between ~8 and ~14 wt% in the BSMoon (Table 1). Yet we exploit the observation that MnO is constant among all mare basalts (0.27 \pm 0.02 wt%), suggesting D(Mn)_{min/melt} close to unity, in agreement with experimentally determined partition coefficients for Mn²⁺ (Kohn and Schofield, 1994; Longhi et al., 1978). The green glass average MnO, 0.23 \pm 0.03 (Delano, 1986), yields 1158 \pm 150 ppm in the BSMoon, and hence an FeO content of 10.6 \pm 1.4 wt%, which is similar to the mean value of the geophysically derived FeO contents. Therefore, we adopt 1236 \pm 331 ppm to reflect this range, while noting that assuming Earth-like FeO contents would result in ~900 ppm Mn.

Vanadium, although lumped in with the RLEs, is the least refractory element of the group, as evidenced by increasing Al/V ratios from CI (159) to CV (182) chondrites (Jones and Palme, 2000). Indeed, the Earth's mantle's Al/V ratio is 275, though much of the V depletion relative to Al and chondrites likely arises from core formation (Siebert et al., 2013). Here we assume that V is present in Earth's mantle-like abundances, given no reason to expect its depletion, neither by volatility (*cf.* Li, which is more volatile) nor by core formation (*cf.* W, which is more siderophile); hence $V = 86 \pm 5$ ppm in the BSMoon. The Cr/V ratio is near-constant among all

mare basalts and pyroclastic glasses (24.4 ± 5.3 , n = 116), which, for 86 ± 5 ppm, yields 2098 ± 461 ppm, marginally depleted with respect to, but overlapping within uncertainty of the BSE (2520 ± 250 ppm).

To reproduce the V (~175 ppm) and Cr (~4000 ppm) contents of low-Ti Apollo 12 mare basalts with the highest Mg# (0.58) and of the lunar green glass (V=160 ± 3 ppm, Cr=3458 ± 248 ppm; Meyer, 2005), bulk D(V,Cr)_{min/melt} of ~0.5 are required. This is readily achievable for Cr, because, for all Cr as Cr²⁺ at the conditions of partial melting at Δ IW–1 (e.g., Mallmann and O'Neill, 2009; O'Neill and Berry, 2021), D(Cr)_{min/melt} is ~0.5 in all mantle silicate minerals (Seifert and Ringwood, 1988; Hanson and Jones, 1998; Mallmann and O'Neill, 2009; Sossi and O'Neill, 2016). However, V becomes distinctly more compatible at lower fO₂ as it converts from V⁴⁺ under Earth's mantle conditions to V^{3+, 2+} in the lunar mantle, with a bulk D(V)_{min/melt} close to unity at Δ IW–1 (Mallmann and O'Neill, 2009). However, their experiments were performed in the iron-free system, while Kohn and Schofield (1994) have shown that D(M²⁺)_{min/melt} might be expected to be lower for high NBO/T (~2), FeO-rich mare basaltic liquids; a prediction supported by experiments performed in Fe-Ni capsules on the green glass composition, in which D(V)_{ol/melt}=0.3, D(V)_{opx/melt}=0.6 and D(V)_{cpx/melt}=0.9, yielding bulk D(V)_{min/melt} ~0.5 (Seifert and Ringwood, 1988) and in line with those determined for olivine-melt pairs for lunar basalt analogues (Jing et al., 2024). Additional experiments examining the partitioning of Mn, Cr, and V in lunar composition melts would be useful in better constraining their mantle abundances.

Nonvolatile siderophile elements

Co and Ni. Cobalt abundances were estimated based on correlations between CoO and FeO and MgO in lunar pyroclastic glasses, yielding (90 ± 5; Delano, 1986) for the BSMoon. This figure highlights that the Co contents of the terrestrial (102 ± 5; Palme and O'Neill, 2014) and lunar mantles are indistinguishable within uncertainty. The Ni content of the Moon is taken from Delano (1986) based on the Ni concentrations of green glass beads, together with its partition coefficient during melting of the lunar mantle, yielding 470 ± 50 ppm. Should the Mg# of the bulk lunar mantle exceed that in equilibrium with the green glass (~0.84–0.87 for liquid Mg# of 0.62–0.67, respectively; Elkins-Tanton et al., 2003), the Ni content would be a minimum estimate owing to the compatibility of Ni in olivine and the $K_{D,olivine-melt}^{Pe-Mg}$ of 0.33 ± 0.02 (Longhi et al., 1978).

Mo and W. These elements bear special significance in constraining models for lunar origin, as they are the only two elements that are refractory (though see O'Neill, 1991; Sossi et al., 2019) as well as moderately siderophile. Molybdenum abundances in terrestrial and lunar rocks were the subject of investigations by Horton Newsom and colleagues throughout the 1980s (Newsom and Palme, 1984; Newsom, 1986). These authors based their estimates of the Mo content of the BSMoon on correlations with Nd, yielding an Mo/Nd ratio of 0.0016 in mare basalts, some 27× lower than that in terrestrial basalts, 0.043 (Newsom and Palme, 1984), with the modern value = 0.060 ± 0.018 (Jenner and O'Neill, 2012). However, the Mo/Nd ratio used by Newsom (1986) is scattered among mare basalts, as noted by Haskin and Warren (1991). Indeed, our compilation indicates $\log[Mo/Nd] = -2.20 \pm$ 0.46), n = 54. More recently, Leitzke et al. (2017) performed experiments to assess the effect of oxygen fugacity on Mo partitioning between plausible lunar mantle minerals and silicate melt. They observed increasing D(Mo)_{min/melt} to lower fO₂, consistent with the stoichiometry of the equilibrium $MOO_2(l) + 1/2O_2(g) = MOO_3(l)$. Based on thermochemical data and metal-silicate partitioning experiments (Holzheid et al., 1994; O'Neill and Eggins, 2002) and supplemented by X-Ray Absorption Near-Edge Structure measurements (XANES; Righter et al., 2016), XMoO₃/XMoO₂=1 near Δ IW-1. Thus, at the fO₂ of mare basalt source regions, Mo exists largely as Mo⁴⁺ and the bulk $D(Mo)_{min/melt}$ ranges from ~0.5 (in the absence of metal) to ~5 (for a metal-bearing source) (Leitzke et al., 2017). We use the average of Mo abundances determined in mare basalts (0.033 \pm 0.015, n=4; Burkhardt et al., 2014) and apply the melting model of Leitzke et al. (2017) in the absence of metal (see below) to estimate 0.019 \pm 0.010 Mo (in ppm) in the BSMoon. We stress that additional high-precision determinations of the Mo contents of mare basalts would be invaluable.

Tungsten, as a highly incompatible element, has long been recognized to correlate near-perfectly with La (Wänke et al., 1973, 1977; Ringwood and Kesson, 1977) and with U (Palme and Rammensee, 1981; O'Neill, 1991, Fig. 6). Unlike Mo, W is mostly hexavalent, even at the reducing conditions of mare basalt petrogenesis (O'Neill et al., 2008), and its D(W)_{min/melt} remains <0.1 (Fonseca et al., 2014) during partial melting, unless the fO_2 descends below ΔIW -2. Here we use W abundances in a selection of mare basalts determined by ID-MS (Münker, 2010; Kruijer and Kleine, 2017; Thiemens et al., 2019) together with U abundances on the same samples to derive W/U=0.47 ± 0.07, n=17, and hence a W abundance of 0.011 ± 0.002 ppm. This value is indistinguishable from that in the BSE (0.013 ± 0.002 ppm, Kleine and Walker, 2017).

Platinum-Group Elements (PGEs). Platinum Group Element abundances of the BSMoon, on the basis of their concentrations in mare basalts, were deduced to be present in the lunar mantle at $0.00023 \pm 0.00002 \times \text{CI}$ abundances (Day et al., 2016a). Translating PGE abundances in mare basalts to those of their mantle sources is predicated on the assumption that, upon partial melting, no PGE-bearing phases remained in the residue. Few—if any—primitive lunar magmas have S concentrations sufficiently high (typically between 600 and 2000 ppm) to saturate in a sulfide phase in the mantle (Ding and Dasgupta, 2017; Steenstra et al., 2018; Brenan et al., 2019), nor during crustal-level differentiation (Steenstra et al., 2018). However, some lunar magmas could exceed the SCSS should the activity of the FeS component in the sulfide phase be significantly below unity (e.g., due to the presence of Fe-rich metal or Ni-rich sulfide in solid solution), as used to model U/W and Hf/W trends in mare basalts Fonseca et al. (2014). Brenan et al. (2019), propose, as a consequence, that mare basalts were indeed sulfide saturated, and that their PGE abundances do not reflect that in their mantle sources, but rather contamination with $\leq 1\%$ (by mass) of regolith material. Metal and sulfide are both accessory phases in Apollo 12 and 15 mare basalts (e.g., Brett et al., 1971; Brett, 1976), and most appear to have PGE element patterns consistent with fractional crystallization rather than regolith contamination, supported by Pd/Ir ratios in whole rock mare



Fig. 6 High-precision ID-TIMS data for W (ppm) vs. U (ppm) abundances compiled from mare basalts (Münker, 2010; Kruijer and Kleine, 2017; Thiemens et al., 2019), subdivided into those from the Apollo 11, 12, 15, and 17 missions.

basalts (Day and Paquet, 2021) and other chalcophile metals (Day, 2018). Nevertheless, contamination of mare basalt melts by regolith and of the lunar mantle by late-accreting chondritic material are two processes that are inherently difficult to disentangle. Therefore, we adopt the PGE abundances in the BSMoon determined by Day et al. (2016a), noting that these may be maximum estimates.

Volatile chalcophile and siderophile elements

Moderately volatile—*S*, *Se*, *Te*, *Ga*, *Cu*, *P*. Sulfur, as the most abundant of the aforementioned elements, can be determined from its abundances in lunar mare basalts. Typically, S is ratioed to Dy (Saal et al., 2002; McCubbin et al., 2023). Assuming the highest S/Dy ratios are representative, McCubbin et al. (2023) determine 88–202 ppm S in the lunar mantle. However, it should be noted that S concentration in a silicate melt in equilibrium with a sulfide phase is constrained to the SCSS (e.g., Ding and Dasgupta, 2017), whereas Dy, as a trace element, has no such restriction. Owing to the homogeneity in the S and chalcophile and highly siderophile element contents of low-Ti basalts (Gargano et al., 2022; Gleißner et al., 2022; Day and Paquet, 2021, see Section "Nonvolatile siderophile elements"), it is unlikely that they were sulfide saturated at their sources unless the activity of FeS (*a*FeS) was low (<0.5). As such, their S contents are likely to be reflective of those in their sources. While S is prone to degassing from lunar magmas (Saal et al., 2002; Renggli et al., 2017), the uniformity in the δ^{34} S isotopic composition of mare basalts (= 0.58 ± 0.05) implies that they lost $\leq 10\%$ of their S budgets during emplacement (Wing and Farquhar, 2015). We use a combination of the S/Tb ratio and partial melting models (after Gleißner et al., 2022) to determine the S content of the BSMoon to 81 ± 15 ppm. The Se content follows from the constancy of S/Se ratios in low-Ti basalts (5558 ± 315; Gleißner et al., 2022), which is a factor ~2 greater than that in Earth's mantle (2690 ± 700; Wang and Becker, 2013). Tellurium abundance is given by the constant Se/Te ratio, 66 ± 7 (n=7) in the same samples, similar to the Se/Te in MORB, but an order of magnitude higher than in peridotites (7.9 ± 1.6, n=63, Wang and Becker, 2013).

Copper contents are variable in mare basalts, ranging typically from 1–20 ppm (Herzog et al., 2009; Gleißner et al., 2022). However, among the highest Mg# low-Ti basalts, Cu abundances are homogeneous at 9.4 ± 2.7 ppm. Given the ~100 ppm in primitive MORB (Jenner and O'Neill, 2012) and the 25 ± 5 ppm in Earth's mantle (Sun et al., 2020), we derive a BSMoon abundance of 2.3 ± 1.1 ppm, indistinguishable from the 2.1 ppm proposed by O'Neill (1991) and 3 ± 1.5 ppm by Righter (2019) using a similar approach. Gallium contents are estimated by first noting that there is a weak inverse correlation of Ga with Mg# in all mare basalts ($r^2 = 0.21$; Fig. 7A), resulting in 3.2 ppm at the highest Mg# (0.58) compared with 15 ppm in MORB at similar Mg# (0.62, Jenner and O'Neill, 2012). For 4.4 ppm Ga in the BSE, the mare basalt/MORB ratio implies 0.94 ± 0.47 ppm in the BSMoon, in agreement with 1 ± 0.5 found by Righter (2019). However, this is a factor ~2 lower than estimated by McCubbin et al. (2023), who used the Ga/AI ratio given the concordance of Ga³⁺ and Al³⁺ in terrestrial magmas (De Argollo and Schilling, 1978). However, Ga and Al show no such correlation among lunar lithologies (Haskin and Warren, 1991), neither should the two elements be compared given that Al is a major element and hence does not show Henrian behavior.

Although phosphorus often mirrors Nd during magmatic processes (Wanke and Dreibus, 1986; O'Neill, 1991), the P/Nd ratios of mare basalts are not constant as a function of P content; rather, they form linear arrays in P/Nd vs. P space that converge to a



Fig. 7 (A) Distribution of Ga (ppm) as a function of Mg# in mare basalts and MORB (Jenner and O'Neill, 2012) and (B) arrays formed by mare basalts as a function of P/Nd. Panels A and B: Modified after Neal CR (2007) Mare basalt compendium. https://www3.nd.edu/cneal/Lunar-L/.

common value near P/Nd = 10 ± 5 at low P contents (Fig. 7B). Instead, P/La ratios are essentially uniform in all mare basalts, $35.1 \pm$ 9.4 (as also noted by Righter, 2019) and, combining the two estimates yields 18.7 ± 7.5 ppm P. This is in excellent agreement with the estimates of 20 ppm (O'Neill, 1991) and 30 ± 10 ppm (Righter, 2019), confirming the \sim 3- to 5-fold depletion of P relative to the BSE (87 ± 13 ppm; Palme and O'Neill, 2014).

Highly volatile-Pb, Sn, Ag, In, Cd, Tl. Owing to the fact that these elements are both highly volatile and at least weakly siderophile, they are present in vanishingly small quantities in mare basalts, rendering their BSMoon abundances difficult to estimate. Of these, Pb is by far the most abundant and can also be determined by the measured μ (²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb) ratios in mare basalts. Although variability exists among the high- and low-Ti basalt types, there is consensus as to the homogeneity of the low-Ti basalt source with $\mu = \sim 300-400$ Snape et al. (2019); Connelly et al. (2022). Using a mean value of 350 ± 50 , and a U abundance of 0.0229 ppm, we obtain 0.0045 $_{+0.0018}^{-0.0010}$ ppm Pb. This estimate is 2.5× higher than that of O'Neill (1991) but a factor 5 lower than the value given by Hauri et al. (2015). Tin is rarely measured in lunar rocks, with an average of 45 ± 20 ppb reported by Wolf and Anders (1980). Modern values obtained in the context of Sn isotope measurements (Wang et al., 2019) are a factor \sim 2 higher, 86 \pm 34 ppb (n=8) with no systematic difference between low- and high-Ti basalts. Although these authors used the Sn/Sm ratio to estimate the lunar mantle abundances by analogy with the concordant behavior of these two elements during terrestrial magmatic processes, this is not likely to hold during mare basalt petrogenesis, as Sn assumes its stannous state near the IW buffer (Roskosz et al., 2020). Nevertheless, we adopt the value of Wang et al. (2019), 23 ± 8 ppb, noting that it is likely to be a minimum estimate, even though it is higher than the 6 \pm 4 ppb derived by Righter (2019). Indium, as a trivalent cation under all plausible fO₂ conditions, is known as a sister element to Y (e.g., Yi et al., 2000). In low-Ti mare basalts, the In/Y ratio is $(2.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$ (Gleißner et al., 2022), resulting in 0.11 \pm 0.05 ppb In in the BSMoon, similar to that given by O'Neill (1991). The remaining elements, Cd, Ag and Tl, are moderately (Cd, Ag; Witt-Eickschen et al., 2009; Li et al., 2022) to highly incompatible (Tl; Prytulak et al., 2017) and show reasonable correlations with the REE in mare basalts (Gleißner et al., 2022). Yi et al. (2000) provide evidence for constant Cd/Dy in oceanic basalts on Earth, and we find no variation of Cd/Dy, stable at $(7.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$, with Cd content in low-Ti mare basalts (Gleißner et al., 2022). This compares with a Cd/Dy of 0.048 in mantle peridotites (Witt-Eickschen et al., 2009), meaning Cd is depleted by a factor 680 in the Moon (vs. BSE, 35 ± 7 ppb) at 0.052 ± 0.011 ppb. This is a factor ~2 lower than the 0.13 ppb of O'Neill (1991) and 6× lower than given by Righter (2019), both using the older Wolf and Anders (1980) determinations with a mean Cd content in low-Ti mare basalts of 1.99 ± 0.35 ppb, compared to 0.45 ± 0.12 ppb in Gleißner et al. (2022). In Earth's mantle, Ag is largely hosted in sulfide, a product of its strong chalcophile affinity (e.g., Kiseeva and Wood, 2015). However, sulfide is unlikely to be a residual phase during the petrogenesis of lunar basalts, and under these conditions Ag behaves as a moderate to highly incompatible lithophile element (Li et al., 2022). In support of this assertion, mare basalts define constant Ag/Sm $(2.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4})$ that results in an Ag abundance of 0.10 ± 0.01 ppb in the the BSMoon, similar to the 0.18 ppb proposed by Hauri et al. (2015) and $3-4\times$ lower than given by Righter (2019) and O'Neill (1991). Thallium is a highly incompatible element during partial melting on Earth, with D(Tl)min/melt similar to Cs, Ba, or U (Heinrichs et al., 1980; Prytulak et al., 2017). In low-Ti mare basalts, Tl correlates adequately with La and with Te. The corresponding ratios of Tl/La, $(4.8 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$, and Tl/Te $(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ imply Tl contents of 0.033 \pm 0.011 ppb, consistent with other estimates; 0.042 ppb (O'Neill, 1991) and 0.03 ± 0.01 ppb (Righter, 2019), but significantly lower than the 1.5 ppb of Hauri et al. (2015).

Physicochemical evolution of the Moon

Volatile depletion

One of the characteristic features of the Moon that emerges from the composition of its mantle (Table 2) is the extensive depletion in volatile elements compared to both CI chondrites (Fig. 8A) and the bulk silicate Earth (Fig. 8B). Although the volatile-poor nature of the Moon has long been recognized (section "Composition of the Moon"), new determinations of the abundances of trace volatile elements in lunar mare basalts and pyroclastic glasses (e.g., Ni et al., 2019; Gargano et al., 2020; Gleißner et al., 2022) and their associated isotopic compositions (Paniello et al., 2012; Wang and Jacobsen, 2016; Nie and Dauphas, 2019) have afforded better-constrained conclusions to be drawn from their distributions.

Kreutzberger et al. (1986), through experimentation in which lunar analogue silicate melts were heated under controlled oxygen fugacity, demonstrated that the alkali elements evaporate in the order Na > K > Rb > Cs, that is, down the group. O'Neill (1991) assessed the likelihood that lunar volatile depletion was caused by evaporation from silicate liquids (or analogues thereof) on the basis of thermodynamic data for their presumed vaporization reactions. The recognition that the Li content of the lunar mantle is equivalent, within uncertainty, to that of the Earth's while Na is depleted by a factor of ~5 was used to argue that volatile loss must have occurred at low temperatures, near 1400 K (O'Neill, 1991, see also Table 2)—too low for silicate melts to have been stable. That the isotopic composition of Li (expressed as $\delta^{7/6}$ Li) of lunar mare basalts and their terrestrial counterparts are equivalent within measurement uncertainty substantiates the notion that Li behaved conservatively during the formation of the Moon (Magna et al., 2006). The absence of volatile loss of any element more refractory than Li is supported by the similarity in the stable isotope compositions of these elements in the Earth and Moon, including Fe (Sossi and Moynier, 2017; Poitrasson et al., 2019), Si (Armytage et al., 2012), Mg (Sedaghatpour et al., 2013), Ti (Millet et al., 2016), and Ca (Simon and DePaolo, 2010) even when chondrites and basaltic achondrites exhibit a significant range.

In detail, the abundances of the alkalis are correlated with those of refractory lithophile elements among *all* lunar lithologies (including the ferroan anorthosites, Ringwood and Kesson, 1977; Haskin and Warren, 1991), while the stable isotope compositions of Zn and K compared to Earth's mantle (Paniello et al., 2012; Wang and Jacobsen, 2016) are uniformly heavy. Both observations, together with the uniformly low K/Th ratio of the lunar surface (~360, Prettyman et al., 2006), attest to the depletion in volatiles being a characteristic feature of the whole Moon, as originally suggested by Ringwood (1970), rather than pertaining to localized volatile loss during eruption. Temperature constraints on volatile depletion are therefore instrumental in distinguishing between plausible dynamical scenarios for lunar formation (Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon").

To first order, there is evidence that elements taken to be refractory under solar nebular conditions, such as the rare-Earth elements (REEs), behaved as such during Moon formation, on the grounds that volatility-driven abundance patterns, as observed in some CAIs (Boynton, 1975; Hu et al., 2021) are not detected in lunar rocks. This is not unexpected, in that the Moon almost



Fig. 8 The abundances of elements in the bulk silicate Moon (BSMoon; Table 2) normalized to AI and (A) CI chondrites and (B) the bulk silicate Earth (BSE), plotted as a function of their 50% nebular condensation temperatures (Wood et al., 2019). Elements are color-coded by their nominal affinity for silicate (lithophile; *green*), metal (siderophile, *gray*), and sulfide (chalcophile, *orange*) during planetary accretion and differentiation.

certainly formed long (>50 Myr, see Section "Timing of the formation of the Moon") after the nebular gas had dispersed (~5 Myr, Weiss et al., 2021). An important corollary thereof is that the H₂-rich nebular gas would have no longer imposed an fO_2 on the material from which the Moon was forming. Instead, as pointed out by O'Neill (1991) and developed more recently by Visscher and Fegley (2013), Canup et al. (2015), Lock et al. (2018), Tartèse et al. (2021), Ivanov et al. (2022), and Fegley et al. (2023), any vapor phase would have been generated from proto-lunar material itself. In order for such a vapor to impart volatile depletion on the residual, Moon-forming matter, it must have been subsequently removed from the system. Considering that the present-day Moon is overwhelmingly comprised of silicates, they would have also likely been a major constituent at the locus of vapor production. Consequently, vaporization reactions of the type:

$$M^{x+n}O_{(x+n)/2}(l,s) = M^{x}O_{n/2}(g) + \frac{n}{4}O_{2}(g)$$
(1)

would have prevailed during lunar volatile loss, where $M^{x+n}O_{(x+n)/2}$ pertains to the oxide component of the element, M dissolved in silicate (liquid or solid), $M^xO_{n/2}$ the equilibrium gaseous (oxide) species, and n the number of electrons exchanged. In this scenario, fO_2 is set by the dissociative vaporization of silicate material (e.g., Visscher and Fegley, 2013; Sossi and Fegley, 2018). However, even small quantities of metallic iron could have buffered the fO_2 of the system to values ~ 1 log unit below the Iron-Wüstite buffer (Δ FMQ – 4.5). Assuming equilibrium, the resultant partial pressure (p) of $M^xO_{n/2}$ is given by:

$$\ln p(M^{x}O_{n/2}) = \frac{-\Delta G_{1}^{o}}{RT} + \ln a(M^{x+n}O_{[x+n]/2}) - \ln \frac{n}{4}f(O_{2})$$
(2)

where $a(M^{x+n}O_{[x+n]/2})$ refers to the activity of $M^{x+n}O_{(x+n)/2}$ in the condensed phase(s) and ΔG_1^o is the Gibbs free energy of reaction, taking the reference pressure (1 bar) and a convenient standard state for $M^{x+n}O_{n/2}$, such as the pure liquid/solid component at the temperature of interest and 1 bar. Without knowledge of the mass of vapor relative to that of condensed material, the absolute quantity of the element, M, vaporized is not resolved. A more tractable computation is to define the *relative* fraction of an element, M_1 , evaporated with respect to a comparator element, M_2 , as the total mass of the system cancels from the operation (O'Neill, 1991). In silicate/metal systems, a single stable gaseous species prevails for most moderately volatile elements (Sossi et al., 2019) such that the mass fraction of an element in the vapor, \int^{pap} , assuming ideal gas behavior, is proportional to the partial pressure of the dominant species:

$$f^{vap} = \frac{X(M^{x}O_{n/2})}{X_{0}} = \frac{p(M^{x}O_{n/2})}{X_{0}P_{T}}$$
(3)

where X_0 is the mole fraction of the element initially in the system and P_T is the total pressure. By definition, the fraction remaining in the condensed phase is then $(1 - f_{vap})$, which is itself equivalent to:

$$(1 - f^{vap}) = \frac{X(M^{x+n}O_{[x+n]/2})}{X_0} = \frac{a(M^{x+n}O_{[x+n]/2})}{X_0\gamma(M^{x+n}O_{[x+n]/2})}$$
(4)

When combining Eqs. (3) and (4)) with Eq. (2) for two elements, M_1 and M_2 , the total pressure cancels, as does the initial amount of the element in the system, while fO_2 also cancels provided the vaporization reactions of the two elements have the same n, resulting in:

$$\frac{f_{M1}^{vap}}{(1-f_{M1}^{vap})} = \frac{f_{M2}^{vap}}{(1-f_{M2}^{vap})} \left(\frac{\gamma_{M1}}{\gamma_{M2}}\right) f(O_2)^{\frac{\Delta n_{M2-M1}}{4}} \exp\frac{(\Delta G_{M2}^o - \Delta G_{M1}^o)}{RT}$$
(5)

where $(\Delta G_{M2}^o - \Delta G_{M1}^o)$ relates to the free energy of the exchange reaction between vapor and condensed phase(s), such as:

$$Li(g) + NaO_{0.5}(s, l) = Na(g) + LiO_{0.5}(s, l)$$
(6)

For a given composition, the relative vaporization of elements in reactions of the type of Eq. (6) is sensitive only to temperature, provided their respective activity coefficients in the condensed phase(s) of interest are known. The uncertainty in activity coefficients is also mitigated given that the operative variable is their *ratio*. Elements of a particular valence can be arranged into components of the same moiety in silicate liquids; if these components bear resemblance to crystalline phases, then they are expected to mix near-ideally (e.g., Ghiorso and Carmichael, 1980). Enthalpies of fusion will also cancel for two crystalline phases, provided they have similar melting points. This approximation is particularly useful for putative alkali oxide components (e.g., LiAlSi₃O₈, KAlSi₃O₈, NaAlSi₃O₈). For divalent cations, experimental data for the activities of their oxide species (e.g., FeO, NiO, CoO) in silicate melts indicate that they mix near-ideally relative to the pure liquid metal oxide (O'Neill and Eggins, 2002; Wood and Wade, 2013), while SiO₂, as a major component of silicate melts, has activities close to unity.

Elements for which thermodynamic properties of stable silicate phases (and activities in silicate liquids) are available are listed in Table 3. In constraining temperature, only the alkali elements are used, as their vaporization stoichiometries eliminate any dependence on fO_2 (Eq. 6). Moreover, the entropy change across alkali oxide exchange reactions are similar (Table 3) such that $(\Delta G_{M2}^o - \Delta G_{M1}^o)$ is nearly independent of temperature, and relative volatilities are proportional to $\exp(1/T)$. The corollary is that low temperatures exaggerate the discrimination between elements that are readily vaporized (e.g., Rb) from those that are not (e.g., Li). The abundances of the alkali metals in the BSMoon relative to the BSE are shown in Fig. 9.

	⊿H⁰ (kJ/mol)	ΔS° (kJ/mol·K)	n	γo ^a
Li	419.0	0.141	1	0.2
Cs	217.0	0.113	1	$3.0 imes10^{-5b}$
Rb	220.5	0.119	1	$3.0 imes10^{-5}$
Ga	754.7	0.248	3	0.036
К	236.1	0.122	1	$7.3 imes10^{-5}$
Na	267.0	0.121	1	$5.0 imes10^{-4}$
Zn	411.7	0.177	2	0.17
Ag	279.5	0.141	1	0.22
In	690.8	0.255	3	0.017
Ge	471.2	0.230	2	0.03
Cd	355.0	0.194	2	0.05

 Table 3
 Thermodynamic properties of evaporation reactions for selected moderately volatile elements of the form Eq. (1). All data from

 Sossi et al. (2019) except for Ga and In from Bischof et al. (2023).

 $a_{\gamma_0}^a$ calculated for the oxide in silicate liquid at a reference temperature, T_0 , of 1673 K which yields γ at the desired temperature, T, using the equation $\ln \gamma = (T_0/T) \ln \gamma_0$.

 ${}^{b}\gamma_{0}$ for CsO_{0.5} assumed to be equivalent to that for RbO_{0.5}.



Fig. 9 The bulk silicate Earth (BSE)-normalized abundances of the alkali metals in the bulk silicate Moon as a function of the square root of their molar masses. The *colored, dashed lines* correspond to the modeled abundances of Na, K, Rb, and Cs, using Eq. (5), assuming $(1 - f_{L}^{vap}) = 0.9$, at different temperatures: 1300 K (*blue*), 1400 K (*green*), 1500 K (*yellow*), 1600 K (*orange*), 1700 K (*red*). The *solid black line* is calculated according to the equation $(1 - f_{A}^{vap}) = (1 - f_{Na}^{vap}) \times \sqrt{M_A/M_{Na}}$, where A=Li, Na, K, Rb, and Cs.

The computation illustrates the necessity for alkali vaporization to have occurred at low temperatures, roughly 1500 K, in order to preserve 90% of the Moon's Li budget, while simultaneously vaporizing 80% of that of Na, from a BSE-like initial composition. Should volatile loss have occurred at higher temperatures (>1800 K) then the alkali metals should each be depleted to a similar extent relative to one another (i.e., f^{pap} for Li and Na would be similar), a feature that is not observed. Since these temperatures are too low to engender vapor loss from a fully formed Moon via thermal escape (see Tang and Young, 2020; Charnoz et al., 2021, Section "Lunar volatile loss"), separation of vapor from small mm- to cm-sized precursor material to the Moon may have been responsible for its present-day volatile element budget. Such low temperatures are also a far cry from the liquidus temperature of mantle peridotite (Hirschmann, 2000), suggesting that vapor loss likely occurred subsolidus in equilibrium with silicates, plausibly olivine, pyroxene, and feldspar, and possibly metallic solid/liquid iron-rich alloy. In order to assess whether iron-rich alloys were present, exchange reactions that depend on fO_2 should be examined. The same treatment applied to the alkali metals is extended to Ga, Zn, Ag, In, Ge, and Cd (Fig. 10).



Fig. 10 The log₁₀ bulk silicate Earth (BSE)-normalized abundances of selected volatile elements in the bulk silicate Moon ordered by their depletion factors $(1 - f^{ap})$. The *colored lines* correspond to the modeled abundances in the bulk silicate Moon, using Eq. (5), assuming $(1 - f^{ap}_{Zn}) = 0.02$, at different oxygen fugacities relative to the iron-wüstite (IW) buffer: +9 (*blue*), +7 (*green*), +5 (*yellow*), +3 (*orange*), +1 (*red*), and at constant temperature (1500 K).

Assuming a constant temperature fixed by the relative abundances of the alkalis, what emerges is that very high fO_2 s (>IW+7) are required to simultaneously reproduce the abundances of the alkali metals and Zn (Fig. 10). However, such conditions would overestimate Ag loss, whose vaporization stoichiometry mirrors those of the alkalis. Moreover, Ga and In, owing to their n=3 evaporation reactions, behave as refractory elements under oxidizing conditions, whereas their lunar abundances are slightly (Ga) or markedly (In) lower than in the bulk silicate Earth. There is therefore a stark disconnect between the degree of depletion among the alkali metals (+Ga) and the highly volatile elements (Cd, Ag, Ge, In, Zn). The measured abundances of the latter group in lunar mare basalts was initially theorized to be the result of addition of a small amount (~4% mass of the Moon) of chondritic material (Wolf and Anders, 1980; O'Neill, 1991). However, this scenario would have resulted in a chondritic Zn isotope composition of the Moon, which is at odds with the highly fractionated Zn isotope composition of lunar mare basalts ($\delta^{66}Zn \sim +1.5\%$ Paniello et al., 2012; Kato et al., 2015) relative to terrestrial basalts ($\delta^{66}Zn \sim +0.3\%$). The prevailing interpretation, therefore, is that the lunar abundances of these elements are residual from vapor loss event(s).

In aid of determining the nature of such an event(s), Jones and Palme (2000) noted that the apparent depletions of the alkali metals in the Moon are inversely proportional to their volatilities deduced by Kreutzberger et al. (1986), leading the authors to suggest that the loss of heavier alkalis was stymied by a mass transport process. Indeed, the abundances of alkali metals in the Moon with respect to the Earth increase as a function of the square root of their molar mass (Fig. 9). However, this correlation may be fortuitous, in that the abundances of the heavier alkalis are poorly defined in both the Earth and Moon (cf. Mezger et al., 2021). Such a pronounced mass-dependent control on vapor loss efficacy would have also resulted in extensive kinetic stable isotope fractionation of these elements, which is not observed (see below).

Therefore, given that the observed depletion factors of the elements are likely to reflect their equilibrium volatilities, the combination of temperatures that are below the peridotite liquidus (~1400 K) and the recognition that there is no single oxygen fugacity that satisfactorily accounts for the volatile element composition of the Moon leads to the conclusion that vapor loss may have occurred under differing assumptions than those considered here. Plausible alternatives include vapor segregation (i) in stages rather than in a single event (and hence with an evolving, non-BSE-like composition), (ii) between gas and solid(s) rather than gas and liquid(s), and (iii) under different thermodynamic conditions, for example, in the presence of an H, C, S, N, and/or Cl-rich atmosphere or with liquid Fe-Ni alloy stable.

The difficulty in reproducing the abundances of the alkali metals on the one hand, and highly volatile elements on the other, during a single event was noted by Ivanov et al. (2022), who proposed that the Moon was formed by mixing between a high temperature (4000 K) condensate with a lower temperature (2000–2500 K) condensate in the presence of liquid metal. However, their model predicts strong (~50%) depletions in the main components, Mg, Si, and Fe, which are not observed. Although Lock et al. (2018) presented an ostensible match between the composition of liquid condensates at 3000–3500 K and ~10 bar and that of the bulk silicate Moon, these computations considered only a subset of volatile elements (i.e., Li, Rb, In, Cd, and Cs were absent). Fegley and Lodders (2017) also showed that such temperatures would lead to the development of pronounced negative Ce anomalies in the Moon, which are not observed (though LREE depletions are detected for the Moon based on Ce isotopes (Hasenstab-Dübeler et al., 2023), these are inferred to have been inherited from the Earth rather than from vaporization). These temperatures are, however, significantly higher than what is often experimentally achievable (e.g., Sossi and Fegley, 2018), behooving caution when extrapolating these data to potential Moon-forming conditions.

The equilibrium approach detailed above provides an additional testable hypothesis; because equilibrium mass-dependent isotopic fractionation scales with $1/T^2$ (Urey, 1947), observed Moon–Earth stable isotope differences ($\Delta^i E_{Moon-Earth}$, where E=the element of interest) should match those determined between the relevant vapor and condensed species at the equivalent temperatures. To determine the isotopic composition of the Moon, mare basalts are widely used, owing to the lack of shallow-level degassing they experienced (e.g., Gargano et al., 2022), in contrast to pyroclastic glasses (Saal and Hauri, 2021). A compilation of $\Delta^i E_{Moon-Earth}$ inferred from the compositions of mare basalts (Table 4), cast against the 50% nebular condensation temperature of the element, substantiates the notion that there exists a causative relationship between the degree of isotopic fractionation between the Moon and the Earth and the volatility of the element (Fig. 11). Only elements more volatile than Li exhibit significant departures from the 0 value (i.e., from Moon–Earth equivalence). The homogeneity of mare basalts with respect to these stable isotope ratios has been used as evidence to suggest that there was a lunar scale process that lead to this isotope fractionation, invariably equated with a giant impact event (e.g., Paniello et al., 2012; Wang and Jacobsen, 2016, Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon").

 $\varDelta^{i} E_{Moon-Earth}$ Element Ratio $T_{\rm c}$ (K) Reference ++f_{Moon} CI 37/35 0.01 0.00 472 4.20 4.00 Boyce et al. (2018): Gargano et al. (2022) Sn 124/116 0.16 0.01 604 -0.480.15 Wang et al. (2019) S 34/32 0.32 0.07 672 1.40 0.30 Wing and Farguhar (2015); Saal and Hauri (2021); Gargano et al. (2022); Dottin et al. (2023) Zn 66/64 0.02 0.01 704 1 24 0.21 Paniello et al. (2012); Kato et al. (2015); Gargano et al. (2022) В 0.53 0.21 740 2.40 1.60 11/9Zhai et al. (1996); Marschall et al. (2017) Rb 87/85 0.25 0.01 752 0.17 0.11 Pringle and Movnier (2017): Nie and Dauphas (2019) Κ 41/39 0.21 0.01 993 0.45 0.15 Wang and Jacobsen (2016) Ga 71/69 0.21 0.10 1010 0.07 0.10 Kato and Moynier (2017); Wimpenny et al. (2022); Render et al. (2023) Cu 65/63 0.09 0.03 1034 0.20 Day et al. (2019); Paquet et al. (submitted) 0.55 Li 7/6 0.89 0.22 1148 0.10 0.30 Magna et al. (2006) Cr 53/52 0.83 0.10 1291 0.04 Bonnand et al. (2016): Sossi et al. (2018) -0.10Si Savage et al. (2010); Armytage et al. (2012); Zambardi et al. (2013) 30/28 1.00 0.25 1314 0.00 0.03 Fe 57/54 1.00 0.25 1338 0.02 0.03 Sossi and Moynier (2017); Poitrasson et al. (2019) Mg 26/24 1.00 0.25 1343 -0.020.14 Sedaghatpour et al. (2013) Ca 1.00 0.25 1535 0.02 0.08 Simon and DePaolo (2010); Valdes et al. (2014) 44/40 Sr 88/86 1.00 0.25 0.05 Moynier et al. (2010) 1548 0.00 Ti 49/47 1.00 0.25 1565 0.00 0.02 Millet et al. (2016): Greber et al. (2017)

Table 4Summary of BSE-normalized depletion factors ($f_{Moon} = X_{BSMoon}/X_{BSE}$), mass-dependent stable isotopic data ($\Delta^i E_{Moon-Earth}$) and their associateduncertainties (standard deviation for abundances, 2 sigma for isotope ratios) for various elements between the Earth and Moon.

^a50% nebular condensation temperatures from Wood et al. (2019).



Fig. 11 The mass-dependent stable isotope difference between the Moon and the Earth expressed in delta notation (‰), $\Delta' E_{Moon-Earth}$ as a function of the 50% nebular condensation temperature of the element (Wood et al., 2019). Estimates for the composition of the Moon are inferred from measurements of mare basalts, whereas terrestrial data largely come from peridotites. See Table 4 for data sources.



Fig. 12 The mass-dependent stable isotope difference between the Moon and the Earth expressed in delta notation (%), $\Delta^{n}E_{Moon-Earth}$ as a function of the natural logarithm of their BSE-normalized abundance in the bulk silicate Moon (equivalent to $\ln f$ in Eq. (7), where f= the fraction of the element remaining in the residue). The slope of the lines gives the fractionation factor between the Moon and the Earth, $\Delta^{i}E_{Moon-Earth}$ and is shown in color for values of -10 (*red*), -1 (*yellow*), -0.25 (*green*), and -0.1 (*blue*). Part (A) shows the raw data and (B) scales the isotopic data by the mass difference, ΔE^{ij} and $\beta \Delta E^{kj}$ scale with $\beta = ((1/m_j - 1/m_k))$, relative to that of ^{66/64}Zn. Estimates for the composition of the Moon are inferred from measurements of mare basalts, whereas terrestrial data largely come from peridotites. See Table 4 for data sources.

The extent of stable isotope fractionation in a given volatile element is correlated with the extent to which it is depleted in comparison to a bulk silicate Earth-like precursor (Fig. 12A), which is particularly evident when the isotope fractionation is scaled by relative atomic mass unit (Fig. 12B). On the basis of this correlation, all models for the depletion of volatile elements from the Moon invoke Rayleigh fractionation (e.g., Paniello et al., 2012), irrespective of the mechanism by which it occurs (e.g., Nie and Dauphas, 2019);

$$\delta E_{s,l}^i - \delta E_0^i \simeq \left[\Delta E_{g-s,l}^{i,eq} + (1 - S_i) \Delta E_{g-s,l}^{i,kin}\right] \ln f \simeq \Delta E_{Moon-Earth}^i \tag{7}$$

in which a finite reservoir of an isotope of mass *i*, of a given element, *E*, with an initial isotopic composition δ_0^i (here, equated with the BSE) evolves to a new isotopic composition ($\delta E_{s,l}^i$; here the Moon) proportional to the natural logarithm of the fraction of the element remaining in the condensed phase(s) (*f*), and the fractionation factor, Δ , between gas, *g*, and condensed phase(s), *s*,*l*. Depending on the degree to which equilibrium is attained at the gas-condensed phase interface, $(p_i/p_{i,sat})$, $\Delta E_{g-s,l}^{i,eq}$ is scaled between pure equilibrium evaporation ($p_i/p_{i,sat} \rightarrow 1$), in which the fractionation factor is determined only by differences in bond stiffness between the two phases, and pure kinetic evaporation ($p_i/p_{i,sat} \rightarrow 0$), in which $\Delta E_{g-s,l}^{i,kin}$, for a gas with a Maxwellian velocity distribution, is given by $10^3 \ln \sqrt{m_i/m_i}$, where i > j.

With few exceptions, isotopic differences between the Moon and the Earth are explicable with fractionation factors, $\Delta E^{i}_{Moon-Earth} \sim -0.25\%$ (Fig. 12). As mass-dependent stable isotope fractionation factors between two isotope ratios, $\Delta E^{i/j}$ and $\beta \Delta E^{k/j}$ scale with $\beta = ((1/m_j - 1/m_i)/(1/m_j - 1/m_k))$ (Young et al., 2002), the scatter in Fig. 12A is largely removed by weighting the $\Delta E^{i}_{Moon-Earth}$ factor by the relative mass differences of *i* and *j*. The observation that the $\Delta E^{i}_{Moon-Earth}$ of both alkali (K and Rb) and highly volatile elements (Zn, Cl) fall along a curve of constant slope (within uncertainty) supports the hypothesis that their loss from the Moon was related to a single event. Nevertheless, deviations from the line (in particular for the isotopes of S, Sn, and Cr) indicate that factors other than the extent of element loss are at play (see below), while the uncertainties on Cl and B isotopic ratios span a range of plausible fractionation factors. In either case, that these fractionation factors are much smaller (i.e., closer to 0) than those predicted for pure kinetic isotope fractionation has been taken as evidence of near-equilibrium conditions of vapor loss during formation of the Moon (Paniello et al., 2012; Wang and Jacobsen, 2016).

Because equilibrium mass-dependent stable isotope fractionation factors ($\Delta E_{g-s,l}^{i,eq}$) decrease proportional to $1/T^2$ (Urey, 1947), should equilibrium at the vapor-solid/liquid segregation have been established, the isotopic difference between the Moon and the Earth can be used as a thermometer. In an equilibrium scenario in which gas–liquid segregation occurs at ~3500 K, as envisaged for a synestia (Lock et al., 2018, Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon"), isotope fractionation factors are essentially nil. Therefore, under these conditions, the synestia model lacks a mechanism for engendering isotopic fractionation in

the condensed phase. Should the equilibrium condition be relaxed, Nie and Dauphas (2019) and Dauphas et al. (2022) demonstrated that the observed isotopic fractionation between Moon and Earth could be achieved, even at 3500 K, if the saturation of the gas ($p_i/p_{i,sat}$) in the gas phase at the evaporating surface was equal to 0.99. However, such an interpretation is nonunique, as no attempt has yet been made to combine the modeled isotopic fractionation factors with the extent of volatile loss of each element. For example, at 1600 K, the value of $p_i/p_{i,sat}$ required increases to ~0.995, while it reaches unity at ~1300 K (see also Tartèse et al., 2021). Therefore, although the isotopic composition of volatile elements in the Moon alone cannot discriminate between these scenarios, it should be highlighted that, in each case, a very high degree of equilibrium ($p_i/p_{i,sat} > 0.99$) is required to account for the observations. Physically, this likely pertains to a high density (i.e., low mean free path) and/or stationary (i.e., nonadvecting) gas phase at the locus of volatile depletion such that mass transport rates of the evaporating species were slow with respect to vaporization rates (see also Wang and Jacobsen, 2016; Nie and Dauphas, 2019; Sossi et al., 2020; Tang and Young, 2020; Charnoz et al., 2021, Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon").

An additional uncertainty arises from determining the degree to which the observed Moon–Earth fractionation of some elements reflects volatile loss. Notably, the δ^{71} Ga of ferroan anorthosites correlates with the An content (molar Ca/[Ca+Na] ratio) of the constituent plagioclase (Wimpenny et al., 2022; Render et al., 2023), with inferred bulk Moon values of δ^{71} Ga being indistinguishable from that of the BSE. Gallium is also a moderately siderophile/chalcophile element, whose depletion in the BSMoon may also result, in part, from core formation (e.g., Righter, 2019). The same is true of Cu, Sn, S, and Fe, which compromises their utility for constraining the conditions of volatile loss on the Moon. Consequently, the isotopes of elements with a purely lithophile affinity, such as the alkalis and Zn, are more faithful witnesses to volatile depletion of the Moon. The isotopic compositions of Cr and Sn show lighter values than in the BSE (Sossi et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019), where $\Delta Cr = \sim -0.10 \pm 0.04\%$ /amu and $\Delta Sn = -0.06 \pm 0.02\%$ /amu. In the event that Cr and Sn isotope variations are the direct consequence of vapor loss processes, then equilibrium ($p_i/p_{i,sat} = 1$) is required, as any degree of kinetic loss would produce heavy isotope compositions in the residue (i.e., the BSMoon; Eq. 7).

Should equilibrium between gas and condensed phase(s) have prevailed, then condensation/evaporation could have only occurred at low temperatures (~1400 K), a scenario consistent with the relative abundances of the alkali metals (Fig. 9). A corollary of such low temperatures is that condensation must have occurred predominantly into solid silicate and metal grains, rather than into silicate or metallic liquid. The oxides of alkali metals (AO_{0.5}) have low activities when dissolved in silicate liquids (10^{-4} and below, Charles, 1967; Mathieu et al., 2011; Sossi et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021), causing a reduction in the partial pressure of their gaseous species relative to the pure oxide. By contrast, alkalis are hosted in plagioclase feldspar (Li is an exception and condenses into orthopyroxene) during nebular condensation (Wood et al., 2019), in which deviations from ideality are essentially nonexistent (γ NaAlSi₃O₈ ~1–2; Orville, 1972; Holland and Powell, 1992) or modest (γ KAlSi₃O₈ ~10; Ghiorso, 1984). However, the partial pressure of Na and *p*Na, above pure albite at 1673 K and above an hypothetical silicate melt at the same *f*O₂ (6.1×10^{-7} bar) and *X*NaO_{0.5} (0.115) in which the activity coefficient is 10^{-4} (Sossi et al., 2019; Wolf et al., 2023) is essentially the same, 2.5×10^{-6} bar and 4.8×10^{-6} bar, respectively. Therefore, condensation of the alkalis into solids, rather than into silicate liquids, will not appreciably alter their volatilities relative to the highly volatile elements.

Gallium and In are two elements whose oxide species also have low activity coefficients in silicate liquids (Wood and Wade, 2013) and appear to behave in an anomalously refractory manner with respect to other volatile elements (Fig. 10). The Moon is depleted in Ga and In by factors ~5 and ~150, respectively (Table 2), yet their volatilities from silicate melts suggest minimal depletion, unless the fO_2 is below $\Delta IW+1$ (Fig. 10). Other than the higher relative fO_2 , plausible reasons for their relatively refractory behavior in silicate melts include their condensation into metal in the solar nebula, and the absence of complexing anions relative to those present in the solar nebula. This latter scenario was examined by Wai and Wasson (1979), who attributed the volatility of Ga during nebular condensation to the formation of GaCl(g) and GaOH(g) species.

Whether these species (and their analogues for In and the alkalis) were extant in the Moon-forming system depends upon the nature of the source material. Presuming it was largely derived from the proto-Earth's mantle, then chlorine alone would not have been abundant enough (\sim 30 ppm) relative to the abundances of the alkali metals (Na alone is present at \sim 100× Cl) to stabilize significant proportions of chloride species. This conclusion holds even assuming chondritic Na/Cl ratios, which are invariably near 10 for a variety of chondrite groups (Wasson and Kallemeyn, 1988).

Hydrogen, on the other hand, is present at ~1000 ppm levels (when expressed as H_2O) in the bulk silicate Earth (Marty, 2012), comparable to Na abundances. The presence of H stabilizes hydrides (*e.g.*, LiH) or hydroxides (*e.g.*, LiOH) from monatomic gases (e.g., Fegley et al., 2016), the formation constants of which are related to the fugacities of H_2 or H_2O in the gas phase via the homogeneous equilibria:

$$M^{0}(g) + H_{2}(g) = M^{n+}H_{n}(g)$$
(8)

$$M^{0}(g) + H_{2}O(g) = M^{n+}[OH]_{n}(g)$$
 (9)

where M stands for the metal of interest. For an ideal gas at a given temperature and pressure, the fH_2/fH_2O ratio is fixed, provided the fO_2 is known. For plausible Moon-forming environments, oxygen fugacities are expected to lie between $\Delta IW-2$ and $\Delta IW+4$ (O'Neill, 1991; Visscher and Fegley, 2013; Tartèse et al., 2021), resulting in fH_2/fH_2O of ~10 or ~0.01, respectively (the dependence on temperature is small). However, the Gibbs free energies of formation of alkali hydrides are such that $fH_2/fH_2O > 100$ is typically required before the fugacities of alkali hydrides and hydroxides become subequal at 1500 K. Therefore, while reaction (8) can be ignored for alkali metals in lunar environments, GaH and InH are predicted to be stable at fO_2 s near IW and below. Equilibrium constants for Eq. (9) differ significantly depending on the identity of *M*. In particular, the *f*LiOH/*f*Li ratio is ~600 at the IW buffer at 1500 K and 1 bar, while the corresponding ratios for Na- and K-bearing gas species are ~1 and ~4, respectively, at the same conditions (see also Ivanov et al., 2022). The result is that the volatility of Li would be expected to increase considerably with respect to its higher *Z* counterparts as fH_2O increases. The observation that Li is not resolvably depleted in the Moon with respect to the Earth (in contrast to Na or K) therefore suggests that the environment in which vapor loss occurred was probably nearly anhydrous (i.e., H₂O-poor). This conclusion would be reinforced were the pressure to have exceed 1 bar, as higher pressures favor the formation of the associated species (Eq. 9, Sossi and Fegley, 2018).

What emerges from both the thermodynamics and stable isotope data is that there is no unequivocal evidence that volatile loss from the Moon occurred under high temperatures, although such a scenario cannot be excluded. Rather, it appears more likely that volatile loss from the Moon occurred at low temperatures (~1400 K) and hence did so predominantly in the solid-vapor system. A plausible environment for vapor to have separated from residual solids may have been a proto-lunar disk (see Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon"). However, the total amount of vapor lost must have been negligible, as the five oxides that together comprise ~98.5% of the Earth's mantle, SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, FeO, and CaO were not vaporized (and lost) to any appreciable extent from the Moon or its precursor material (relative to refractory elements). Even supposing that Moon-forming material lost much of its budget of H₂O during this process (1000 ppm in the BSE), the total mass loss it underwent was likely <1% of its present-day mass.

Core formation

The lunar core, as constrained by geophysical inversions, has a bulk density between \sim 6000 and \sim 8000 kgm⁻³ (Table 1, Section "Core"). As these densities far exceed those of common silicate minerals, to first order, the lunar core must be predominantly composed of the cosmochemically abundant metals, Fe, Ni, Co with a smaller, unknown fraction of light elements. Geophysical inversions (Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure") indicate that, for BSE-like Mg#s in the lunar mantle (0.89), the core is essentially devoid of light elements, whereas Mg#s of ~0.80 imply 5–15 wt% S in the core (Fig. 4). Mole fractions, *X*, of the metal, *M* (where *M*=Fe, Ni, and Co) can be predicted self-consistently based on their free energies of formation at 1 bar, corrected for their nonidealities in multicomponent liquid silicate- and metal alloys, according to reactions of the type

$$M^{n+}O_{n/2}(l) = M^{0}(l) + \frac{n}{4}O_{2}(g),$$
(10)

where *n* is the oxidation state of the metal cation in the silicate. At equilibrium, the mole fraction, $X(M^0)$, in the alloy is given by:

$$X(M^{0}) = \frac{X(M^{n+}O_{n/2}) \cdot \gamma(M^{n+}O_{n/2}) \cdot K}{fO_{2}^{\frac{n}{4}} \cdot \gamma(M^{0})}$$
(11)

The equilibrium constants, *K*, are assessed using data compiled from experimental data of the free energies of formation for metals and metal oxides (O'Neill and Pownceby, 1993). Activity coefficients γ of $M^{n+}O_{n/2}$ in silicate liquids are compiled from literature data (O'Neill and Eggins, 2002; O'Neill et al., 2008; Wood and Wade, 2013) while those for M^0 in the alloy phase are calculated using METALACT (Wood et al., 2017). Equilibrium constants are modified for pressure using the approach of Wade and Wood (2005). Furthermore, if the composition of the metallic phase is subject to the constraint,

$$X(Fe) + X(Ni) + X(Co) = 1$$
 (12)

then the composition of the metal is uniquely known for a given pressure, temperature, fO_2 and $X(M^{n+}O_{n/2})$ of each *M*. The values of X(NiO) and X(CoO) in the lunar mantle are set to 6.0×10^{-4} and 1.2×10^{-5} , respectively (see Table 2).

Given that the mole fraction of FeO the lunar mantle, X(FeO), is likely to lie in the range 0.06–0.12 (Table 1) and its activity coefficient in Mg-rich silicate liquids is ~1.75 at 1673 K (Wood and Wade, 2013), the composition of the core-forming metal on the Moon is calculated at a(FeO) = 0.1, 0.15, and 0.2 at 1 bar. Calculations are also performed at 5 GPa for a(FeO) = 0.2, as well as for a light element-enriched case, in which the right-hand side of Eq. (12) is set equal to 0.9 (i.e., 10 mol% of light elements). Resulting lunar core compositions for a range of likely temperatures, T (K) \in (1573, 2873), are shown in Fig. 13A and B.

The model indicates that, at 1 bar, the lunar core should have an Ni-rich composition, with X(Ni) between ~0.3 and 0.7, where both increasing temperature and a(FeO) cause X(Ni) to decrease (Fig. 13). O'Neill (1991) performed a similar exercise (though in the olivine-orthopyroxene-metal system) and recovered equivalent results; that the Ni fraction of the metallic phase is ~0.5 at 1573 K and at Fe₂SiO₄ activities relevant to the Moon (0.17). However, the calculations of O'Neill (1991) were performed at 1 bar. Given that the volume change of Eq. (10) for Ni is positive at a given T and fO_2 , increasing pressure causes the logarithm of the partition coefficient of Ni, $\log[D(Ni)] = \log[XNi_{met}/XNi_{sil}]$ to decrease proportional to $-P\Delta V/RT$ (Li and Agee, 2001). Consequently, for a given temperature and aFeO, the equilibrium XNi is lower (by a factor of ~1.5) at 5 GPa relative to that at 1 bar. Dilution of the metallic phase by a light component produces a concomitant decrease in the mole fractions of the existing elements (in this case, 10%).

Oxygen fugacities for core-mantle equilibrium, assuming 0.1 < aFeO < 0.2, are in the range Δ IW-1.6 to Δ IW-0.7. Knowledge of the FeO content of the lunar mantle (and hence, its activity in the liquid phase) is therefore key for constraining the fO_2 of coremantle equilibrium, with lower values giving rise to more reducing conditions, all else being equal. Oxygen fugacities for lunar core



Fig. 13 Modeled composition of lunar core-forming alloy phase in equilibrium with a mantle with FeO activity in the silicate, *a*FeO, of 0.1 (*red*), 0.15 (*green*), 0.2 (*blue*) at 1 bar (*thin lines*) and 5 GPa (*thick lines*) assuming XFe + XNi + XCo = 1 (*solid lines*) or XFe + XNi + XCo = 0.9 (*dashed line*) both at *a*FeO = 0.2. The resulting mole fraction of Ni in the alloy, XNi, is plotted as a function of (A) temperature (K) and (B) oxygen fugacity relative to the iron-wüstite buffer (ΔIW). The *gray shaded* region denotes the likely temperature range for core formation based on the liquidi of peridotite and Fe-Ni alloy.

formation are never as low as those inferred for core-mantle equilibrium on the Earth (ΔIW –2.2; Frost et al., 2008) as, even for BSElike FeO contents, the higher pressures and temperatures under which Earth's core formed resulted in much lower *X*(Ni), and hence lower *f*O₂ (Fig. 13B) (although this effect may have been partially offset by increased dissolution of Si into Earth's core relative to that in the Moon, see Frost et al. (2008) and Rubie et al. (2011)). On the other hand, dilution of the metal by a light element decreases *X*(*M*) while leaving *a*FeO unaffected, thereby increasing the relative *f*O₂ by a constant factor (here 0.09 log units).

In order to use this thermodynamic model to predict the observed abundances of siderophile elements in the lunar mantle, the mass fraction of the core, f_{core} is required. Geophysical inversions indicate that $0.008 < f_{core} < 0.015$, positively correlated with the Mg# of the lunar mantle (Table 1), with the higher value corresponding to Earth's mantle-like cases (Mg# = 0.89) and the former to FeO-rich compositions (Mg# = 0.80). This permits the calculation of the abundance of a given metal in the lunar mantle by mass balance:

$$X(M)_{mantle} = \frac{X(M)_{bulk}}{f_{core}D(M) + (1 - f_{core})}$$
(13)

To do so therefore also requires an estimate of that in the bulk Moon, $X(M)_{bulk}$, which is not known a priori. Typically, this quantity is taken to be $X(M)_{bulk}$ in the bulk silicate Earth (e.g., Righter et al., 2018; Steenstra et al., 2020) on the strength of the observation that the Earth and Moon have identical compositions in various mass-independent isotope systems (particularly the elements, Ca, Ti, Cr and O; Wiechert et al., 2001; Mougel et al., 2018; Simon and DePaolo, 2010; Zhang et al., 2012) that vary widely among other planetary materials. Second, the composition of the bulk Moon, to first order, resembles that of the bulk silicate Earth (Ringwood and Kesson, 1977, Table 2). Consequently, these observations are used to justify the assumption that $X(M)_{bulk,Moon} = X(M)_{bulk,BSE}$, one that is adopted herein. The veracity of this assumption will be discussed in light of the partitioning models.

To constrain the pressure–temperature– fO_2 conditions of lunar core formation while invoking the fewest number of assumptions, the analysis is restricted only to those elements (i) whose abundances are precisely constrained in the lunar mantle, (ii) that behave in a nonvolatile manner during the formation of the Moon, meaning volatile depletion does not contribute to their depletion, and (iii) that are only weakly to moderately siderophile such that their abundances in the lunar mantle are not readily overprinted by accretion of small fractions of metal (e.g., the late veneer). Applying these criteria leaves but four elements, Ni, Co, Mo, and W, with which to assess core formation models (Figs. 14 and 15).

The Ni/Co ratio of the lunar mantle (5.2) is an excellent arbiter of the conditions of core formation on the Moon, not only because of the high abundances of Ni and Co that lead to a low uncertainty in the Ni/Co ratio (±1.2, Delano, 1986) but also because the ratio of their partition coefficients, D(Ni)/D(Co) is independent of oxygen fugacity (i.e., n=2 in Eq. (10) for both Co and Ni). Although increasing pressure decreases both D(Ni) and D(Co), its effect is more pronounced for Ni, leading to the conclusion that, under the current set of assumptions, only core formation at elevated pressures (~5 GPa) can simultaneously produce the observed Ni depletion and Ni/Co ratio in the lunar mantle (Fig. 14). At lower pressures, unrealistically high temperatures are required (\geq 3000 K, even for *a*FeO=0.2, see also Steenstra et al., 2020). The effect of adding a light component is marginal, noting that this does not account for potential changes in γM with the addition of the light element. At the presumed S contents of the lunar core (*X*(S) \leq 0.15, Steenstra et al., 2018), γM diverges little relative to the ideal case, as D(Ni) values decrease by ~10% relative per 0.05 *X*(S).



Fig. 14 The Ni/Co ratio of the lunar mantle as a function of its Ni abundance as predicted by the thermodynamic model outlined in Eq. (10)–(13), assuming core mass fraction, $f_{core} = 0.01$ (see Table 1) and $X(M)_{bulk,Moon} = X(M)_{bulk,BSE}$. Assuming higher f_{core} would shift the curves to the bottom-left, while higher $X(M)_{bulk,Moon}$ would shift the curves to the right by the factor $X(M)_{bulk,Moon}/X(M)_{bulk,BSE}$ at constant Ni/Co. Colours and symbols as per Fig. 13.



Fig. 15 The Mo/W ratio of the lunar mantle as a function of its W abundance as predicted by the thermodynamic model outlined in Eq. (10)–(13), assuming core mass fraction, $f_{core} = 0.01$ (see Table 1) and $X(M)_{bulk,Moon} = X(M)_{bulk,BSE}$. Black curves show models of Mo and W abundances as a function of fO_2 , contoured at 0.5 log unit intervals expressed relative to the iron-wstite (IW) buffer (legibility permitting), for three different temperatures (1573 K, 2273 K, 2873 K) at 1 bar, using the model of 0'Neill et al. (2008).

As the bulk Moon is depleted in iron, a siderophile element, with respect to the bulk Earth by $\sim 3/4$ (cf. Section "Core"), then Ni, an element even more siderophile than Fe under all plausible conditions, must therefore be depleted by at least this factor in the bulk Moon, setting a strict upper limit of $X(Ni)_{bulk} = 4300$ ppm. The corresponding apparent D(Ni) (Eq. 13) would increase from ~ 200 assuming $X(Ni)_{bulk} = 1860$ ppm (BSE-like) to ~ 450 in order to reach the observed lunar mantle Ni abundance. Yet, as Ni/Co remains unchanged given their similar siderophility, all models in Fig. 14 shift to the right by a factor 4300/1860, meaning temperatures and/or pressures needed to satisfy the Ni and Co abundances of the lunar mantle are correspondingly lower or f_{core} correspondingly higher. The 5 GPa cases would require temperatures below the liquidus of Fe-Ni alloy (<1573 K), making such high pressures unlikely for $X(Ni)_{bulk} = 4300$ ppm (not shown). Moreover, solutions for the 1 bar cases intersect the lunar mantle range only at $X(Ni)_{bulk} > BSE$ and high temperatures (>2300 K; not shown). Even at a reasonable upper limit of $X(Ni)_{bulk} = 4300$ ppm, the *a*FeO = 0.1, 1 bar case would require implausibly high temperatures (≥ 3000 K) to reach the high Ni/Co ratio of the lunar mantle, independent of core mass fraction and the Ni and Co budgets of the bulk Moon (provided the bulk Moon

Ni/Co ratio remains that of the BSE). These results highlight that $X(Ni, Co)_{bulk}$ is likely close to that for the BSE, and that conditions near $\Delta IW-1$ remain a robust feature of core formation on the Moon.

Molybdenum and tungsten provide complementary information on the conditions of core formation, owing to the sensitivity of their incorporation into Fe-Ni alloy on fO_2 (cf. Schmitt et al., 1989). Molybdenum is expected to be predominantly tetravalent at Δ IW-1 to -2 (Leitzke et al., 2017; O'Neill et al., 2008; Righter et al., 2016), while W has been shown to exist predominantly as W⁶⁺, even under oxygen fugacities relevant to core formation (O'Neill et al., 2008; Jennings et al., 2021). Consequently, *n* in Eq. (10) is equal to 4 and 6 for Mo and W, respectively. Compared to Ni or Co (*n*=2), their D_{met-sil} increases a factor of $10^{(n_{Md}-n_{Ni,Co})/4} = 10^{0.5}$ for Mo and $10^{(n_W-n_{Ni,Co})/4} = 10$ for W with decreasing fO_2 relative to D(Ni,Co)_{met-sil}. Fig. 15 illustrates the sensitivity of the mantle abundances of Mo and W to temperature, pressure, and fO_2 .

As the fO_2 varies only modestly for the equilibrium between the modeled Fe-Ni alloy and silicate phases (between ΔIW –1.6 and ΔIW –0.7; Fig. 13), the variation in Mo/W and W abundances in the lunar mantle are commensurately minor, meaning nearly all models lie within the lunar mantle range (Fig. 15). That the W abundances in the terrestrial (~0.012 ± 0.02 ppm) and lunar mantles ~0.011 ± 0.02 ppm are indistinguishable (Section "Nonvolatile siderophile elements," Table 2) indicates that the oxygen fugacity during lunar core formation must have been relatively high, as already implied by Ni-Co systematics (Figs. 13 and 14). This is in stark contrast to the ~10-fold depletion of W in the terrestrial mantle with respect to chondritic meteorites (0.08–0.19 ppm Wasson and Kallemeyn, 1988), reflective of the lower fO_2 and/or higher mass fraction of the terrestrial core. The Mo abundance is comparatively poorly constrained such that plausible lunar mantle Mo/W ratios (and their abundances) only definitively preclude core formation under conditions more reducing than ΔIW –1.8, as this would have otherwise resulted in resolvable W depletion (Fig. 15). This constraint can be relaxed slightly presuming X(W)_{bulk} was higher than the BSE value, but, as a refractory element, this seems implausible. Even so, oxygen fugacities much below ΔIW –2 remain unfeasible.

A terrestrial origin for the Moon?

Assessing one of the prevailing hypotheses that the Moon is derived from the BSE is testable in the context of chemical and isotopic data on lunar samples. A clear distinction between BSE-like source material (cf. Dauphas et al., 2014) and direct derivation from the BSE (cf. Ringwood and Kesson, 1977) should be made. The former postulates that the two bodies originated in the same part of the protoplanetary disk, while the latter suggests that the Moon was a physically ejected from the Earth's mantle after it experienced core formation.

Mass independent isotopes unequivocally indicate identical compositions for Earth and Moon, identified initially for O (Wiechert et al., 2001) to be equivalent to a level of ± 30 ppm (0.3%). Although Herwartz et al. (2014) detected a difference of 12 \pm 3 ppm between the Moon and Earth, more recent measurements showed that the two bodies were homogeneous to a precision of 5 ppm (Young et al., 2016). Cano et al. (2020) argue that there may exist internal heterogeneities in lunar lithologies up to ~10 ppm, but the processes leading to these variations are poorly understood. Recent unpublished data indicate O isotopic homogeneity to within 1 ppm (Fischer, 2021). Regardless, the <5 ppm divergence between the Earth and Moon in the context of the ~6‰ (600 ppm) range of chondritic meteorites (Mittlefehldt et al., 2008) implies a close genetic connection. The isotopic similarity in O, as a partially volatile element, leads to the development of models that involved efficient mixing in a gaseous phase between Earth- and Moon-forming material (Pahlevan and Stevenson, 2007). However, the discovery that the equivalence in the isotopic composition between the two bodies extends to more refractory elements, notably Ti (Trinquier et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012) and Cr (Qin et al., 2010; Mougel et al., 2018) and more recently also Ca (Schiller et al., 2018) and Zr (Akram and Schönbächler, 2016), permits two possible scenarios for the formation of the Moon; (i) that Moon-forming material mixed perfectly with the proto-Earth (see Lock et al., 2018, 2020) or (ii) that the impactor shared (near-)identical mass-independent isotopic compositions with the (proto-)Earth, obviating or reducing the need for physical mixing of Earth- and Moon-forming material (see Dauphas et al., 2015; Mastrobuono-Battisti et al., 2015).

There is a strong precedent for the plausibility of option (ii); the enstatite chondrites and Earth have similar mass-independent isotopic compositions for a multitude of elements, including O, Cr, and Ti (Dauphas, 2017). Mass-independent isotopic concordance arising by formation of material from the same feeding zone (e.g., Mastrobuono-Battisti et al., 2015) is, however, more difficult to reconcile with the observation that Mn, Cr, and V are present in the Moon in Earth's mantle-like abundances (or nearly so; Table 2) noting that, although the Mn contents of mare basalts are *higher* than those of terrestrial basalts by a factor ~ 1.5 , so are their Fe contents such that the lunar MnO/FeO is actually lower, 0.014 (see Section "Mn, Cr, and V") than in the BSE (0.017), meaning it cannot be excluded that the Moon is actually marginally depleted in Mn relative to the BSE). The significance of this observation was pointed out by Ringwood and Kesson (1977), noting that it implies that the Moon formed almost exclusively from the mantle of the proto-Earth. The basis for this conclusion comes from experimental evidence for the lithophile behavior of Mn, Cr, and V at 1 bar (e.g., Rammensee et al., 1983; Drake et al., 1989), relative to their increasing tendency to partition into the coreforming metallic phase at increasing T and P conditions, namely, those present on Earth during the formation of its core (Ringwood et al., 1991; Mann et al., 2009; Siebert et al., 2011, 2018). Moreover, an assessment of the inferred Mn, Cr, and V abundances of small telluric parent bodies to basaltic achondrites (Mars, Vesta, Angrite Parent bodies) demonstrated that lunar and terrestrial basalts are unique with respect to their depletions of Mn, Cr, and V (Drake et al., 1989; Ruzicka et al., 2001; O'Neill and Palme, 2008), lending credence to the notion that their depletion must have resulted from processes endemic to the Earth. Indeed, V is a refractory element and Cr behaves almost as such during nebular condensation (though it becomes volatile under oxidizing conditions, Sossi et al., 2019). Constraints on the extent of depletion of Mn, the most volatile of the trio, can be placed by the thermodynamics of exchange reactions akin to Eq. (6), which indicate that, for 90% condensation of Li and $fO_2 = IW$, Mn will be condensed to a level of 99% (O'Neill, 1991). Consequently, their depletions in the Moon are unlikely to have been brought about by volatility.

It seems probable, therefore, that the depletions of Mn, Cr, and V in the Moon (relative to chondrites) were inherited from those in Earth's mantle *post*-core formation. On the other hand, Chabot and Agee (2003) postulate that, were core formation on the proto-Moon (or a putative impactor) to have occurred at very high temperatures (>3000 K) and reducing conditions (Δ IW–2 and below), then the depletions of Mn, Cr, and V would have been sufficient to account for their abundances in the BSMoon. Even under these conditions, endogenous core formation on the Moon is incapable of modifying their abundances owing to its small mass (0.8%–1.5%, Table 1, see also Steenstra et al., 2020), meaning that, in this scenario, their depletions must have been imputed by an impactor. An isotopically Earth-like impactor cannot satisfy this requirement unless the impactor itself underwent core formation at elevated *P*/*T* (~50 GPa) in order to produce liquidus temperatures that were sufficiently elevated to render Mn, Cr, and V siderophile. Assuming a similar core mass fraction in the impactor, its mass and internal constitution must have been similar to that of the Earth. Appealing to Earth-like core formation conditions on a precursor impactor is therefore an ad-hoc claim that is difficult to verify.

The tungsten isotope composition of the Moon, discussed in Section "Hf/W," is indicative of its time-integrated Hf/W ratio during the lifetime of ¹⁸²Hf. As both elements are refractory, variations in Hf/W ratios arise chiefly by metal/silicate fractionation processes, namely core formation or segregation, where W is more siderophile than Hf, leading to high Hf/W ratios in differentiated planetary mantles and correspondingly low values in their cores. Owing to the short half-life of ¹⁸²Hf ($t_{1/2}$ =8.9 Myr Vockenhuber et al., 2004), variations in ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W from ¹⁸²Hf decay can develop only in first ~60 Myr of Solar System evolution. The lunar mantle, as inferred from measurements of both mare volcanic and highlands rocks, has an excess, (¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W)_{Moon}/(¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W)_{BSE} × 10⁶, of 26 ± 3 ppm (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017) relative to the BSE. This additional in-growth of ¹⁸²W is deemed to reflect either the disproportionately large delivery of the late veneer on the Earth relative to the Moon (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017; Kruijer et al., 2021) or the early formation of the lunar core (<75 Myr after t_0 , Thiemens et al., 2019, 2021). While the merits of both scenarios are discussed in Section "Hf/W," both imply that prior to late veneer delivery on the Earth or core formation on the Moon, respectively, the lunar mantle and the BSE had identical ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W ratios. Because core formation in the early Solar System during planetary accretion fractionates W from Hf and occurs at different times, a wide range of ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W ratios in the mantles of protoplanets are expected, and indeed observed among achondrites (Kleine and Walker, 2017). Given this information, Kruijer and Kleine (2017) calculated that ≤5% of accretion scenarios would lead to ~15 ppm concordance in the W isotope composition between the Earth and Moon (see Section "Hf/W").

It should also be noted that, even for an isotopically identical Moon-forming impactor, some additional degree of chemical fractionation is required to account for the iron deficiency of the Moon with respect to the Earth and chondrites. This may be achieved, for example, by core-core merging (Landeau et al., 2016), and thereby precludes simple gravitational capture of an otherwise enstatite chondrite-like body for the origin of the Moon (see Section "Historical hypotheses"). An isotopic distinction between an Earth-like Moon and enstatite chondrite-like Moon may also be made on the basis of the isotopic compositions of elements that show differences between the BSE and ECs, for example, Mo and Ni. These elements are particularly important, as their siderophile nature (Section "Core") means their abundances in the BSE are likely to be diagnostic of its unique, time-integrated accretion pathway (cf. Rubie et al., 2015; Dauphas, 2017). Data for these isotopic systems in lunar rocks, however, remain sparse (Burkhardt et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2023).

Although mass-dependent isotopic variations are not as reliable tracers of provenance as mass independent isotope ratios due to the fact that the former can be modified by mass transfer processes, the similarity of the Earth and Moon observed in stable Si isotopes is striking (Fig. 11, Armytage et al., 2012). This observation is significant as it distinguishes the Earth–Moon system from enstatite chondrites, whose isotopic composition is markedly (~0.4‰in δ^{30} Si) lighter than that of the Earth and Moon (Armytage et al., 2011; Fitoussi and Bourdon, 2012). Although this difference was initially ascribed entirely to endogenous core formation on the Earth (Georg et al., 2007), experimentally determined core-mantle Si isotope fractionation factors at inferred core-mantle equilibration temperatures (~3000 K) required some ~30 wt% metallic Si (Shahar et al., 2009) or more (Hin et al., 2014) were the Earth to have formed from an enstatite chondrite-like precursor, far in excess of the \leq 7 wt% permitted based on the observed deficit of the core relative to pure Fe-Ni alloy (e.g., Badro et al., 2016). The notion that the Earth–Moon system is the heavy end member among planetary materials in its Si isotope composition and thus bears special significance was overturned by the measurement of similarly heavy Si-enriched values in angrites (Pringle et al., 2014; Dauphas et al., 2015), thereby obviating the need for the Earth's heavy isotope composition to have been generated exclusively via core formation. Therefore, although the isotopic similarity of the Earth and Moon in Si isotopic composition may be fortuitous, the chemical and isotopic evidence taken together implies a genetic relationship between them.

The W isotopic composition and abundances of Mn, Cr, and V elements in the lunar and terrestrial mantles are sufficiently similar so as to suspect the proto-Earth's mantle as the most likely source of the Moon, as envisaged by Ringwood and Kesson (1977) rather than from an isotopically Earth-like impactor. Indeed, chemical fractionation from any potential chondritic source to form the Moon is unavoidable owing to its low bulk Fe content, a property that is difficult to explain without appealing to derivation from Earth's mantle (here, it is specified that the Earth's mantle may also denote the end product of impactor-proto Earth mixing). Whether these chemical characteristics find explanations in dynamical scenarios for the Moon's formation is discussed in Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon."

Timing of the formation of the Moon

Sm/Nd

The Sm-Nd system is of particular importance to determining the timing of lunar formation and differentiation, owing to its two isotopic decay systems, ¹⁴⁷Sm to ¹⁴³Nd ($t_{1/2}$ =106 Gyr) and the shorter-lived ¹⁴⁶Sm to ¹⁴²Nd system, with $t_{1/2}$ =103 Myr (Meissner et al., 1987) (although a subsequent study reported a shorter $t_{1/2}$ of 68 Myr (Kinoshita et al., 2012), recent calibrations against other short-lived chronometers support the longer half-life (Carlson et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2022)). Consequently, the two systems may be used in tandem to quantify the extent and timing of Sm and Nd fractionation on the Moon, a feature that is likely to have accompanied magmatic differentiation, rather than volatility or core formation, as both Sm and Nd are refractory lithophile elements.

Should the Moon have a chondritic Sm/Nd ratio, then the resulting ϵ Nd (the part-per 10,000 deviation of the ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratio from that of chondrites at a given time) of the oldest lunar rocks, if they were derived from sources representative of the bulk Moon, should also be chondritic (i.e., equal to 0). Latest determinations of Sm-Nd in five FANs and Mg Suite rocks indicate that ϵ^{143} Nd ranges between – 0.29 ± 0.09 (FAN sample 60025) to + 0.04 ± 0.11 for Mg Suite sample 67667 with internal isochron ages between 4302 ± 28 Ma (FAN sample 60016) and 4359 ± 3 Ma for 60025 (Borg et al., 2022, and references therein). In the lunar magma ocean paradigm (Wood et al., 1970), the early stages of crystallization (up to 65%–75%) are typified by olivine and orthopyroxene (Snyder et al., 1992; Charlier et al., 2018; Schmidt and Kraettli, 2022), both of which incorporate negligible quantities of rare-Earth elements, such that the Sm/Nd ratio of the residual liquid at the saturation of plagioclase (which remains on the liquidus until ~95% crystallization) is expected to remain chondritic, or marginally subchondritic (i.e., LREE-enriched) owing to the slight preference of orthopyroxene for Sm over Nd (e.g., Dygert et al., 2020). Insofar as most whole rock FANs have marginally negative ϵ^{143} Nd (ϵ^{143} Nd down to -0.3, cf. Borg et al., 2022), this expectation is borne out.

The picture becomes more complicated when considering that FANs are almost exclusively breccias, many of which are polymict, that is, they contain clasts from a variety of lithologies (e.g., Warren and Wasson, 1977). Torcivia and Neal (2022) identified several populations of plagioclase crystals in FAN sample 60025. The calculated parental liquids of a subset of these crystals are broadly consistent with an initially chondritic bulk Moon, while others appear to have crystallized from LREE-depleted sources. Ji and Dygert (2023) came to similar conclusions, after accounting for subsolidus equilibration with coexisting pyroxenes that indicate temperatures around 1323–1423 K, in agreement with those inferred from the granulitic textures and two-pyroxene thermometry of some FANs (McGee, 1993; McLeod et al., 2016). The LREE abundances in plagioclase should be more robust than the HREE with respect to subsolidus re-equilibration with pyroxene, owing to the fact that $D_{LREE}^{plag/px} > 1$, with the ratio tending to unity at Sm (Graff et al., 2013). The significance of FAN sample 60025 lies in that it is the only known sample to have been measured that gives concordant ages for the ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴³Nd, ¹⁴⁶Sm/¹⁴²Nd, and Pb-Pb systems, yielding 4360 ± 3 Ma (Borg et al., 2011). Yet, both negative (– 0.24 ± 0.09; Borg et al., 2011) and positive (+ 0.9 ± 0.5; Carlson and Lugmair, 1988) ε^{143} Nd values have been reported for this sample, perhaps reflective of the distinct provenance of the plagioclase crystals contained therein. It should be noted that other studies derive older ages for some FANs (e.g., 4430 ± 30 Myr; Nyquist et al., 2006), which, in view of the heterogeneity among plagioclase clasts in 60025, leave open the possibility for a more ancient age for Moon formation.

Borg and Carlson (2023) compiled ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴³Nd and ¹⁴⁶Sm/¹⁴²Nd data to illustrate that variation among ¹⁴²Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratios in lunar rocks, across mare basalts, FANs and Mg Suite samples, is relatively restricted (of the order of 60 ppm or 0.6 ε), despite the large variation in ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd ratios (0.15–0.32; Fig. 16). The slope of the line in Fig. 16 can be used to derive a "planetary isochron" age (cf. Carlson et al., 2014) that is independent of the initial ¹⁴²Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd of the Moon. Although this approach groups together lithologies with a wide range of Sm/Nd ratios that also formed at different times, that the majority of the samples plot along the same line that defines an age of 4331⁺¹³₁₅ Ma (Fig. 16, Borg and Carlson, 2023) suggests that the Sm/Nd ratios of the sources of FANs, Mg Suite rocks, and mare basalts all differentiated at this time. Given $t_{1/2}$ of 103 Myr, this occurred at a mature stage in the lifetime of ¹⁴⁶Sm (2.5 half-lives). This "late" lunar differentiation relative to other planetary bodies is evident from the larger spread of ε^{142} Nd values for Martian shergotties (~100 ppm or 1 ε), despite their more restricted range of ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴³Nd ratios (0.18–0.28). If such an event were consistent with crystallization of a lunar magma ocean, then the uncertainty on the ages indicate that it likely occurred over a period not longer than ~30 Myr.

Isotope ratios in KREEP-rich rocks (e.g., Edmunson et al., 2009; Borg et al., 2017) also show slightly negative ε^{143} Nd values, indicative of an LREE-enriched source, consistent with, but not proof of, enrichment of Nd over Sm in a crystallizing lunar magma ocean. Mare basalts have positive ε^{143} Nd values that, although too scattered to define an accurate model age, tend to become more positive with younger crystallization ages (Snyder et al., 2000). In the sense that mare basalts have strong negative Eu anomalies (defined as Eu/Eu^{*} = Eu_N/ $\sqrt{Gd_N \times Sm_N}$, where N indicates normalization to some reference reservoir, typically chondrites), their sources are supposed to represent the geochemical complement to FANs (e.g., Neal and Taylor, 1992), that is, their sources have had a plagioclase-rich component subtracted from them, leaving them with superchondritic Sm/Nd ratios. Were this complementarity to hold, then the ε^{143} Nd values of FANs would be expected to decrease over time, owing to their derivation from LREE-enriched (slightly subchondritic) sources (e.g., Snyder et al., 1992). However, the opposite is observed, and their ε^{143} Nd increases as their ages become younger, following the trends defined by mare basalts (Borg et al., 2011). This may indicate either a disturbance in the Sm-Nd systematics of some FANs or that the paradigm for their crystallization from a magma ocean no longer holds. Therefore, it is unclear as to whether the bulk Moon is LREE-depleted, or chondritic, though both options remain plausible.



Fig. 16 Combined time-integrated ¹⁴⁶Sm/¹⁴²Nd (as shown by ε^{142} Nd) and ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd systematics of a wide range of whole-rock lunar lithologies, compared with those of Mars. After Borg LE, Carlson RW (2023) The evolving chronology of Moon formation. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 51.

Hf/W

The utility of the short-lived ($t_{1/2}$ = 8.9 Myr; Vockenhuber et al., 2004) ¹⁸²Hf-¹⁸²W chronometer lies in the fact that the lithophile geochemical behavior of the parent isotope contrasts with that of the moderately siderophile daughter. Consequently, core formation on small differentiated bodies in the early Solar System, as recorded by iron meteorites (e.g., Kruijer et al., 2014), leads to high Hf/W ratios in their silicate-rich mantles and complementarily low Hf/W ratios in their cores. Because both elements are cosmochemically refractory, these variations are unlikely to have arisen via volatility, though the somewhat more compatible behavior of Hf relative to W in ferromagnesian silicates can lead to small Hf/W variations by partial melting (e.g., König et al., 2011). Like other radiogenic isotopic systems, the measured ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W is the result of time-integrated ingrowth of ¹⁸²W such that only average Hf/W ratios over the lifetime of ¹⁸²Hf can be derived from a given sample. In the simplest case, core formation on the Earth can be modeled to have occurred in a single event. Assuming the bulk Earth had, initially, a chondritic ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W ratio, then the Hf/W of the BSE (25 ± 5; Münker, 2010; Dauphas et al., 2014; Kleine and Walker, 2017), combined with the measured ~190 ppm excesses in ¹⁸²W in the BSE relative to chondritic meteorites, implies the core separated from the mantle at ~34 ± 3 Myr after t_0 (Kleine et al., 2002; Xleine and Walker, 2017). However, as core formation on Earth likely proceeded in a more periodic manner, a myriad of other scenarios, in which the core grew more quickly or more slowly, remain plausible (e.g., Rudge et al., 2010; Fischer and Nimmo, 2018; Fischer et al., 2021).

The first high precision measurements of the W isotopic composition of lunar samples revealed systematic variations among lunar lithologies, leading to the idea that their sources differentiated within the lifetime of ¹⁸²Hf (less than about 60 Myr; Lee et al., 1997). However, subsequent work showed that this variability was, in large part, due to nuclear reactions induced by galactic cosmic rays, namely, ¹⁸¹Ta(n,γ)¹⁸²Ta(β^{-})¹⁸²W and ¹⁸²W(n)¹⁸³W (Leya et al., 2000). In order to circumvent this issue, Touboul et al. (2015) determined ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W in metallic phases, which contain negligible Ta relative to W, separated from KREEP basalts, detecting an excess of 20.6 ± 5.1 ppm relative to the BSE. Through the use of ¹⁸⁰Ta as a neutron capture dosimeter to correct for the exposure

times of whole rock KREEP samples to cosmic rays, Kruijer et al. (2015) independently reached the same conclusion, identifying an excess of 27 ± 4 ppm relative to the BSE. This value was later refined, through studies of not only KREEP, but low-Ti, high-Ti, and Mg Suite rocks, to 26 ± 3 ppm (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017).

Importantly, the Hf/W ratios of KREEP-rich basalts (~15–20), low-Ti mare basalts (~30–50), and high-Ti mare basalts (~50–150) differ markedly (Münker, 2010; Fonseca et al., 2014; Kruijer and Kleine, 2017). Should this variation translate to that in their magmatic source regions, then the lack of μ^{182} W variability (within 3 ppm) indicates that their mantle sources must have differentiated from one other *after* ~60 Myr so as not to engender differential radiogenic ingrowth of ¹⁸²W in accordance with their Hf/W ratios (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017). The tendency of Hf/W in lunar basalts toward super-BSE values likely reflects the contribution of Hf-rich Fe-Ti oxides to the petrogenesis of high-Ti basalts, whereas the sub-BSE Hf/W of KREEP is reconciled with their derivation from evolved liquids that experienced oxide and silicate crystallization and removal (Fonseca et al., 2014). Experimental work detected a mild increase in W compatibility at low fO_{2} s below the IW buffer (Fonseca et al., 2014), inferred to be due to small amounts of W⁴⁺ (e.g., O'Neill et al., 2008). However, the magnitude of the effect influences mantle Hf/W ratios by ~20% relative, comparable to the precision to which the ratio can be determined in the Moon, and a factor ~20 smaller than the range observed in lunar basalts. The range of Hf/W ratios in lunar rocks therefore hampers the definition of a bulk Moon Hf/W ratio, with two scenarios being explored below.

In the event that Hf/W ratios between the BSE and the BSMoon are indistinguishable, as adopted by Kruijer and Kleine (2017), then the ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W difference between the BSE and BSMoon could not have arisen by in situ radiogenic growth of ¹⁸²W. Instead, Kruijer and Kleine (2017) suggest that the present-day excess of ¹⁸²W in the Moon relative to the BSE is due to differential accretion of the late veneer on the Earth (\sim 0.3–0.8 Earth masses; Walker et al., 2015) relative to that received by the Moon (at most \sim 0.023 \pm 0.002 lunar masses; Day et al., 2016a). The rationale for this explanation lies in the fact that chondritic material, as is thought to have characterized the late veneer, has a $\mu^{182}W = -190$ ppm and is rich in W (100-200 ppb; Wasson and Kallemeyn, 1988) compared to the silicate mantles of the Earth and Moon (\sim 10 ppb), meaning small quantities are able to shift their W isotope compositions, provided the late veneer is well-mixed. Dauphas et al. (2014), in the context of a canonical Mars-sized giant impact, modeled scenarios in which the Moon is comprised of proto-Earth mantle, impactor mantle, impactor core, and 0.2 wt% late veneer, and attempted to find the fractions of the aforementioned components, together with their Hf/W and ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W compositions that would satisfy the present-day $^{182}W/^{184}W$ of the Earth and Moon (i.e., $\Delta \mu^{182}W_{Moon-BSE} = 26 \pm 3$ ppm). Although Dauphas et al. (2014) found numerous solutions to the present-day W isotope compositions of the two bodies, they do not readily account for their identical pre-late veneer composition. Kruijer and Kleine (2017) illustrated that such an accord is a very rare outcome of reasonable giant impact scenarios; less than 5% of all cases examined yield a pre-late veneer $\mu^{182}W_{Moon-BSE} < 15$ ppm (Fig. 17). The likelihood of achieving the same result drops to <1% should the Moon have been made from 80% impactor material. The rarity of such outcomes arises from the fact that the μ^{182} W composition of a differentiated body (such as a putative Moon-forming impactor) reflects its time-integrated Hf-W evolution. Consequently, models in which Moon was largely derived from impactor material imply that the impactor fortuitously underwent the same P-T-t evolution as the Earth's mantle with respect to core formation.

The alternate scenario, which states that the Hf/W ratio of the lunar mantle is *higher* than that of the BSE, as deduced from the relatively higher compatibility of W over Hf under reducing conditions and the higher Hf/W of low-Ti basalts (30–50) compared with that inferred for Earth's mantle (~25), was explored by Thiemens et al. (2019). In this scheme, the observed lunar 26 ± 3 ppm excess in $\mu^{182}W_{Moon-BSE}$ can arise through endogenous core formation on the Moon while ¹⁸²Hf was still extant, which, in turn, requires that the Moon formed within ~60 Myr of t_0 . In order to substantiate that the BSMoon, as sensed by low-Ti basalts, has a higher Hf/W ratio higher than that of the BSE, Thiemens et al. (2019) employed partition coefficients in which ferromagnesian silicates have preference for W over Hf, which is found experimentally only in melt compositions with ≥ 10 wt% TiO₂ and at low fO₂, $\leq \Delta$ IW-1.7 (Leitzke et al., 2016). As the TiO₂ content of silicate liquids is far lower than 10 wt% during *i*) the petrogenesis



Fig. 17 Results of Monte-Carlo simulations showing the likelihood of the prelate veneer Earth and Moon having the same composition in a giant impact scenario in which the Moon is derived entirely from the proto-Earth's mantle. After Kruijer TS, Kleine T (2017) Tungsten isotopes and the origin of the Moon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 475:15–24.

of low-Ti basalts (which contain 3–5 wt%) and *ii*) crystallization of a lunar magma ocean (initially containing 0.2–0.3 wt%, Dauphas et al., 2014, Table 2), it is likely Hf is similarly to more compatible in ferromagnesian silicates than is W during the formation of the low-Ti basalts (or their source regions). Hence, an elevated Hf/W (30–50) in the BSMoon with respect to the BSE, as argued by Thiemens et al. (2019), could have resulted from a BSE-like Hf/W ratio for the bulk Moon. Empirically, the near-constant U/W and Th/W ratios in mare basalts, despite a range of W abundances (Fig. 6, Table 2), and the likely absence of residual metal in their sources (Münker, 2010; Day and Paquet, 2021) suggest that these three elements behave in a lithophile manner on the Moon. Indeed, such correlations were used to define bulk lunar Hf/W ratios of ~25 (Münker, 2010). Instead, elevated Hf/W ratios in low-Ti mare basalts likely stem from the modest addition of ilmenite (in which Hf is moderately compatible, with $D_{ilm/melt}$ near unity; Klemme et al., 2006) to their source regions, whereas KREEP-rich rocks have slightly sub-BSE-like Hf/W.

In either model, the (pre-late veneer) BSE and Moon are assumed to have had the same μ^{182} W ratio, a feature difficult to explain by any giant impact model unless the two bodies perfectly equilibrated (cf. Kruijer and Kleine, 2017; Lock et al., 2018, 2020). As for Sm-Nd ages, the lack of μ^{182} W variability among any lunar lithology (once corrected for spallation reactions) indicates a relatively young age for the formation of their source regions, after 4.5 Ga (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017). Evidence for core formation on the Moon having taken place prior to this time is permitted based on the 26 ± 3 ppm excess in μ^{182} W over that in Earth's mantle (Thiemens et al., 2019); however, the factor ~20 lower fraction (proportional to the size of the body) of the late veneer received by the Moon with respect to the Earth is an equally viable explanation for this difference (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017). No unequivocal evidence for a lunar formation age older than 4.5 Ga is proffered by Hf-W systematics.

Rb/Sr

The isotope ⁸⁷Rb decays into ⁸⁷Sr with a half life of 49.61 ± 0.16 Myr (Nebel et al., 2011; Villa et al., 2015). Rubidium is moderately volatile in both the solar nebula (Wood et al., 2019) and during evaporation from silicate melts (Sossi et al., 2019), whereas Sr is refractory under all conditions (e.g., Fegley et al., 2020). Because Rb and Sr are both lithophile, their fractionation during early Solar System processes arose largely owing to their differential volatility. The locus at which this fractionation occurred, however, is less certain. Many chondritic meteorites, with formation ages <5 Myr after the formation of CAIs, show evidence for Rb/Sr fractionation, as do the terrestrial planets, despite their more protracted formation timescales (e.g., O'Neill and Palme, 2008). Indeed, the ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr ratio of the Moon, 0.019 \pm 0.001 (Table 2) is significantly lower than that of the Earth (0.080 \pm 0.001; Palme and O'Neill, 2014), implying that specific processes acted on the Moon to lower its Rb/Sr ratio relative to the Earth.

The deduction of the integrated Rb/Sr ratio of the material from which lunar rocks formed is tractable, provided (i) the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr from which the material separated is known (ii) the age of the rocks are known independently, and (iii) the present-day 87 Sr/ 86 Sr can be determined. The FANs and Mg Suite rocks, with crystallization ages of ~4300–4360 Ma (Borg and Carlson, 2023), fulfill these criteria. They are particularly amenable to Rb-Sr dating, owing to the fact that Rb is less readily incorporated into anorthositic plagioclase than is Sr. As a result, the correction for in situ growth of 87 Sr by the decay of 87 Rb since the formation of FANs is minimal, thereby maximising the precision of 87 Sr/ 86 Sr_i (where *i* = initial) obtained through Rb-Sr measurements. Compared to the Basaltic Achondrite Best Initial (BABI) value of 0.6989707 ± 0.000028, taken as the initial value for the Solar System, FANs and Mg Suite rocks show only slight radiogenic ingrowth, with most recent determinations ranging from 0.699050 ± 0.000010 to 0.699116 ± 0.000022 (Borg et al., 2022, and references therein).

The 87 Sr/ 86 Sr_i of BABI shows no resolvable difference with the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr_i of ADoR (Angra dos Reis) or with CAIs, which yield 0.6989787 ± 0.000004 and 0.6989757 ± 0.000008, respectively (Hans et al., 2013). On this basis, it is reasonable to assume an initially well-mixed solar nebula with respect to 87 Sr/ 86 Sr, the reservoir from which all planetary bodies inherited their 87 Sr/ 86 Sr_i. Considering the uncertainties in both the ages and 87 Sr/ 86 Sr_i of measured FANs and Mg Suite rocks, a Monte Carlo simulation is performed to describe how the apparent time of Rb/Sr fraction depends on the Rb/Sr ratio of the precursor material (Fig. 18), by solving the equation:

$$t(M\gamma r) = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\frac{87}{86}Sr}{\frac{86}{86}Sr} - \frac{87}{86}Sr}{\frac{87}{86}Sr}\right)}{\lambda} \times 10^{-6}$$
(14)

where λ is the decay constant for ⁸⁷Rb of 1.3972 × 10⁻¹¹ yr (Nebel et al., 2011; Villa et al., 2015) and in which it is assumed ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of the Earth and Moon are equivalent and equal to ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr_i of FANs and Mg Suite rocks at *t* of Rb/Sr fractionation.

The required range of ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr under these assumptions falls between ~0.02 and ~0.08 (Fig. 18). These values are, as found by Borg et al. (2022), strongly volatile-depleted with respect to CI chondrites (0.86) and even the present-day BSE (0.081 \pm 0.009). If it is assumed that the Moon separated from the BSE and hence Moon-forming material evolved with the present-day Rb/Sr ratio of the BSE from t_0 to the time of Rb/Sr fractionation (*y*-axis on Fig. 18), then this fractionation must have occurred at 4478 \pm 18 Ma according to Eq. (14). If the event is supposed coincident with the age of the Moon (i.e., 89 \pm 18 Myr after t_0), then it is in agreement with that determined by Halliday (2008), 87 \pm 13 Myr, Kleine and Nimmo (2024), 75 \pm 27 Myr and 51–79 Myr (Yobregat et al., 2024), under the same assumption. It also overlaps with the 61 \pm 15 Myr age quoted by Mezger et al. (2021), assuming the proto-Moon (i.e., prevolatile loss) and the present-day Earth are formed from a mixture of 15% CI-chondritic material and 85% proto-Earth material devoid of Rb. As highlighted by Halliday and Porcelli (2001), it is critical to recognize that the Rb/Sr of



Fig. 18 The timing of chemical fractionation of Rb from Sr in source regions of Ferroan Anorthosite (FAN) and Mg Suite rocks as a function of the time-integrated ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr ratio of their sources (taken to be that of the bulk Moon) as deduced by Monte Carlo simulation (n=1000) taking into account uncertainties in measured ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr_i values in FAN and Mg Suite as determined by Borg et al. (2022), combined with a Basaltic Achondrite Best Initial (BABI) of 0.69898 (Hans et al., 2013) and a decay constant for ⁸⁷Rb of 1.3972 × 10⁻¹¹ yr (Nebel et al., 2011; Villa et al., 2015). The array passes to the right of present-day lunar Rb/Sr value (0.019 ± 0.001; Table 2; Borg et al., 2022) at the independently determined ages of FAN and Mg Suite rocks, implying a higher time-integrated Rb/Sr ratio (i.e., more volatile-rich) in Moon-forming material relative to its present value.

Moon-forming material is a time-integrated value from t_0 (4.567 Ga) to t_{crys} . Any inference as to the nature of the Rb/Sr ratio of the precursor body (or bodies) is therefore necessarily model-dependent. The ages quoted above are maxima (i.e., the Moon could be older), because the calculation is made on the assumption that the BSE 87 Rb/ 86 Sr ratio was set at t_0 .

These low ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr ratios are in contrast to the higher, Mars-like ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr (~0.2) inferred for the precursor material of the Moon by Halliday and Porcelli (2001), which comes from their use of the 4515 Ma Pb-Pb age for FAN sample 60025 (Carlson and Lugmair, 1988; Hanan and Tilton, 1987). More modern Sm-Nd and Lu-Hf measurements for a wider suite of FANs, including 60025, yield 4300–4360 Ma for their formation (Borg et al., 2022). Adopting these younger ages shows that the required time-integrated ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr ratio could not have been lower than the present-day ratio (~0.02), lest the Rb/Sr fractionation age is younger than the formation of FANs and Mg Suite rocks themselves (Fig. 18). These estimates coincide with the observation that Mg Suite and FAN whole rocks plot along a single Sr isotope evolution line requiring ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr=0.035 (Carlson et al., 2014). The key result is that the time-integrated Rb/Sr ratio of Moon-forming precursor material must have been, in the first ~200 Myr of the Solar System, higher than that inferred for the present-day BSMoon (Table 2).

U-Pb

The other most commonly examined radiogenic isotopic systems in lunar rocks are U-Pb and Lu-Hf, cases in which both the parent and daughter are incompatible elements, and, with the exception of Pb, are cosmochemically refractory. Lead is shown in experiments to be moderately siderophile/chalcophile with $D_{met/sil}$ between ~1 and 10 for S-poor metal compositions thought to characterize the lunar core (see Wood et al., 2014; Ballhaus et al., 2017), and, together with the small mass of the lunar core (0.8%–1.5% of the Moon; Table 1), is not likely to have been sequestered in large quantities into metal. Despite the expected lack of Pb in the lunar core, the ²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb, or μ ratio, has long been known to be elevated (μ ~ 300; Tatsumoto, 1970; Tera et al., 1974; O'Neill, 1991), not only with respect to the CI-chondritic ratio (0.19) but also relative to the BSE (~9, Palme and O'Neill, 2014). These considerations have been taken as evidence to suggest that much of the Pb loss relative to U occurred during the formation of the Moon itself (Connelly and Bizzarro, 2016).

The low Pb contents of lunar mare basalts and the propensity for their contamination with common Pb hindered the precise estimation of systematic differences in the μ ratios of their source regions. Recently, however, *in situ* determination of U-Pb systematics in constituent phases via SIMS has spurred a renaissance of Pb isotope geochemistry in lunar samples (Snape et al., 2016, 2019; Connelly et al., 2022). This has permitted the identification of clear, systematic differences in the ²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb ratios of products of mare volcanism. Assuming the bulk Moon evolved with an initial μ ratio of 460, prior to a differentiation event at 4376 \pm 18 Ma, producing μ values ranging from 2600 to 3700 (KREEP), 360 to 390 (high-Ti), and 410 to 650 (low-Ti) to explain the coupled ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb-²⁰⁴Pb/²⁰⁶Pb variations (Snape et al., 2019). The relative differences between KREEP and low-Ti volcanism are

not readily explained by protracted fractional crystallization in the magma ocean paradigm, as the residual liquids do not reach the extremely elevated 238 U/ 204 Pb in the KREEP source (Snape et al., 2022), an issue that remains unresolved.

Nevertheless, the absolute μ values inferred are model-dependent in the sense that the observed ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb-²⁰⁴Pb/²⁰⁶Pb values can be created via a trade-off between μ and the age of the differentiation event, with younger ages requiring higher μ than for older ages of U/Pb fractionation, all else being equal. Connelly et al. (2022) explored scenarios in order to quantify the effect of the timing of a fractionation event in the source regions of mare basalts (taken by these authors to be equivalent to the giant impact) at ~4.35 and ~4.5 Ga on their Pb isotope systematics. For the younger age, μ (KREEP) is 4600 and μ (low-Ti) is 340, while these values decrease assuming U/Pb fractionation at 4.5 Ga, to 2650 and 255, respectively (Connelly et al., 2022). As noted by Snape et al. (2019) and Connelly et al. (2022), the degeneracy between fractionation times and μ values means that no discrete age for the formation of the Moon can be constrained by Pb isotopes alone. However, it is clear that the Moon, even on the basis of low-Ti basalts, possesses very high ²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb likely set by the same process(es) that led to its volatile depletion (section "Volatile depletion") and Rb/Sr fractionation (Section "Rb/Sr"), and that a young age concordant with Sm-Nd constraints for this U/Pb fractionation is permissible.

Other, more sporadic Pb-Pb determinations of lunar samples return a larger spread of ages. Five zircons analyzed in highlands breccia 72215 yield ages of 4417 ± 6 Ma (Nemchin et al., 2009), yet the remaining 36 analyses of zircons from the same sample result in somewhat younger ages (~4380 Ma). In Apollo 14 breccias, zircon U-Pb ages based on SIMS (Taylor et al., 2009) and TIMS (Barboni et al., 2017) measurements yield ages for their crystallization up to ~4350 Ma, most of which lie on the concordia in Pb isotope space. Barboni et al. (2017) further determined, on the basis of Hf isotope model ages, 4510 ± 10 Ma for the age of the source of the zircons, assuming an Lu/Hf=0, representing an infinite enrichment of Hf over Lu (values > 0 would give older ages). This approach is associated with considerable uncertainty given that (i) the uncertainty of the correction applied to account for cosmic ray exposure-induced neutron capture and (ii) this age is based on the oldest four zircons, whereas the majority of the zircon population analyzed by Barboni et al. (2017) cluster around ages of 4400-4450 Ma. These ages also overlap with those recently determined for concordant regions of zircon grains in impact melt breccia sample 72255, 4460 ± 31 Ma (Greer et al., 2023).

Age of the Moon

Much of the significance of the ages and time-integrated geochemical properties of samples from the lunar highlands and mare volcanism stems from their implied origin from crystallization of a lunar magma ocean. This concept, itself, rests upon the idea that the Moon originated in a giant impact, which, in the canonical scenario, occurred between the proto-Earth and a Mars-sized impactor (Cameron and Ward, 1976; Canup, 2004, Section "Dynamical constraints on the giant impact"). This event is thought to have provided the energy required to engender wholesale melting of the accreting Moon (e.g., Thompson and Stevenson, 1988; Melosh, 1990), and its subsequent crystallization leads to the chemical (and, over time, isotopic) fractionation of the systems described in Section "Timing of the formation of the Moon" (e.g., Snyder et al., 2000; Charlier et al., 2018).

Chronological support of a global-scale event is found in the recurrence of ages around 4350 Ma for a wide range of lunar lithologies: namely, the Mg Suite, the FANs, and urKREEP, as recently reviewed by Borg and Carlson (2023). This event, if ascribed to the differentiation and solidification of the magma ocean, would provide an age constraint for the Moon only insofar as the timescales over which this chemical variability was imparted were brief. The spread of these ages (\pm 30 Myr, Fig. 16) provides observational evidence that the thermal event was short-lived, and such heterogeneity, in the LMO model, would therefore be near-concordant with the age of the Moon itself. An extended timescale for LMO crystallization has been postulated by Maurice et al. (2020) (see also Michaut and Neufeld, 2022) on the grounds that the Nd- and Hf-based model ages for the formation of the urKREEP reservoir would yield younger ages (\sim 4270–4190 Ma) when considering the evolution of Lu/Hf and Sm/Nd ratios to subchondritic values during progressive fractional crystallization of the LMO. These ages are \sim 100–150 Myr younger than those computed from the assumption that the Lu/Hf and Sm/Nd ratios of the urKREEP source were chondritic. Borg and Carlson (2023) point out, however, that these younger ages would conflict with the \sim 4350 Ma crystallization ages of Mg Suite samples, which harbor a significant KREEP component (Shearer et al., 2015), implying urKREEP must have formed prior to 4350 Ma. In either case, both interpretations are non-unique, as the model ages depend on the pre-4350 Ma histories of the Lu/Hf and Sm/Nd ratios of the urKREEP reservoir, which are unknown.

More problematic for relating the frequency of the ~4350 Ma ages to the formation of the Moon is the complex nature of the FANs themselves. Although the formation of FANs from an LMO, either directly or indirectly, still remains widely accepted, evidence against this model has been mounting (Haskin et al., 1982; Floss et al., 1998). Much of the skepticism for a single, FAN-forming event from the LMO has come from the realization that trace element ratios in plagioclase imply that they were once in equilibrium with silicate liquids that had a wide range of incompatible trace element ratios (e.g., 0.001 < Th/Sm < 0.186 and 0.13 < Zr/Sm < 79.7; Pernet-Fisher et al., 2019). These authors interpret trace element variations as being due to the primary magmatic conditions at which plagioclase and pyroxene in FANs crystallized, citing the ~10⁹ yr timescales over which solid-state diffusion would be required to reach the observed homogeneous composition of plagioclase grains of the sizes found in FANs. On the other hand, Ji and Dygert (2023) find that timescales for subsolidus equilibration to reach completion are ~1–100 Myr, provided that temperatures exceeded 1273 K and grains were no larger than 3 mm. On this basis, Ji and Dygert (2023) provided a scenario in which FANs could be produced by differing degrees of KREEP-rich intercumulus melt percolation and re-equilibration, as suggested prior on the basis of the lack of correlation between An# of plagioclase and the Mg# in coexisting pyroxenes (e.g., Raedeke and McCallum, 1980; McGee, 1993; Jolliff and Haskin, 1995).

The fact that the FANs, and, in particular, Mg-Suite rocks have granulitic textures indicative of recyrstallization leads to the proposition that the isotopic ages record cooling in the crust rather than primary igneous crystallization (cf. McCallum and O'Brien, 1996; McCallum and Schwartz, 2001). Lending credence to the importance of secondary "resetting" events over the primacy of LMO-based processes for the ages of highlands rocks is the geological association of Mg-Suite rocks that intrude FANs, yet record indistinguishable ages (~4350 Ma, Borg et al., 2011; Carlson et al., 2014). Indeed, the FANs are not monomict rocks, and the archetypal sample, 60025, known to yield concordant ages in different isotopic chronometers (Borg et al., 2011) is composed of a diverse collection of plagioclase grains of different heritage (Torcivia and Neal, 2022). The vast majority of the anorthosites, including 60025, come from the Descartes formation of the lunar nearside and hence sample a limited range around the Apollo landing sites, now known to be anomalously rich in the KREEP component relative to the lunar farside (e.g., Wieczorek and Phillips, 2000). Similarly, the observation that anorthosites from the lunar meteorite collection typically possess less marked positive Eu anomalies and have higher Mg#s than returned samples (Korotev, 2005; Gross et al., 2014) permits large-scale heterogeneity in FAN progenitors.

The well-defined peak in ages at 4350 Ma for a wide range of lithologies therefore suggests a Moon-wide process that resulted in a thermal maximum, with the consequence being resetting of chronometric ages. While the petrological complexity of highlands rocks renders the canonical LMO model, in which FANs crystallize directly from an evolving, single batch of magma, difficult to test, the "serial magmatism" model has gained traction in recent years (Gross and Joy, 2016). This model postulates that FANs formed by intrusion of broadly basaltic magmas into a now-unsampled primary crust, with plagioclase flotation occurring in situ. Recent models ascribe this to a cumulate overturn event (Floss et al., 1998; Li et al., 2019; Pernet-Fisher et al., 2019) or progressive growth of a stagnant lid via compaction of a slushy interior (Michaut and Neufeld, 2022), both of which posit an LMO in order to produce a density inversion in the cumulate architecture in the first place (cf. Elkins-Tanton and Grove, 2011). Alternative sources of heat to induce melting include an impact that led to the dichotomy between the lunar far and nearside, tentatively associated with the Procellarum Basin (Tartèse et al., 2019), the South Pole-Aitken Basin (Barboni et al., 2024), or a less catastrophic, protracted interval of impact-induced melting on the lunar surface (Haskin et al., 1982). Nevertheless, there is no mechanism, as yet, that is as welldeveloped as the flotation hypothesis set out by Wood et al. (1970) capable of explaining the widespread distribution of FAN in the lunar crust, together with the concordant whole-rock isochron ages (Borg and Carlson, 2023) and chemical properties complementary to those expressed in mare volcanics (Ringwood and Kesson, 1977). Consequently, the significance of this event for the formation of the Moon remains unclear. However, the chronometric model ages (most significantly that of Rb-Sr at ~4490 Ma) and zircon ages back to 4460 Ma, suggests the Moon likely formed close to \sim 4500 Ma.

Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon

Historical hypotheses

While today the giant impact is widely accepted as the leading hypothesis for the origin of the Moon, historically, this has not always been the case. In particular, the Apollo and Luna missions, in addition to more recent remote and lander missions, have provided a host of data, both geochemical and geophysical, by which models for the formation of the Moon can be tested. Consequently, nowadays, a successful model should account for, at least (1) the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system, (2) the mass, density, and moment of inertia of the Moon (Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure"), (3) the small mass of the lunar core (Section "Implications for core composition"), (4) the depletion of elements more volatile than Li and the degree of mass-dependent isotopic fractionation observed in the Moon relative to the Earth (Section "Volatile depletion"), and (5) the similarity in the mass-independent isotopic composition of the Earth and the Moon (Section "A terrestrial origin for the Moon?"). Below, we outline several preeminent Moon formation models, and highlight their strengths and weaknesses, prior to exploring the giant impact hypothesis in more detail (see also reviews by Stevenson, 1987).

Fission. Darwin (1880, 1908) demonstrated that, were the angular momentum of the Earth-Moon system to have been conserved over time, tidal evolution results in an initial rotational period of the proto-Earth (Earth + Moon) of approximately 4 h. This means that the period of diurnal solar tides acting on the proto-Earth would have been every 2 h, a frequency similar to the slowest free oscillation of a fluid body with the average density of the proto-Earth. Such resonance would have caused an enormous tidal bulge, which culminated in the breakup of the proto-Earth, with the resulting debris forming the Moon (Fig. 19A). This hypothesis, however, has several major caveats, including the assumption that the rotating body had a very low viscosity (friction) and ignoring the existence of the Earth's core (Jeffreys, 1930). Moreover, the spin period of 4 h is insufficient for a classical break up scenario, which would require a rotation period of ~ 2 h (Kokubo and Genda, 2010). Ringwood (1972) suggests that the proto-Earth may have had higher angular momentum than today, and this excess was removed by atmospheric loss, but this would have been dynamically challenging. While the fission hypothesis necessarily results in mass-independent isotopic similarity between the Earth and the Moon, the presence of a lunar core (e.g., Weber et al., 2011, see Section "Core") is harder to account for, given the difficulty of ejecting metallic iron into orbit around the Earth. Therefore, in such a scenario, the Moon's core would need to have formed endogenously (e.g., through preferential loss of oxygen from the Moon). As a result, this hypothesis is not considered as a promising model, though it is successful in accounting for the chemical and isotopic similarity between the Moon and the Earth. Nevertheless, a derivative of the fission hypothesis was, in combination with a giant impact (Ćuk and Stewart, 2012), revisited, as discussed in Section "Review of the giant impact hypothesis" in detail.



Fig. 19 Historical models of the origin of the Moon. (A) Fission: the Moon spun out of the rapidly rotating Earth. (B) Gravitational capture: an asteroid (the Moon) was captured in the Earth's gravity. (C) Coaccretion model: Earth and the Moon formed simultaneously in the protoplanetary disk. Modified from Hull SD (2024) On the origin and composition of the terrestrial moons (Ph.D. thesis). University of Rochester 31237958.

Capture. Another lapsed hypothesis is that the Moon was gravitationally captured by Earth (Fig. 19B). Irregular moons around gas giants, such as Triton (Agnor and Hamilton, 2006), are thought to have formed by this process. In general, capture requires significant dissipation of kinetic energy in order to convert the heliocentric orbit of a planetary object into a circumplanetary orbit. One way to remove the excess kinetic energy is via strong tidal interactions during a close encounter between a planet and an embryo. However, if the encounter is too close, the embryo can be destroyed, depending on the viscosity of the object (Mizuno and Boss, 1985). Earth's atmospheric drag has been proposed as an energy dissipation mechanism by Nakazawa et al. (1983), but the model requires a very small encounter velocity (Stevenson, 1987). In addition to dynamical challenges, this model would have difficulties in explaining the mass-independent (O, Cr, Ti) and particularly the W isotope similarity of the Earth and Moon (Sections "A terrestrial origin for the Moon?" and "Hf/W"), as well as the dearth of iron in the bulk Moon (Section "Implications for core composition"), considering that the bulk compositions of captured objects would be anticipated to be broadly chondritic.

Coaccretion (binary formation). Ruskol (1960) argues that debris formed by planetesimal collisions could orbit the Earth, with the debris eventually accreting beyond the Roche Limit to form the Moon (Fig. 19C). The major issue with this hypothesis is that the resulting Moon would be expected to have the same composition as the bulk Earth (and not its mantle). Consequently, it would overestimate the mass of the lunar core, unless there was a mechanism to preferentially strip metallic iron from the Moon-forming region. Moreover, such planetesimal impacts are expected to be random, and therefore achieving a high angular momentum would be challenging. One of the more modern binary formation processes would be by streaming instability, which is a rapid way to form objects up to \sim 100 km in size by spontaneous concentration of dust particles (pebbles) followed by gravitational collapse. This hypothesis was originally proposed for planetesimal formation (Youdin and Goodman, 2005), but would later be used to predict the size distribution of the Kuiper Belt Objects (KBOs) and their population of binaries (Nesvorný et al., 2010, 2019). These planetesimal binaries may subsequently evolve by pebble accretion, potentially forming a system akin to that of Pluto-Charon (Konijn et al., 2023). However, this was unlikely to have been the case for the Earth–Moon system, given that the solar nebular gas would have already dissipated at the time of Moon formation ($\gg5$ Myr, Section "Age of the Moon") and pebble accretion would have ceased to be efficient.

Thus, while some of the hypotheses above were actively discussed in the past, especially that of fission, all have difficulties in accounting for present-day observables of the Earth–Moon system. Subsequently, the community now perceives the giant impact hypothesis as the most promising model, despite its caveats, as discussed below.

Review of the giant impact hypothesis

The various giant impact hypotheses have been recently reviewed by Canup et al. (2023). Here, we provide further reviews as well as discuss recent developments in the field.

Canonical model. The idea that the Moon formed as a result of a large collision was first proposed by Hartmann and Davis (1975) and Cameron and Ward (1976). Hartmann and Davis (1975) argued that such a planetary collision would be sufficiently energetic

so as to eject material from the proto-Earth's mantle into orbit. The resulting material, which would be enriched in rocky (as opposed to metallic) components, would then accrete to form the Moon. The high temperatures (~6000 K, Melosh, 1990) associated with such a process would have also engendered vaporization of a substantial fraction of Moon-forming matter, potentially accounting for the Moon's iron- and volatile-depleted nature, as well as its isotopic similarity to Earth. The study of Cameron and Ward (1976) provided support for the collision hypothesis by pointing out that the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system is compatible with a collision of the sort imagined by Hartmann and Davis (1975).

The adoption of the impact hypothesis by the community was initially sluggish; other hypotheses were still actively debated. In 1984, the Origin of the Moon conference in Kona, Hawaii, United States, was instrumental in promoting the giant impact hypothesis as the leading theory in Moon formation. It motivated numerical simulations of the impact itself, though computational resources were still rather limited compared to what is available today. Kipp and Melosh (1986) conducted impact simulations to illustrate that a large fraction of the Earth's mantle materials are injected into orbit around the Earth. However, these simulations were conducted in 2D, assuming that two infinitely long cylinders collided without self-gravity, and were therefore of limited relevance to two-body collisions. In contrast, Benz et al. (1986, 1987, 1989) conducted 3D impact simulations with self-gravity using a method called smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH). With the standard version of this method, the fluid mass is represented by Lagrangian particles, whose effective radius (smoothing length) h_i changes depending on how many particles exist nearby (compressible fluid). The density of an isolated particle is approximately proportional to m_i/h_i^3 , where m_i is the mass of each SPH particle. When a particle is surrounded by a large number of particles (neighbors), h_i becomes small, and the particle has a high density. The density of a given location is determined by summations of densities of \sim 30 neighboring particles (Hopkins, 2015). SPH is a very efficient method to investigate impact processes, because it does not need to resolve vacuum space and provides high-resolution in a dense region. Early SPH simulations with 10³ particles showed that a large impact with energy dictated by mutual escape velocities injects a mass of material into orbit around the Earth that is sufficient to form the Moon (the impactor to proto-Earth mass ratio is 0.1-0.25, Benz et al., 1986). Moreover, the material that is injected is enriched in silicates relative to metallic iron, consistent with the observation of a small lunar iron core (Section "Core"). On the other hand, these early SPH simulations failed to explain the angular momentum of the Earth-Moon system (Benz et al., 1986, 1987). Further SPH simulations showed that an impact between the proto-Earth and a Mars-sized impactor traveling at mutual escape velocities can reproduce the angular momentum of the current Earth-Moon system, assuming that the angular momentum has been conserved since formation (Canup and Asphaug, 2001). Here, we call this model as the canonical model (Fig. 20A).

The canonical model has had considerable success in explaining many of the observed features as stated above, such as the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system, the small lunar core, and the total mass of the Moon, but its major drawback is that the isotopic similarity between the Earth and the Moon is difficult to achieve in such a model (Section "Introduction"). According to SPH simulations (Canup and Asphaug, 2001; Canup, 2004), most of the disk particles originate from the impactor, which likely had different isotopic ratios from those of Earth (more discussion on this in Sections "A terrestrial origin for the Moon?" and "Hf/W"). Given that the Moon originates from the Moon-forming disk, the chemical and isotopic compositions of the Moon should reflect that of the impactor. This result, at odds with observations, is therefore the major problem befalling the canonical model, referred to as the so-called isotopic crisis (cf. Melosh et al., 2017).

Several potential solutions to this problem have been proposed. One mechanism that may promote homogenization between the proto-Earth and the impactor is convective mixing in the vapor portion of the disk and the Earth's atmosphere during the disk stage (Pahlevan and Stevenson, 2007). At the time this idea was proposed, oxygen, a partially volatile element, was the only element shown to have an identical isotopic composition between the Moon and the Earth (Wiechert et al., 2001). For this isotopic similarity to have arisen, O would have had to have been nearly quantitatively vaporized during the disk phase, consistent with the temperatures extant in the aftermath of a canonical impact. However, the subsequent discovery of isotopic overlap for refractory elements, such as Cr and Ti in the Earth and Moon (cf. Section "A terrestrial origin for the Moon?"), rendered this mechanism unsatisfactory. Alternative solutions to this issue proposed that, because of extensive radial mixing in the inner solar system, the impactor may have happened to have the same isotopic ratios to Earth (Dauphas et al., 2014). Though fortuitous, such a scenario would inherently be able to account for the O, Cr, and Ti isotopic similarity of the Earth and Moon, as these ratios track only the provenance of material within the protoplanetary disk. However, the Mn, Cr, and V abundances, as well as tungsten isotopic similarities of the two bodies identified thereafter (Section "Hf/W"), require an identical core formation history, both in time and extent (Kruijer and Kleine, 2018; Fischer et al., 2021), thereby compromising the suitability of any such "Earth-like impactor" models.

Hit-and-run models. In hit-and-run scenarios, the impactor collides with the proto-Earth but does not form a Moon-forming disk after the first encounter. Instead, it orbits the Sun together with the proto-Earth and eventually hits the proto-Earth again. Such multiple hit-and-run collisions can launch more Earth-like materials into the orbit (Reufer et al., 2012; Asphaug et al., 2021) promoting mixing between the proto-Earth and the Moon. Despite the enhanced efficiency of mixing, the remarkably similar isotopic ratios between the Earth and the Moon remain out of reach because the protolunar disk composition is still dominated by the impactor.

Instantaneous Moon formation model. Kegerreis et al. (2022) conducted SPH simulations with much higher resolution than conventional ones ($N = 10^8$, where N is the number of SPH particles). The work finds that the impactor divides into two fragments in higher resolution studies ($N \ge 10^6$), whereas it remains whole when examined in lower resolution ($N \le 10^6$) a few hours after the initial impact. This trend has also been confirmed in other studies (Hull et al., 2024). In high resolution cases, under certain conditions, one of the fragments starts orbiting the proto-Earth. Interestingly, instantaneous clump formation has been previously



(B) Multiple impact model



(C) Half-Earth model



(D) Synestia model



Fig. 20 Giant impact hypotheses for the origin of the Moon. (A) Canonical model: a Mars-sized impactor (Theia) hit the proto-Earth. (B) Multiple impact model: the Moon formed from multiple impacts. (C) Half-Earth model: The Moon formed from a collision between two half-Earth-sized planetary objects. (D) Synestia model: An energetic impact (similar to the half-Earth impact) formed a donut-shape silicate vapur disk, from which the Moon formed. Modified from Hull SD (2024) On the origin and composition of the terrestrial moons (Ph.D. thesis). University of Rochester 31237958.

observed in low-resolution simulations (e.g., $N \le 10^{4-5}$, Benz et al., 1986; Canup, 2004). The clumps consist of a mixture of the proto-Earth- (40–50 wt%) and impactor-derived materials (50–60 wt%), but the upper 10% in radius of the clump can be more proto-Earth-rich (>60 wt%). Assuming that the Moon eventually forms from this fraction of the clump, the isotopic similarity between the Earth and the surface of the Moon could be explained if the Moon's interior is compositionally and isotopically different from the surface. This lunar interior heterogeneity has been previously proposed (e.g., Salmon and Canup, 2012) and there are some potential isotopic observations (e.g., oxygen isotopes, Cano et al., 2020), but there is no direct evidence that the lunar interior is significantly different from more surficial regions (see Section "Effect of mantle composition on interior structure"). Moreover, since this model does not experience a disk phase, volatile loss due to the impact or from the magma ocean is required to explain the lunar volatile depletion.

Magma ocean model. Melosh and Sonett (1986) proposed that a jet induced by the Moon-forming impact may launch mixtures of the target and impactor materials into orbit before eventually forming the Moon. Karato (2014) proposes a scenario in which the surface of the proto-Earth was covered by a magma ocean at the time of the Moon-forming impact. Since the bulk modulus of magma (silicate liquid) is smaller than that of solid, magma is more compressible and shock-heated by impact than a solid mantle would have been. Their analytical model suggests that the impact could form a jet originating from the proto-Earth's mantle, which

then becomes the Moon. Hosono et al. (2019) and Hosono and Karato (2022) conducted giant impact simulations to test the feasibility of this hypothesis. In their calculations, a hard-sphere model was used for the equation of state (EOS) of the SPH particles that were initially located near the surface of the proto-Earth (representing a silicate liquid). They used the standard SPH as well as the density-independent SPH (DISPH) method. In the standard SPH, spatially smooth density is required by design, but compromises accuracy in reproducing density discontinuities (e.g., core-mantle boundary). In contrast, DISPH requires smooth pressure, which appears to describe material boundaries and instability growth more faithfully (Hosono et al., 2016). Improvements in boundary descriptions have been also investigated elsewhere (e.g., Ruiz-Bonilla et al., 2022). While impact simulations with DISPH are able to produce a disk in which material primarily originates from the proto-Earth, those based on the standard SPH implementation do not. The origin of this phenomenon is still unknown, partly because whether this can be seen in other SPH simulations with improved boundary conditions has not been reported (e.g., Ruiz-Bonilla et al., 2022). Moreover, the SPH simulations conducted by Hosono et al. (2019) and Hosono and Karato (2022) may not be fully equivalent to the original jet formation model because SPH is not able to handle two phases separately (i.e., vapor jets and melt) given that SPH assumes that these two phases move with the same velocity. Thus, SPH is not able to fully capture jet dynamics. Direct comparisons among different SPH methods as well as different impact codes would be helpful to assess how such modifications affect the final outcome and origin of lunar material (e.g., Wada et al., 2006; Canup, 2013; Deng et al., 2019; Ruiz-Bonilla et al., 2022).

Multiple impact model. Rufu et al. (2017) propose that the Moon formed as a result of multiple small impacts (Fig. 20B). A relatively small impactor whose mass is a few wt% of the Earth mass with a high impact velocity (2–4 v_{esc} , where v_{esc} is the escape velocity) can strip off Earth's mantle materials and form a disk originating from Earth. Each impact produces a relatively small disk mass and moonlet mass, and therefore this process has to occur multiple times. If these moons merge (Canup et al., 1999), this model can produce the Moon, which is isotopically similar to Earth. However, such high velocity impacts are less common toward the end of the planet accretion stage (see Section "Dynamical constraints on the giant impact").

Half-Earth model. Canup (2012) proposed a model that involves a collision between two half-Earth-sized planetary objects. Such an energetic impact effectively mixes the proto-Earth and the impactor, naturally explaining the isotopic similarity (Fig. 20C). At the end of the simulation, the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system is ~2.5 times as large as the current value. Some of the excess can be removed via resonances, but its removal efficiency is under active scrutiny (see Section "Angular momentum evolution in the Earth–Moon system"). Additionally, collisions between two protoplanets with subequal masses at the end of the planetary accretion phase are typically rare, but the statistics depend on the planetary growth model (see Section "Dynamical scenarios for the formation of the Moon" and Canup et al., 2023).

High spin and high angular momentum model. Ćuk and Stewart (2012) proposed a model in which a small impactor hits the rapidly rotating proto-Earth, which has a large tidal bulge due to its rapid rotation period. The impactor hits the bulge and launches a portion of the Earth's mantle into the orbit, which eventually becomes the Moon. Since the Moon would form largely from material ejected from the Earth, this model could naturally explain the similar mass-independent, and, importantly, W isotopic ratios relative to the Earth's mantle. They investigate a scenario in which the proto-Earth's spin angular momentum and the orbital angular momentum of the impactor are aligned, which is not very likely. Lock and Stewart (2017) and Lock et al. (2018) generalized this model by expanding it to impacts that have high-angular momenta and high energies. The impact is so energetic that it transforms the disk, in part, into a supercritical fluid that behaves in a contiguous manner. Lock and Stewart (2017) and Lock et al. (2018) dubbed this structure a synestia (Fig. 20D). The synestia would convect vigorously, thereby erasing any preexisting heterogeneity. Lock et al. (2018) illustrated that silicate droplets condense as the synestia cools and coalesce to form moonlets, and, eventually, the Moon itself. However, the growth of the Moon to its present-day mass may face a challenge; these silicate droplets and moonlets would experience a strong pressure gradient (or headwind) in the disk, limiting the efficiency of their accretion (see Section "Problems with gas drag and the streaming instability").

Dynamics and predictions of the giant impact hypothesis

Angular momentum evolution in the Earth–Moon system

Historically, the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system was thought to have been conserved for the ~4500 Myr period between the time of the putative impact and the present day. Touma and Wisdom (1998) find that the Moon would encounter an evection resonance at $4.6R_{\oplus}$ (where \oplus denotes the Earth), which occurs when the period of the lunar perigee precession is the same as the Earth's orbital period (1 year). This resonance can transfer the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system to the Sun–Earth system. This effect is limited for the canonical model assuming that the Earth–Moon system's initial angular momentum has been conserved throughout its history (the Earth's spin period would have been ~5 h (Canup, 2004) at the time of the Moon formation) because the Moon would have escaped the evection resonance quickly (Touma and Wisdom, 1998). Ćuk and Stewart (2012), in contrast, find that in the fast-spinning Earth scenario, a significant amount of the Earth–Moon angular momentum would have been lost in the evection resonance because the Earth's high spin and oblateness move the evection resonance location at ~ $6.8R_{\oplus}$ and the Moon could stay in the resonance for nearly 70,000 years. Approximately, the angular momentum of ~ $1L_{EM'}$ where L_{EM} is the current Earth–Moon angular momentum, could be removed by this process.

Evection resonance appears to be an important process in the evolution of the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system, yet, how much angular momentum can be drained from the system remains unclear. Ćuk and Stewart (2012) employ a simplified tidal evolution model (tidal Q), whereas Wisdom and Tian (2015) and Tian et al. (2017) find that a more conventional tidal Q model does not remove sufficient angular momentum from the Earth–Moon system. The work finds an alternative mechanism

called a limited cycle, which is a cycle associated with the evection resonance. Tian et al. (2017) argue that the evection resonance capture is not likely once the thermal interior evolution of the Moon is considered. The key parameter here is the so-called tidal parameter *A*, which is described as

$$A = \frac{k_{2M}}{k_{2E}} \frac{Q_E}{Q_M} \frac{m_E^2}{m_M^2} \frac{R_M^5}{R_E^5},$$
 (15)

where k_2 is the Love number, Q is the dissipation factor, m is the mass, R is the radius, and the subscripts E and M represent the Earth and the Moon. k_2 and especially Q are expected to change during magma ocean crystallization. In the original Ćuk and Stewart (2012) model, the large angular momentum loss occurs at a limited A range (A=0.8–1.7, i.e., 0.5 < Q_E/Q_M < 1.2), but Tian et al. (2017) find that A can change by orders of magnitude when the interior evolution of the Moon is considered, which makes the angular momentum removal by evection resonance less promising. The limit cycle can remove the excess angular momentum, but an acceptable range of A depends on factors such as Q_E . In addition to these numerical studies, analytical calculations conducted by Ward et al. (2020) and Rufu and Canup (2020) find that angular momentum loss by evection resonance appears to be inefficient. Another configuration, starting from a highly inclined lunar orbit, has also been discussed (Ćuk et al., 2016, 2021), while Tian and Wisdom (2020) argue that this configuration may not reproduce the component of the current Earth–Moon angular momentum perpendicular to the ecliptic plane. Thus, further research is needed to investigate the evolution of the angular momentum of the Earth–Moon system.

Dynamical constraints on the giant impact

The dynamical requirements for the impact models, as well as upon the planet formation scenario(s) that underpin them, may differ significantly. In the classical planet formation scenario (Planetesimal collision model), planetesimals collide with each other until they reach the Mars-sized protoplanets (Safronov, 1969; Wetherill, 1986). After the dissipation of the nebular gas, the orbits of protoplanets become unstable and they collide with one another, pertaining to the so-called giant impact stage (e.g., Weidenschilling, 1977; Kokubo and Ida, 1998). An alternative end member scenario is the pebble accretion model, where small, mm- to cm-sized particles (pebbles) efficiently accrete onto growing protoplanets due to gas drag, which requires completing most of the planet accretion before the protoplanetary disk has dissipated (e.g., Johansen and Lambrechts, 2017; Johansen et al., 2021; Onyett et al., 2023). The pebble accretion model was originally proposed to explain the rapid formation timescale of Jupiter (Lambrechts and Johansen, 2012), but has been recently applied to terrestrial planet formation models. If pebble accretion is efficient in the inner solar system, planets do not necessarily have to experience giant impacts to reach their final planetary masses. Johansen et al. (2021) argue that the only giant impact in the Solar System may be that which formed Earth's Moon. This may not be fully consistent with other giant impacts proposed in the inner solar system in order to explain, for example, Mercury's large iron core (Benz et al., 2007), Martian Moon formation (Craddock, 2011), or the Martian crustal dichotomy (Marinova et al., 2008), but it should be noted that alternative models exist to account for these observations. Moreover, pebble accretion requires planet formation within a few million years, but this appears to be inconsistent with the Earth's tungsten isotopic ratios indicating ~30 Myr formation timescale (unless a giant impact promoted equilibration between Earth's mantle and core) and the late formation age of the Moon (see Section "Age of the Moon"). Pebble accretion may not have been efficient in the inner solar system, and therefore planetesimal collisions and giant impacts played a key role to shape the planetary system (Burkhardt et al., 2021; Lichtenberg et al., 2021; Batygin and Morbidelli, 2022).

Since the pebble accretion model does not provide constraints as to the nature and frequency of giant impacts, we focus on the planetesimal collision model and investigate the likelihood of various impact hypotheses (see also Canup et al., 2023). Fig. 21 shows the statistics of giant impacts from the orbital dynamics simulations (Rubie et al., 2015). Here, we define giant impacts as the impacts whose total masses exceed $0.5 M_{\oplus}$, where M_{\oplus} is the Earth's mass. These simulations are based on the Grand Tack model (Walsh et al., 2011), where the planetesimal disk is truncated at 1 AU due to Jupiter's inward migration. Fig. 21A-D shows the impact angle (θ), the impact velocity v_{imp}/v_{esc} , where v_{esc} is the escape velocity, the impactor-to-total mass ratio (γ), and the total mass (M_T/M_{\oplus}) distribution in the orbital dynamics calculations. In the Grand Tack model, the configuration required for the canonical impact ($\theta \sim 45$ degrees, $v_{imp} \sim v_{esc}$ and $\gamma \sim 0.1$) is relatively common. The required γ is slightly larger than the most probable γ (≤ 0.05) in these specific simulations, but more common in the conventional orbital dynamic calculations (a few - 10%, Canup et al., 2023) and references therein). In contrast, the half-Earth model requires $\gamma = 0.4 - 0.5$, $v_{imp} \sim v_{esc}$, $\theta \sim 45$ degrees. By the end of the accretion stage, high values of $\gamma = 0.4 - 0.5$ are not very likely (Fig. 21, see also Canup et al., 2023). While a synestia may form by various impact conditions, impacts similar to the half-Earth model are preferable because such impacts can easily provide sufficient energy and angular momentum (a collection of small impacts tend to cancel out the total angular momentum). Thus, such a scenario is dynamically less favored in the conventional planet formation and Grant Tack models.

However, a new study indicates that nature and frequency of giant impact events depend upon the type of planet formation model invoked. Fig. 22 shows the giant impact statistics in a recent model (Woo et al., 2024), where terrestrial planets grow from a "ring" of planetesimals at ~ 1 AU, where the streaming instability is an efficient way to form planetesimals due to an enhanced dust-to-gas ratio (Morbidelli et al., 2022). The overall statistics are similar to those in the Grand Tack model, but there are several notable differences. First, the impact velocities are typically smaller in the ring model than in the Grand Tack model, as shown in Fig. 22B. Second, the impactor-to-total mass ratios γ are much larger in the ring formation model, which is because planetesimals grow relatively uniformly to similarly sized embryos. These high γ values make half-Earth and synestia-forming impacts more dynamically probable.



Giant impact statistics in the Grand Tack model

Fig. 21 Giant impact statistics of the Grand Tack simulations in (Rubie et al., 2015). These are histograms of (A) the impact angle θ , (B) the normalized impact velocity v_{imp}/v_{imp} , (C) the impactor-to-total mass ratio γ , and (D) the normalized postimpact planetary mass M_T/M_{\oplus} .



Giant impact statistics in a ring model

Fig. 22 Impact statistics of the ring model in Woo et al. (2024). The parameters shown in this figure are the same as Fig. 21.

As such, the canonical impact model is dynamically highly probable across the conventional, Grant Tack, and the ring models. Impact styles that are required or preferred for the half-Earth and synestia models are relatively common in the ring model, whereas they are rare in the other dynamical models. The multiple impact scenario requires high velocity impacts ($2.5-4v_{esc}$) in order to strip material from the Earth's mantle. Such high velocity impacts are possible (Figs. 21C and 22C) but not as commonplace as low velocity impacts.

Problems with gas drag and the streaming instability

The coalescence of the Moon from a protolunar disk around the Earth depends upon not only the amount of material injected into orbit but also the facility with which such material can settle to the midplane. Nakajima et al. (2022) proposed that strong gas drag in an initially vapor-rich disk onto silicate particles may prevent moonlets from growing larger than a few km. Gas drag originates from the velocity difference between particle (Keplerian) and gas (sub-Keplerian due to the pressure gradient in the disk). This issue was also pointed out by Canup et al. (2023), but the implications were not discussed in detail. Nakajima et al. (2022) calculated the structure and vapor mass fraction (VMF) of the disk based on standard SPH simulations. The disk VMF critically depends on the model; the canonical VMF is 0.1-0.3 (Nakajima and Stevenson, 2014), the VMF of the half-Earths and high-energy high-angular momentum scenarios are 0.7-1.0 (Nakajima and Stevenson, 2014), and VMF for the multiple Moon model is 0.1-0.5 (Rufu et al., 2017). If the initial disk is completely vaporized, as is the case for the energetic models, the radial pressure gradient of the disk is so large that growing moonlets fall onto the Earth on short timescales. This timescale critically depends on the particle size; the residence time for a 2 km-sized particle is short (~1 day), while much smaller particles are completely coupled with the gas and larger particles are entirely decoupled from the gas, and therefore these particles are less affected by the gas drag. Mass loss due to gas drag continues to occur until the disk cools sufficiently so as to have caused it to have largely condensed. Once VMF becomes small enough, the effect of gas drag weakens and the accretion of the Moon gathers pace, but by this time a significant fraction of the mass of the disk (>~80%) has been lost (Ida et al., 2020; Nakajima et al., 2022). Thus, even if the remaining condensed material can accrete, the resulting mass of the Moon may be too small relative to that observed. This problem applies only to initially vapor-rich disks, whereas particles in vapor-poor disks experience less gas drag and are able to remain in orbit.

The impediment of gas drag on the accretion of a growing object in a disk was originally recognized for planet formation in the protoplanetary disk, where growing ~ 1 m-sized planetesimal fall onto the Sun in ~ 80 years (Adachi et al., 1976; Weidenschilling, 1977), which is much shorter timescale than the planet formation timescale (a few to 10s of Myr, according to *N*-body simulations). A likely solution comes in the form of the streaming instability, a process that permits rapid formation of much larger planetary bodies by concentrating particles that then gravitationally collapse (e.g., Youdin and Goodman, 2005). By this process, accreting planets can avoid the problematic "1 meter barrier." This same mechanism, however, does not appear to have been relevant for the Moon-forming disk. Simulations of streaming instability in the Moon-forming disk show that the maximum size of the streaming instability is ~ 100 km (Nakajima et al., 2024), too small to overcome gas drag. Thus, energetic models, in which much of the disk is initially vaporized, may still encounter difficulties in reaching the mass of the Moon.

Lunar volatile loss

As discussed in detail in Section "Volatile depletion," how and when volatiles were lost from the Moon can place important constraints on dynamical models. Chemical and isotopic evidence are consistent with low temperature (\sim 1500 K) volatile loss from the Moon during gas-condensed phase equilibrium, though higher temperatures (\sim 3000–3500 K) are possible, should the mechanism depart marginally from equilibrium. Typically, two key sites for vapor loss are mooted: (1) the Moon-forming disk, and (2) the lunar magma ocean (post-accretion). We discuss the proposed dynamics of the models below.

Mechanisms for volatile loss from the Moon

Following the Moon-forming impact, a partially vaporized disk forms around the Earth and the Moon accretes in 10s–100s of years, depending on the disk evolution model (Thompson and Stevenson, 1988; Salmon and Canup, 2012; Lock et al., 2018). The initial condition of the disk is sensitive to the impact model. As discussed in Section "Problems with gas drag and the streaming instability," the canonical model tends to produce a disk with a (silicate) vapor mass fraction of 0.1–0.3 (i.e., 70–90 wt% silicate liquid) and a midplane temperature of 4000–5000 K, while more energetic models, such as the high angular momentum and high energy impact, can produce disk vapor mass fractions of 0.7–1.0 with midplane temperatures of 6000–7000 K (e.g., Nakajima and Stevenson, 2014). The initial disk temperature can affect the extent of volatile loss and the gaseous species involved, as discussed in detail below.

Atmospheric escape from the Moon. Genda and Abe (2003) calculate a one-dimensional hydrostatic disk structure and estimate the condition of the breakdown of the hydrostatic assumption for the gas phase, which then leads to the onset of hydrodynamic escape of the atmosphere. Desch and Taylor (2013) propose that hydrodynamic escape would occur from the Moon if the Jeans parameter:

$$\lambda = \frac{GM_{\oplus}m}{RTr} \tag{16}$$

falls below 2 (Parker, 1963), where *G* is the gravitational constant, M_{\oplus} is the Earth's mass, *m* is the mean molar mass of the atmosphere, *R* is the gas constant, *T* is the disk temperature, and *r* is the distance from Earth. This condition may be met when the disk is predominantly composed of hydrogen, H₂ (*m*=2), but H can also speciate as H₂O (H₂O = H₂ + $\frac{1}{2}$ O₂). As O₂ is a minor gas

species ($<10^{-10}$ bar at 1500 K), the relative proportion of H₂ to H₂O controls the value of *m* of the atmosphere. The *f*H₂/*f*H₂O depends on the *f*O₂ of the lunar atmosphere at the time of its formation, which remains uncertain, with the implication that reducing atmospheres promote escape relative to their oxidizing counterparts (*m* H₂ \ll *m* H₂O), all else being equal. Escaping H₂ could drag other, heavier elements, predicting loss of water and other volatile elements present in the gas. Extensive loss of water may or may not be consistent with the estimated water abundance of the Moon, which is uncertain (a few to 100s of ppm, Boyce et al., 2010; Hauri et al., 2011; McCubbin et al., 2010; Hui et al., 2013; Saal et al., 2013; McCubbin and Barnes, 2019). Desch and Taylor (2013) assume that all the volatiles would escape simultaneously, whereas Nakajima and Stevenson (2018) find that volatile loss from the disk would be diffusion-limited because light elements and molecules (e.g., H₂) need to first diffuse out from the disk atmosphere, which is dominated by heavy elements and molecules (such as SiO₂ at $T_{mid} > 2500-2800$ K and H₂O at $T_{mid} < 2500-2800$ K, where T_{mid} is the midplane disk temperature). Nakajima and Stevenson (2018) conclude that volatiles are not readily lost from the Moon-forming disk to space, owing to the difficulty of escaping the gravitational potential well of the Earth. It should be noted, however, that this conclusion is contingent upon H₂O being a major component of the gas at $T_{mid} < 2500 - 2800$ K, where *s*₀ to have been sufficiently low.

Charnoz et al. (2021) pointed out that the energy needed for a gaseous particle to escape from the Moon and be captured by the Earth is significantly lower than that for escape to space (Eq. 16), particularly when the Moon forms close to the Earth's Roche Limit at $\sim 3 R_{\oplus}$. Tang and Young (2020) suggested that, even under this energetically favorable configuration, atmospheric escape from the Moon is limited, owing to the Myr timescales required. However, at high temperatures (>1500 K), the lunar atmosphere may have been hydrostatically unstable down to the surface of a lunar magma ocean, and mass in the atmosphere would have been, in part, transported advectively (i.e., by hydrodynamic escape). However, advective transport would engender isotopic fractionation according to the differential velocity of the advecting gas and the thermal velocity given by a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution (Charnoz et al., 2021). The magnitude of the isotopic fractionation engendered by this process is too large relative to observations (Table 4) for hydrodynamic escape to have played a prevailing role for volatile loss on the Moon (Tang and Young, 2020; Charnoz et al., 2021; Dauphas et al., 2022).

Canup et al. (2015) conducted a numerical study of the evolution of the Moon-forming disk. The region of the disk exterior to the Roche radius, which should reflect the initial bulk composition of the Moon-forming disk, is expected to have accreted quickly and to have formed moonlets that represent the interior part of the Moon (Salmon and Canup, 2012). By contrast, the part of the disk inside the Roche radius is not able to accrete at first because the gravity of the Earth continuously disrupts self-gravitating moonlets. However, the inner disk slowly expands outwards viscously over time. Material originating from the inner disk would be initially volatile-depleted, because volatiles would be still in the vapor phase in the hotter, inner disk. Once this volatile-depleted portion of the disk has crossed the Roche radius, it can accrete onto the preexisting and volatile-rich moonlets. The inner disk would become more volatile-rich over time (closer to the initial bulk composition) as the disk cools and more material condenses, but these more volatile-rich materials may not efficiently accrete onto the moonlets outside the Roche radius because they tend to be gravitationally scattered by the growing large moonlets. As a result, this model would predict that, initially, or in the absence of a magma ocean, the interior of the Moon, which formed from the Roche-interior disk, would be volatile-rich while the exterior, which formed from the Roche-exterior disk, is more volatile-depleted. Whether such signatures would be preserved despite mantle convection of the Moon or observable remain unknown, though it appears that at least the pyroclastic glasses, which come from deeper regions of the lunar interior (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2003) may be more volatile-rich than the shallower source regions of low- and high-Ti mare basalts (see Section "Mantle"). Lock et al. (2018) propose that the Moon formed from condensates within a synestia under high pressure and temperature conditions (\sim 3500–4000 K, \sim 10–100 bars) could explain the chemical depletion pattern. However, this model may be difficult to explain the enrichment of heavy isotopes of the Moon (such as K, Wang and Jacobsen, 2016) because equilibrium condensation at this high temperatures would produce negligible isotopic fractionation, whereas kinetic isotopic fractionation would predict isotopically light condensates (see Section "Volatile depletion").

Several studies suggest that Earth's magnetic field may have played an important role for lunar volatile depletion. Charnoz and Michaut (2015) propose that vapor in the Moon-forming disk may have been turbulent due to MRI (magneto-rotational instability). Due to the high temperature of the disk, vapor could have been partially ionized (Visscher and Fegley, 2013). A terrestrial or stellar magnetic field coupled with ions in the atmosphere can trigger MRI in the disk, which can significantly affect its viscosity. Typically, the viscosity of vapor is described as $v = \alpha c_s H$, where α is a dimensionless parameter that describes the extent of turbulence, c_s is the sound speed, and H is the scale height. This formulation was originally developed for the protoplanetary disk, but has been used to describe general disk viscosities. The value of α is uncertain and it can change temporary and spatially by orders of magnitude (Carballido et al., 2016; Mullen and Gammie, 2020). Assuming $\alpha = 10^{-4}$, Charnoz and Michaut (2015) argue that the vapor portion of the disk spreads more quickly than liquid and would accrete onto Earth. If the Moon accreted from the liquid portion of the disk, the Moon would become volatile depleted. Carballido et al. (2016) follow up on this work and propose that extensive mixing and isotopic homogenization could occur even though the long-term average of α is small ($\alpha \sim 7 \times 10^{-6}$).

Here, we suggest that the influence of the magnetic field of Earth after the impact, however, is likely to have been suppressed. Shortly after the impact, the Earth's mantle and core are thermally stratified (Fig. 23). The top of the mantle would have begun convecting owing to radiative cooling from the surface, but it would take at least ~1000 years for the mantle to reach close an adiabatic state (Nakajima and Stevenson, 2015). The core itself is also thermally stratified (Fig. 23), which would delay the onset of core convection. Given that the timescale for formation of the Moon is 10s–100s years, it is not likely that Earth would have had a strong magnetic field, though whether the stellar magnetic field could trigger an MRI needs further investigation.



Fig. 23 Entropy gain of the Earth's interior after the canonical impact based on an SPH simulation (Nakajima and Stevenson, 2015). The *dark green line* represents the silicate mantle and *grey line* represents the iron core. The entropy is averaged as a function of the radius with a 100-km interval. Regions that contain less than 10 SPH particles are omitted. The standard deviations are shown by the *shaded region*. The impactor's iron core is entrained in the Earth's mantle, and therefore the iron is distributed all the way to the surface. Both the silicate mantle and iron core are thermally stratified, which prohibits core convection.

Alternatively, Mullen and Gammie (2020) propose that MRI could have occurred had the proto-Earth and Theia possessed strong magnetic fields prior to the collision. These fields may be exponentially amplified in the early disk phase. The vapor portion of the disk would have been turbulent and spread out very rapidly (~600 h, Gammie et al., 2016) to large radii (~ $10R_{\oplus}$). Simultaneously, some vapor would have fallen onto Earth, potentially making the Moon volatile-depleted. This model may require a strong preimpact magnetic field (~1 kG, while the current Earth's magnetic field strength on the surface is 10^{-1} G), and whether a protoplanet can have such a strong magnetic field has not been demonstrated. Thus, MRI has the potential to affect the disk dynamics, mixing, and distribution of chemical elements and isotopes, but whether MRI can develop in the disk is uncertain and this requires further research.

Interim grades for the proposed dynamical models

Thus, all of the proposed models have strengths and weaknesses, whereas their weights are different. The transcript of the proposed models in our opinion is shown in Table 5. The models considered here are (a) the canonical model, which also includes the hit-and-run (HR) model, the magma ocean model, (b) the multiple impact model, (c) the half-Earth model, and (d) the Synestia model.

The mass-independent isotopic similarity for the various models has been discussed in Sections "A terrestrial origin for the Moon?" (isotopes) and "Review of the giant impact hypothesis" (models). The observed chemical depletion pattern and mass-dependent isotopic fractionations and model predictions are listed in Sections "Volatile depletion" and "Lunar volatile loss." The angular momentum, dynamical likelihood of the impact conditions, and lunar mass are discussed in Sections "Angular momentum evolution in the Earth–Moon system," "Dynamical constraints on the giant impact," and "Problems with gas drag and the streaming instability," respectively.

able 5	Qualitative	assessment	of the succes	ss of various	uynamicai	models in e	kplaining phys	sica
nd chemica	l properties	s of the Moon	. A is consid	ered the bes	t grade, fol	llowed by B	and finally C	

	(a) Canonical	(b) Multiple	(c) Half-Earth	(d) Synestia
Isotopic similarities	С	С	А	А
Volatile depletion (chemistry)	В	В	В	В
Volatile depletion (isotopes)	В	В	С	С
Angular momentum	А	В	С	С
Dynamical likelihood	А	В	В	В
Lunar mass	Α	В	С	С

Summary and outlook

The story of the Moon is intimately tied to that of the Earth. The two bodies are separated by just 384,400 km, implying a genetic connection between them. The low mean density of the Moon, 3340 kgm⁻³ though similar to the Earth's upper mantle, already attests to its peculiar constitution. Indeed, the lunar core is shown by new joint inversions to comprise only 0.8%–1.5% of its mass (radius of 300 ± 20 km), a lower fraction than in any other terrestrial body in the Solar System. The inversions show that, if the bulk composition of the silicate portion of the Moon is akin to that of the Earth's upper mantle (8.1 wt%), then the lunar core has a density of \sim 7800 kgm⁻³, and is required to be comprised almost entirely of Fe-Ni alloy. On the other hand, should the bulk silicate Moon have higher FeO contents (\sim 13 wt%) than in the Earth's mantle, then only considerably lower core densities (\sim 6100 ± 800 kgm⁻³ can satisfy the mass and moment of inertia of the Moon, and therefore imply considerable quantities of S and/or nonmetallic components in the lunar core. At present, the unambiguous resolution of the nature and composition of the lunar core is limited by the attenuation of seismic waves below \sim 1100 km in the lunar interior and the insensitivity of remote geophysical techniques to core properties. The corollary is that, were future seismic surveys, such as the upcoming Farside Seismic Suite (Panning et al., 2022) and potential Lunar Geophysical Network in 2030 (Haviland et al., 2022), to detect core-traversing phases, distinctions between genetic models for the formation of the Moon would be possible.

The identification of indistinguishable O isotope compositions between lunar and terrestrial rocks (Wiechert et al., 2001) is consistent with a scenario in which the Moon and Earth were derived from material with the same nucleosynthetic signature. However, the dependence of this interpretation on a single isotope system allowed considerable flexibility as to erstwhile models of Moon formation, with a leading explanation suggesting that the Earth and Moon formed in the same region of the protoplanetary disk (Dauphas et al., 2014). Indeed, the emergence of the paradigm that the inner and outer solar systems were populated by material of distinct nucleosynthetic heritage (Warren, 2011) in the isotopes of Cr and Ti permits the use of these isotopes as tracers of the provenance of material from which the planets were built. That the Earth and Moon also possess identical Cr, Ti, Ca, and Zr mass-independent isotopic compositions (Zhang et al., 2012; Akram and Schönbächler, 2016; Mougel et al., 2018; Schiller et al., 2018) paints an increasingly clear picture of their derivation from a common precursor. This view is reinforced not only by the similarity in the abundances of Mn, Cr, and V in the mantles of the Earth and Moon (Ringwood and Seifert, 1986) but also in the overlap of their inferred initial ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W isotope compositions (Kruijer and Kleine, 2017). The latter constraint is particularly exacting because the evolved ¹⁸²W/¹⁸⁴W ratio depends not only on the extent of Hf/W fractionation but also on how and when it occurred. Nevertheless, there are considerable uncertainties associated with both the Hf/W of the lunar mantle and the timing of core formation on the Moon (Thiemens et al., 2019). Sampling of the Moon away from the Procellarum KREEP terrane, upon which the Apollo missions exclusively landed, and particularly discovery of samples of the lunar mantle (e.g., near the South Pole-Aitken basin) would be invaluable in determining the bulk composition of the Moon, both chemically and isotopically. Sample return missions from the far side, including the Chang'e 6 and Endurance, which is a proposed mission, would bring new and key insights to this issue.

Present estimates of lunar composition are based on the products of mare magmatism, notably the pyroclastic green glasses and low-Ti basalts, which are in equilibrium with mantle sources that have vastly different Mg#s (~0.85 and 0.75, respectively). Despite our inability to determine the major element composition of the mantle precisely with this approach (Ringwood et al., 1987), these rocks reveal a stark depletion of moderately and highly volatile elements by a factor ~5 and 100–500, respectively, relative to the Earth's mantle (Wolf and Anders, 1980; Gleißner et al., 2022). Chemical fractionation patterns among alkali metals favor volatile loss at ~1400 K (cf. also O'Neill, 1991). Mass-dependent stable isotope fractionation among a wide range of lunar lithologies attest to widespread volatile depletion of the Moon during its formation, with the observed isotopic differences between the Earth and Moon consistent with (near-) equilibrium fractionation (Tartèse et al., 2021; Dauphas et al., 2022). Unique definition of the pressures and temperatures of volatile depletion is limited by the lack of experimental data on vaporization reactions between condensed phases and gas, and the isotopic fractionation associated with them.

The physical setting in which such volatile depletion occurred is frequently discussed in the context of a giant impact event(s). The canonical giant impact (Hartmann and Davis, 1975; Cameron and Ward, 1976; Canup and Asphaug, 2001) describes the collision between a Mars-sized body (~10% the mass of the Earth) and the proto-Earth in aid of accounting for the present-day angular momentum of the Earth-Moon system. However, SPH simulations showed that such collisions would predict that the Moon formed predominantly or partially from impactor material, violating the chemical- and isotopic kinship of the Earth and Moon attested to by more recent geochemical evidence. Even if O isotope homogeneity were to have been achieved by mixing in the vapor phase of a protolunar disk (Pahlevan and Stevenson, 2007), this mechanism would be inadequate to homogenise more refractory elements, notably Cr and Ti. Consequently, higher energy scenarios in which the proto-Earth was in retrograde motion, or collisions between larger impactors were invoked, are found to give rise to a peculiar extended contiguous disk structure, or synestia that facilitate homogenization (Čuk and Stewart, 2012; Lock et al., 2018). Although this event would likely give rise to the required level of isotopic homogeneity, the physical and transport properties of supercritical fluids (forming above ~6000 K, Caracas and Stewart, 2023) remain poorly understood and have yet to be incorporated into numerical impact simulations. Moreover, these energetic impacts, which are more common for half Earth-sized collisions, are not dynamically favored at the late stage of planet formation in the conventional dynamical planet formation and Grand Tack models, but are more common in a recent ring planet formation model (Woo et al., 2024). Whether sufficient mass can coalesce in the Moon-forming regions in such structures is under scrutiny, while equilibrium condensation at very high temperatures (~3000 K) would not engender the required massdependent isotopic fractionation.

From a chemical and isotopic perspective, there is no unambiguous evidence for the contribution of an impactor to the Moon. This implies that either (i) the material that made the Earth and Moon mixed perfectly during an impact event, before the Moon preferentially sampled a silicate-rich part of the disk or (ii) there was no impact at all. Whether these options remain plausible depends upon our ability to resolve the bulk composition of the Moon from its geophysical response and geochemical record. Should the Moon have *exactly* the same composition as the Earth's mantle with respect to major element abundances, then its origin could be reconciled with a "devolatilized" fragment of the Earth's mantle, after core formation, but *before* the accretion of the late veneer. In the absence of a more plausible physical scenario, the giant impact is currently the worst model we have for the origin of the Moon, aside from all the others that have been tried from time to time.

Acknowledgments

P.A.S. is grateful for the enlightening discussions over the years with Hugh O'Neill, most recently in the tranquil surrounds of the Melbourne Botanic Gardens. P.A.S. also thanks Jim Connelly, Jeff Taylor, Daniele Antonangeli, Max Schmidt, Josh Snape, Maria Schönbächler, Christian Liebske, Thomas Kruijer, Thorsten Kleine, Francis Nimmo, Raúl Fonseca, Andreas Pack, and Ian Jackson for insights on various aspects of lunar physics and chemistry. M.N. thanks David Rubie and Alessandro Morbidelli for providing orbital dynamics data. P.A.S. thanks the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) via an Eccellenza Professorship (203668) and the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number MB22.00033, a SERI-funded ERC Starting Grant "2ATMO." M.N. was supported in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) grant numbers 80NSSC19K0514, 80NSSC21K1184, and 80NSSC22K0107. Partial funding for M.N. was also provided by NSF EAR-2237730 as well as the Center for Matter at Atomic Pressures (CMAP), an NSF Physics Frontier Center, under Award PHY-2020249. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the National Science Foundation. M.N. was also supported in part by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation under grant G202114194.

References

- Adachi I, Hayashi C, and Nakazawa K (1976) The gas drag effect on the elliptic motion of a solid body in the primordial solar nebula. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 56: 1756–1770. Agnor CB and Hamilton DP (2006) Neptune's capture of its Moon Triton in a binary-planet gravitational encounter. *Nature* 441(7090): 192–194. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature04792. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16688170.
- Akram W and Schönbächler M (2016) Zirconium isotope constraints on the composition of Theia and current Moon-forming theories. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 449: 302–310.
- Albarède F, Albalat E, and Lee C-TA (2015) An intrinsic volatility scale relevant to the Earth and Moon and the status of water in the Moon. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 50(4): 568–577.

Anderson WW and Ahrens TJ (1994) An equation of state for liquid iron and implications for the Earth's core. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 99(B3): 4273–4284.
Angel RJ and Hugh-Jones D (1994) Equations of state and thermodynamic properties of enstatite pyroxenes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 99(B10): 19777–19783.
Antonangeli D, Morard G, Schmerr NC, Komabayashi T, Krisch M, Fiquet G, and Fei Y (2015) Toward a mineral physics reference model for the Moon's core. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112(13): 3916–3919.

Arevalo R Jr and McDonough WF (2010) Chemical variations and regional diversity observed in MORB. Chemical Geology 271(1-2): 70-85.

Armytage RMG, Georg RB, Savage PS, Williams HM, and Halliday AN (2011) Silicon isotopes in meteorites and planetary core formation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 75(13): 3662–3676.

Armytage RMG, Georg RB, Williams HM, and Halliday AN (2012) Silicon isotopes in lunar rocks: Implications for the Moon's formation and the early history of the Earth. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 77: 504–514.

Asphaug E, Emsenhuber A, Cambioni S, Gabriel TSJ, and Schwartz SR (2021) Collision chains among the terrestrial planets. III. Formation of the Moon. *The Planetary Science Journal* 2(5). https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/ac19b2.

Badro J, Côté AS, and Brodholt JP (2014) A seismologically consistent compositional model of Earth's core. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111(21): 7542–7545. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316708111. https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1316708111.

Badro J, Siebert J, and Nimmo F (2016) An early geodynamo driven by exsolution of mantle components from Earth's core. *Nature* 536(7616): 326–328. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature18594. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27437583.

Ballhaus C, Fonseca RO, Münker C, Rohrbach A, Nagel T, Speelmanns IM, Helmy HM, Zirner A, Vogel AK, and Heuser A (2017) The great sulfur depletion of Earth's mantle is not a signature of mantle-core equilibration. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 172: 1–10.

Barboni M, Boehnke P, Keller B, Kohl IE, Schoene B, Young ED, and McKeegan KD (2017) Early formation of the Moon 4.51 billion years ago. *Science Advances* 3(1): e1602365. Barboni M, Szymanowski D, Schoene B, Dauphas N, Zhang ZJ, Chen X, and McKeegan KD (2024) High-precision U-Pb zircon dating identifies a major magmatic event on the Moon at 4.338 Ga. *Science Advances* 10(30): eadn9871.

Barr JA and Grove TL (2013) Experimental petrology of the Apollo 15 group A green glasses: Melting primordial lunar mantle and magma ocean cumulate assimilation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 106: 216–230.

Batygin K and Morbidelli A (2022) Self-consistent model for dust-gas coupling in protoplanetary disks. Astronomy & Astrophysics 666. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243196.

Benz W, Slattery WL, and Cameron AGW (1986) The origin of the Moon and the single-impact hypothesis. I. *Icarus* 66: 515–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(86)90088-6. Benz W, Slattery WL, and Cameron AGW (1987) The origin of the Moon and the single-impact hypothesis. II. *Icarus* 71: 30–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(87)90160-6. Benz W, Cameron AGW, and Melosh HJ (1989) The origin of the Moon and the single impact hypothesis. III. *Icarus* 81: 113–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(89)90129-2. Benz W, Anic A, Horner J, and Whitby JA (2007) The origin of Mercury. *Space Science Reviews* 132(2–4): 189–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-007-9284-1.

Bischof L, Sossi PA, Sergeev D, Müller M, and Schmidt MW (2023) Determination of activities and condensation temperatures of GaO_{1.5} and InO_{1.5} in anorthite-diopside eutectic melts by Knudsen effusion mass spectrometry. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 360: 1–15.

Bombardieri DJ, Norman MD, Kamenetskty VS, and Danyushevsky LV (2005) Major element and primary sulfur concentrations in Apollo 12 mare basalts: The view from melt inclusions. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 40(5): 679–693. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1945-5100.2005.tb00973.x.

Bonnand P, Parkinson IJ, and Anand M (2016) Mass dependent fractionation of stable chromium isotopes in mare basalts: Implications for the formation and the differentiation of the Moon. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 175: 208–221.

Borg LE and Carlson RW (2023) The evolving chronology of Moon formation. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 51.

Borg LE, Connelly JN, Boyet M, and Carlson RW (2011) Chronological evidence that the Moon is either young or did not have a global magma ocean. *Nature* 477(7362): 70–72. Borg LE, Connelly JN, Cassata WS, Gaffney AM, and Bizzarro M (2017) Chronologic implications for slow cooling of troctolite 76535 and temporal relationships between the Mg-suite and the ferroan anorthosite suite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 201; 377–391.

Borg LE, Gaffney AM, Kruijer TS, Marks NA, Sio CK, and Wimpenny J (2019) Isotopic evidence for a young lunar magma ocean. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 523: 115706. Borg LE, Brennecka GA, and Kruijer TS (2022) The origin of volatile elements in the Earth-Moon system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119(8): e2115726119. Boyce JW, Liu Y, Rossman GR, Guan Y, Eiler JM, Stolper EM, and Taylor LA (2010) Lunar apatite with terrestrial volatile abundances. *Nature* 466(7305): 466–469. https://doi.org/

10.1038/nature09274. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20651686. Boyce JW, Kanee SA, McCubbin FM, Barnes JJ, Bricker H, and Treiman AH (2018) Early loss, fractionation, and redistribution of chlorine in the Moon as revealed by the low-Ti lunar

mare basalt suite. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 500: 205–214.

Boynton WV (1975) Fractionation in the solar nebula: Condensation of yttrium and the rare Earth elements. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 39(5): 569–584.

Brenan JM, Mungall JE, and Bennett NR (2019) Abundance of highly siderophile elements in lunar basalts controlled by iron sulfide melt. *Nature Geoscience* 12(9): 701–706. Brett R (1976) Reduction of mare basalts by sulfur loss. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 40(9): 997–1004.

Brett R, Butler P Jr, Meyer C Jr, Reid AM, Takeda H, and Williams R (1971) Apollo 12 igneous rocks 12004, 12008, 12009, and 12022: A mineralogical and petrological study. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 2, p. 301.

Briaud A, Ganino C, Fienga A, Memin A, and Rambaux N (2023) The lunar solid inner core and the mantle overturn. *Nature* 617(7962): 743–746. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05935-7. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37138080.

Brown SM and Grove TL (2015) Origin of the Apollo 14, 15, and 17 yellow ultramafic glasses by mixing of deep cumulate remetts. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 171: 201–215. Buck WR and Toksoz MN (1980) The bulk composition of the Moon based on geophysical constraints. In: *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 11th, Houston, TX, March* 17–21, *1980, Proceedings. Volume* 3 (A82-22351 09-91), pp. 2043–2058. New York: Pergamon Press, Inc.

Buono AS and Walker D (2011) The Fe-rich liquidus in the Fe-FeS system from 1bar to 10 GPa. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 75(8): 2072–2087. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gca.2011.01.030.

Burkhardt C, Hin RC, Kleine T, and Bourdon B (2014) Evidence for Mo isotope fractionation in the solar nebula and during planetary differentiation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 391: 201–211.

Burkhardt C, Spitzer F, Morbidelli A, Budde G, Render JH, Kruijer TS, and Klein TS (2021) Terrestrial planet formation from lost inner solar system material. Science Advances 7: eabj7601.

Cameron AGW and Ward WR (1976) The origin of the Moon. Lunar and Planetary Science VII: 120.

Cano EJ, Sharp ZD, and Shearer CK (2020) Distinct oxygen isotope compositions of the Earth and Moon. *Nature Geoscience* 13(4): 270–274. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0550-0.

Canup RM (2004) Simulations of a late lunar-forming impact. Icarus 168: 433-456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2003.09.028.

Canup RM (2012) Forming a Moon with an Earth-like composition via a giant impact. Science 338(6110): 1052–1055. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226073.

Canup RM (2013) Moon-forming impact scenarios. Origin of the Moon, Sep 23–24, London, UK.

Canup RM and Asphaug E (2001) Origin of the Moon in a giant impact near the end of the Earth's formation. *Nature* 412: 708–712.

Canup RM, Levison HF, and Stewart GR (1999) Evolution of a terrestrial multiple-Moon system. The Astronomical Journal 117(1): 603. https://doi.org/10.1086/300667.

Canup RM, Righter K, Dauphas N, Pahlevan K, Cuk M, Lock SJ, Stewart ST, Salmon J, Rufu R, Nakajima M, and Magna T (2023) Origin of the Moon. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 89(1): 53–102. https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2023.89.02.

Canup RM, Visscher C, Salmon J, and Fegley B Jr (2015) Lunar volatile depletion due to incomplete accretion within an impact-generated disk. *Nature Geoscience* 8(12): 918–921. Caracas R and Stewart ST (2023) No magma ocean surface after giant impacts between rocky planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 608: 118014.

Carballido A, Desch SJ, and Taylor GJ (2016) Magneto-rotational instability in the protolunar disk. *Icarus* 268: 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.12.042. Carlson RW and Lugmair GW (1988) The age of ferroan anorthosite 60025 - Oldest crust on a young Moon? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 90: 119–130. https://doi.org/

10.1016/0012-821x(88)90095-7.

Carlson RW, Borg LE, Gaffney AM, and Boyet M (2014) Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd and Lu-Hf isotope systematics of the lunar Mg-suite: The age of the lunar crust and its relation to the time of Moon formation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 372(2024): 20130246.

Chabot NL and Agee CB (2003) Core formation in the Earth and Moon: New experimental constraints from V, Cr, and Mn. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 67(11): 2077–2091. Charles RJ (1967) Activities in Li₂O-, Na₂O, and K₂O-SiO₂ solutions. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* 50(12): 631–641.

Charlier B, Grove TL, Namur O, and Holtz F (2018) Crystallization of the lunar magma ocean and the primordial mantle-crust differentiation of the Moon. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 234: 50–69.

Charnoz S and Michaut C (2015) Evolution of the protolunar disk: Dynamics, cooling timescale and implantation of volatiles onto the Earth. *Icarus* 260: 440–463. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.icarus.2015.07.018.

Charnoz S, Sossi PA, Lee Y-N, Siebert J, Hyodo R, Allibert L, Pignatale FC, Landeau M, Oza AV, and Moynier F (2021) Tidal pull of the Earth strips the proto-Moon of its volatiles. *Icarus* 364: 114451.

Cisowski SM, Collinson DW, Runcorn SK, Stephenson A, and Fuller M (1983) A review of lunar paleointensity data and implications for the origin of lunar magnetism. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 88(S02): A691–A704. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB088iS02p0A691.

Clayton RN and Mayeda TK (1975) Genetic relations between the Moon and meteorites. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 6, pp. 1761–1769.

Connelly JN and Bizzarro M (2016) Lead isotope evidence for a young formation age of the Earth-Moon system. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 452: 36–43.

Connelly JN, Nemchin AA, Merle RE, Snape JF, Whitehouse MJ, and Bizzarro M (2022) Calibrating volatile loss from the Moon using the U-Pb system. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 324: 1–16.

Connolly JAD and Khan A (2016) Uncertainty of mantle geophysical properties computed from phase equilibrium models. *Geophysical Research Letters* 43(10): 5026–5034. Craddock RA (2011) Are Phobos and Deimos the result of a giant impact? *Icarus* 211: 1150–1161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2010.10.023.

Ćuk M, Hamilton DP, Lock SJ, and Stewart ST (2016) Tidal evolution of the Moon from a high-obliquity, high-angular-momentum Earth. Nature 539: 402–406. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature19846.

Ćuk M, Lock SJ, Stewart ST, and Hamilton DP (2021) Tidal evolution of the Earth-Moon System with a high initial obliquity. The Planetary Science Journal 2(4). https://doi.org/ 10.3847/PSJ/ac12d1.

Ćuk M and Stewart ST (2012) Making the Moon from a fast-spinning Earth: A giant impact followed by resonant despinning. Science 338: 1047–1052.

Daly RA (1946) Origin of the Moon and its topography. Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society 90(2): 104–119.

Darwin GH (1880) XX. On the secular changes in the elements of the orbit of a satellite revolving about a tidally distorted planet. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 171: 713–891.

Darwin GH (1908) Tidal Friction and Cosmogony. The Scientific Papers of Sir George Darwin. , p. 540. Cambridge: University Press.

Dauphas N (2017) The isotopic nature of the Earth's accreting material through time. Nature 541(7638): 521-524. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20830.

Dauphas N, Burkhardt C, Warren PH, and Fang-Zhen T (2014) Geochemical arguments for an Earth-like Moon-forming impactor. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* 372: 20130244. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2013.0244.

- Dauphas N, Poitrasson F, Burkhardt C, Kobayashi H, and Kurosawa K (2015) Planetary and meteoritic Mg/Si and δ³⁰ Si variations inherited from solar nebula chemistry. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 427: 236–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.07.008.
- Dauphas N, Nie NX, Blanchard M, Zhang ZJ, Zeng H, Hu JY, Meheut M, Visscher C, Canup R, and Hopp T (2022) The extent, nature, and origin of K and Rb depletions and isotopic fractionations in Earth, the Moon, and other planetary bodies. *The Planetary Science Journal* 3(2). https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/ac2e09.
- Davis FA, Humayun M, Hirschmann MM, and Cooper RS (2013) Experimentally determined mineral/melt partitioning of first-row transition elements (FRTE) during partial melting of peridotite at 3 GPa. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 104: 232–260.
- Day JMD (2018) Geochemical constraints on residual metal and sulfide in the sources of lunar mare basalts. *American Mineralogist: Journal of Earth and Planetary Materials* 103(11): 1734–1740.
- Day JMD and Moynier F (2014) Evaporative fractionation of volatile stable isotopes and their bearing on the origin of the Moon. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A:* Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 372: 20130259.
- Day JMD and Paquet M (2021) Temporally limited late accretion after core formation in the Moon. Meteoritics & Planetary Science 56(4): 683–699.
- Day JMD, Brandon AD, and Walker RJ (2016a) Highly siderophile elements in Earth, Mars, the Moon, and Asteroids. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 81(1): 161–238. https:// doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2016.81.04. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30853859.
- Day JMD, Qiu L, Ash RD, McDonough WF, Teng F-Z, Rudnick RL, and Taylor LA (2016b) Evidence for high-temperature fractionation of lithium isotopes during differentiation of the Moon. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 51(6): 1046–1062.
- Day JMD, Sossi PA, Shearer CK, and Moynier F (2019) Volatile distributions in and on the Moon revealed by Cu and Fe isotopes in the 'Rusty Rock' 66095. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 266: 131–143.
- De Argollo R and Schilling J-G (1978) Ge-Si and Ga-Al fractionation in Hawaiian volcanic rocks. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 42(6): 623-630.
- de Vries J, van den Berg Å, and van Westrenen W (2010) Formation and evolution of a lunar core from ilmenite-rich magma ocean cumulates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 292 (1): 139–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.01.029.
- Dehon RA (1979) *Thickness of western mare basalts*, pp. 1–49. Northeast Louisiana University.
- Delano JW (1986) Pristine lunar glasses: Criteria, data, and implications. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 91(B4): 201–213.
- Delano JW (1990) Buoyancy-driven melt segregation in the Earth's Moon. I. Numerical results. Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings 20: 3–12.
- Deng H, Ballmer MD, Reinhardt C, Meier MMM, Mayer L, Stadel J, and Benitez F (2019) Primordial Earth mantle heterogeneity caused by the Moon-forming giant impact? The Astrophysical Journal 887(2). https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab50b9.
- Desch SJ and Taylor GJ (2013) Isotopic mixing due to interaction between the protolunar disk and the Earth's atmosphere. Lunar and Planetary Science Conference 44th. Dickey JO, Bender PL, Faller JE, Newhall XX, Ricklefs RL, Ries JG, Shelus PJ, Veillet C, Whipple AL, Wiant JR, et al. (1994) Lunar laser ranging: A continuing legacy of the Apollo program. Science 265(5171): 482–490.
- Ding S and Dasgupta R (2017) The fate of sulfide during decompression melting of peridotite—Implications for sulfur inventory of the MORB-source depleted upper mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 459: 183–195.
- Ding S, Hough T, and Dasgupta R (2018) New high pressure experiments on sulfide saturation of high-FeO* basalts with variable TiO₂ contents—Implications for the sulfur inventory of the lunar interior. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 222: 319–339.
- Dottin JW III, Kim S-T, Wing B, Farquhar J, and Shearer C (2023) Anomalous 33S in the lunar mantle. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets: e2022JE007597.
- Drake MJ, Newsom HE, and Capobianco CJ (1989) V, Cr, and Mn in the Earth, Moon, EPB, and SPB and the origin of the Moon: Experimental studies. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53(8): 2101–2111.
- Dreibus G and Palme H (1996) Cosmochemical constraints on the sulfur content in the Earth's core. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 60(7): 1125–1130. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0016-7037(96)00028-2.
- Dygert N, Draper DS, Rapp JF, Lapen TJ, Fagan AL, and Neal CR (2020) Experimental determinations of trace element partitioning between plagioclase, pigeonite, olivine, and lunar basaltic melts and an IO₂ dependent model for plagioclase-melt Eu partitioning. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 279: 258–280.
- Edmunson J, Borg LE, Nyquist LE, and Asmerom Y (2009) A combined Sm-Nd, Rb-Sr, and U-Pb isotopic study of Mg-suite norite 78238: Further evidence for early differentiation of the Moon. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73(2): 514–527.
- Efroimsky M (2012) Tidal dissipation compared to seismic dissipation: In small bodies, Earths, and super-Earths. The Astrophysical Journal 746(2): 150.
- Elkins-Tanton LT and Grove TL (2011) Water (hydrogen) in the lunar mantle: Results from petrology and magma ocean modeling. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 307(1–2): 173–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.04.027.
- Elkins-Tanton LT, Van Orman JA, Hager BH, and Grove TL (2002) Re-examination of the lunar magma ocean cumulate overturn hypothesis: Melting or mixing is required. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 196: 239–249.
- Elkins-Tanton LT, Chatterjee N, and Grove TL (2003) Experimental and petrological constraints on lunar differentiation from the Apollo 15 green picritic glasses. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 38(4): 515–527.
- Fang L, Frossard P, Boyet M, Bouvier A, Barrat J-A, Chaussidon M, and Moynier F (2022) Half-life and initial Solar System abundance of ¹⁴⁶Sm determined from the oldest andesitic meteorite. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119(12): e2120933119.
- Fegley B and Lodders K (2017) Chemical constraints on formation of the Moon. LPI Contribution No. 1987.
- Fegley B, Jacobson NS, Williams KB, Plane JMC, Schaefer L, and Lodders K (2016) Solubility of rock in steam atmospheres of planets. *The Astrophysical Journal* 824(2): 103. https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637x/824/2/103.
- Fegley B Jr, Lodders K, and Jacobson NS (2020) Volatile element chemistry during accretion of the Earth. Geochemistry 80(1): 125594.
- Fegley B Jr, Lodders K, and Jacobson NS (2023) Chemical equilibrium calculations for bulk silicate Earth material at high temperatures. Geochemistry 83(2): 125961.
- Fischer M (2021) Triple oxygen isotope study on the Earth-Moon system. https://doi.org/10.53846/goediss-9028.
- Fischer RA and Nimmo F (2018) Effects of core formation on the Hf-W isotopic composition of the Earth and dating of the Moon-forming impact. Earth and planetary science letters 499: 257–265.
- Fischer RA, Zube NG, and Nimmo F (2021) The origin of the Moon's Earth-like tungsten isotopic composition from dynamical and geochemical modeling. *Nature Communications* 12(1): 35. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20266-1. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33397911.
- Fish FF Jr (1967) Angular momenta of the planets. *Icarus* 7(1–3): 251–256.
- Fitoussi C and Bourdon B (2012) Silicon isotope evidence against an enstatite chondrite Earth. Science 335: 1477–1480.
- Floss C, James OB, McGee JJ, and Crozaz G (1998) Lunar ferroan anorthosite petrogenesis: Clues from trace element distributions in FAN subgroups. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 62(7): 1255–1283.
- Fonseca ROC, Mallmann G, Sprung P, Sommer JE, Heuser A, Speelmanns IM, and Blanchard H (2014) Redox controls on tungsten and uranium crystal/silicate melt partitioning and implications for the U/W and Th/W ratio of the lunar mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 404: 1–13.
- Frost DJ, Mann U, Asahara Y, and Rubie DC (2008) The redox state of the mantle during and just after core formation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 366(1883): 4315–4337.
- Gagnepain-Beyneix J, Lognonné P, Chenet H, Lombardi D, and Spohn T (2006) A seismic model of the lunar mantle and constraints on temperature and mineralogy. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 159(3): 140–166.
- Gammie CF, Liao W-T, and Ricker PM (2016) A hot big bang theory: Magnetic fields and the early evolution of the protolunar disk. Astrophysical Journal 828: 58.

Ganapathy R, Keays RR, Laul JC, and Anders E (1970) Trace elements in Apollo 11 lunar rocks: Implications for meteorite influx and origin of Moon. In: Levinson AA (ed.). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta Supplement, Volume 1. Proceedings of the Apollo 11 Lunar Science Conference held 5–8 January, 1970 in Houston, TX, Volume 2: Chemical and Isotope Analyses, p. 1117.

Garcia RF, Gagnepain-Beyneix J, Chevrot S, and Lognonné P (2011) Very preliminary reference Moon model. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 188(1–2): 96–113. Garcia RF, Gagnepain-Beyneix J, Chevrot S, and Lognonné P (2012) Erratum to "very preliminary reference Moon model". *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 202–203:

Garcia RF, Khan A, Drilleau M, Margerin L, Kawamura T, Sun D, Wieczorek MA, Rivoldini A, Nunn C, Weber RC, et al. (2019) Lunar seismology: An update on interior structure models. Space Science Reviews 215: 1–47.

Gargano A, Sharp Z, Shearer C, Simon JI, Halliday A, and Buckley W (2020) The Cl isotope composition and halogen contents of Apollo-return samples. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117(38): 23418–23425.

Gargano A, Dottin J, Hopkins SS, Sharp Z, Shearer C, Halliday AN, Larner F, Farquar J, and Simon JI (2022) The Zn, S, and Cl isotope compositions of mare basalts: Implications for the effects of eruption style and pressure on volatile element stable isotope fractionation on the Moon. *American Mineralogist* 107(11): 1985–1994.

Genda H and Abe Y (2003) Modification of a proto-lunar disk by hydrodynamic escape of silicate vapor. Earth Planets Space 55: 53–57.

Gendre H, Badro J, Wehr N, and Borensztajn S (2022) Martian core composition from experimental high-pressure metal-silicate phase equilibria. *Geochemical Perspectives Letters* 21: 42–46. https://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.2216. https://hal.science/hal-03841490.

Georg RB, Halliday AN, Schauble EA, and Reynolds BC (2007) Silicon in the Earth's core. Nature 447(7148): 1102–1106.

Ghiorso MS (1984) Activity/composition relations in the ternary feldspars. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 87(3): 282–296.

Ghiorso MS and Carmichael ISE (1980) A regular solution model for met-aluminous silicate liquids: Applications to geothermometry, immiscibility, and the source regions of basic magmas. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 71: 323–342.

Gleißner P, Salme J, and Becker H (2022) Siderophile volatile element inventory in lunar magmatic rocks and mantle sources. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 593: 117680. Goswami JN and Annadurai M (2009) Chandrayaan-1: India's first planetary science mission to the Moon. *Current Science*: 486–491.

Graff MA, Sun C, and Liang Y (2013) Internally consistent REE partitioning models for anorthite and low-calcium pyroxene: A reappraisal of subsolidus reequilibration with applications to parent magma compositions of lunar ferroan anorthosites. In: 44th Annual Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, p. 1641. 1719.

Greber ND, Dauphas N, Puchtel IS, Hofmann BA, and Arndt NT (2017) Titanium stable isotopic variations in chondrites, achondrites and lunar rocks. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 213: 534–552.

Greenwood RC, Barrat JA, Miller MF, Anand M, Dauphas N, Franchi IA, Sillard P, and Starkey NA (2018) Oxygen isotopic evidence for accretion of Earth's water before a high-energy Moon-forming giant impact. *Science Advances* 4(3): eaao5928.

Greenwood JP, Itoh S, Sakamoto N, Warren P, Taylor L, and Yurimoto H (2011) Hydrogen isotope ratios in lunar rocks indicate delivery of cometary water to the Moon. Nature Geoscience 4(2): 79–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1050.

Greer J, Zhang B, Isheim D, Seidman DN, Bouvier A, and Heck PR (2023) 4.46 Ga zircons anchor chronology of lunar magma ocean. *Geochemical Perspectives Letters* 27: 49–53. Grimm RE (2024) Lunar mantle structure and composition inferred from Apollo 12 – Explorer 35 electromagnetic sounding. *Icarus* 407: 115775.

Gross J and Joy KH (2016) Evolution, lunar: From magma ocean to crust formation. Encyclopedia of Lunar Science: 1-20.

Gross J, Treiman AH, and Mercer CN (2014) Lunar feldspathic meteorites: Constraints on the geology of the lunar highlands, and the origin of the lunar crust. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 388: 318–328.

Guenther ME, Brown Krein SM, and Grove TL (2022) The influence of variable oxygen fugacity on the source depths of lunar high-titanium ultramafic glasses. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 334: 217–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2022.07.023.

Halliday AN (2008) A Young Moon-forming giant impact at 70-110 million years accompanied by late-stage mixing, core formation and degassing of the Earth. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* 366(1883): 4163–4181.

Halliday AN and Canup RM (2022) The accretion of planet Earth. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* 4(1): 19–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00370-0. Halliday AN and Porcelli D (2001) In search of lost planets—The paleocosmochemistry of the inner Solar System. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 192(4): 545–559. Hanan BB and Tilton GR (1987) 60025: Relict of primitive lunar crust? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 84(1): 15–21.

Hans U, Kleine T, and Bourdon B (2013) Rb-Sr chronology of volatile depletion in differentiated protoplanets: BABI, ADOR and ALL revisited. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 374:

204–214. Hanson B and Jones JH (1998) The systematics of Cr^{3+} and Cr^{2+} partitioning between olivine and liquid in the presence of spinel. *American Mineralogist* 83(7–8): 669–684.

Harada Y, Goossens S, Matsumoto K, Yan J, Ping J, Noda H, and Haruyama J (2014) Strong tidal heating in an ultralow-viscosity zone at the core-mantle boundary of the Moon. *Nature Geoscience* 7(8): 569–572.

Hartmann WK and Davis DR (1975) Satellite-sized planetesimals and lunar origin. Icarus 24: 504–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(75)90070-6.

Hartmann WK and Larson SM (1967) Angular momenta of planetary bodies. Icarus 7(1-3): 257-260.

Hasenstab-Dübeler E, Münker C, Tusch J, Thiemens MM, Garbe-Schönberg D, Strub E, and Sprung P (2023) Cerium-Nd isotope evidence for an incompatible element depleted Moon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 606: 118018.

Haskin L and Warren P (1991) Lunar chemistry. Lunar Sourcebook 4(4): 357-474.

Haskin LA, Lindstrom MM, Salpas PA, and Lindstrom DJ (1982) On compositional variations among lunar anorthosites. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 12, pp. 41–66.

Hauri EH, Weinreich T, Saal AE, Rutherford MC, and Van Orman JA (2011) High pre-eruptive water contents preserved in lunar melt inclusions. *Science* 333(6039): 213–215. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204626. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21617039.

Hauri EH, Saal AE, Rutherford MJ, and Van Orman JA (2015) Water in the Moon's interior: Truth and consequences. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 409: 252–264. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.10.053.

Hauri EH, Saal AE, Nakajima M, Anand M, Rutherford MJ, van Orman JA, and Le Voyer M (2017) Origin and evolution of water in the Moon's interior. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 45: 89–111. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-063016-020239.

Haviland HF, Weber RC, Neal CR, Lognonné P, Garcia RF, Schmerr N, Nagihara S, Grimm R, Currie DG, Dell'Agnello S, et al. (2022) The lunar geophysical network landing sites science rationale. *The Planetary Science Journal* 3(2): 40.

Hazen RM (1976) Effects of temperature and pressure on the crystal structure of forsterite. American Mineralogist 61(11–12): 1280–1293.

Head JW III and Wilson L (1992) Lunar mare volcanism: Stratigraphy, eruption conditions, and the evolution of secondary crusts. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 56(6): 2155–2175.

Heiken GH, Vaniman DT, and French BM (1991) Lunar Sourcebook: A User's Guide to the Moon. Cambridge University Press.

Heinrichs H, Schulz-Dobrick B, and Wedepohl KH (1980) Terrestrial geochemistry of Cd, Bi, Tl, Pb, Zn and Rb. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 44(10): 1519–1533.

Herd CDK (2008) Basalts as probes of planetary interior redox state. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 68(1): 527-553.

Herwartz D, Pack A, Friedrichs B, and Bischoff A (2014) Identification of the giant impactor Theia in lunar rocks. Science 344(6188): 1146–1150. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1251117.

Herzog GF, Moynier F, Albarède F, and Berezhnoy AA (2009) Isotopic and elemental abundances of copper and zinc in lunar samples, Zagami, Pele's hairs, and a terrestrial basalt. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73(19): 5884–5904.

Hess PC and Parmentier EM (1995) A model for the thermal and chemical evolution of the Moon's interior: Implications for the onset of mare volcanism. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 134(3): 501–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(95)00138-3.

Hin RC, Fitoussi C, Schmidt MW, and Bourdon B (2014) Experimental determination of the Si isotope fractionation factor between liquid metal and liquid silicate. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 387: 55–66.

Hirose K, Wood B, and Vo⊠adlo L (2021) Light elements in the Earth's core. Nature Reviews: Earth and Environment 2: 645–658.

Hirschmann MM (2000) Mantle solidus: Experimental constraints and the effects of peridotite composition. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 1(10). 1042–1026.

Holland T and Powell R (1992) Plagioclase feldspars: Activity-composition relations based upon Darken's quadratic formalism and Landau theory. American Mineralogist 77(1–2): 53–61.

Holland TJB and Powell R (2011) An improved and extended internally consistent thermodynamic dataset for phases of petrological interest, involving a new equation of state for solids. Journal of metamorphic Geology 29(3): 333–383.

Holzheid A, Borisov A, and Palme H (1994) The effect of oxygen fugacity and temperature on solubilities of nickel, cobalt, and molybdenum in silicate melts. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 58(8): 1975–1981.

Hood LL and Jones JH (1987) Geophysical constraints on lunar bulk composition and structure: A reassessment. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 92(B4): E396–E410. Hood LL, Herbert F, and Sonett CP (1982) The deep lunar electrical conductivity profile: Structural and thermal inferences. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 87(B7): 5311–5326.

Hood LL, Mitchell DL, Lin RP, Acuna MH, and Binder AB (1999) Initial measurements of the lunar induced magnetic dipole moment using Lunar Prospector magnetometer data. Geophysical Research Letters 26(15): 2327–2330.

Hopkins PF (2015) A new class of accurate, mesh-free hydrodynamic simulation methods. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 450(1): 53–110. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/mnras/stv195.

Hosono N and Karato S (2022) The influence of equation of state on the giant impact simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 127(6). https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2021je006971.

Hosono N, Saitoh TR, Makino J, Genda H, and Ida S (2016) The giant impact simulations with density independent smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *Icarus* 271: 131–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2016.01.036.

Hosono N, Karato S-I, Makino J, and Saitoh TR (2019) Terrestrial magma ocean origin of the Moon. *Nature Geoscience* 12: 418–423. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0354-2. Hu JY, Dauphas N, Tissot FLH, Yokochi R, Ireland TJ, Zhang Z, Davis AM, Ciesla FJ, Grossman L, Charlier BLA, et al. (2021) Heating events in the nascent solar system recorded by rare Earth element isotopic fractionation in refractory inclusions. *Science Advances* 7(2): eabc2962.

Hughes DW (2002) Measuring the Moon's mass (125th Anniversary Review). The Observatory 122(1167): 61-70.

Hui H, Peslier AH, Zhang Y, and Neal CR (2013) Water in lunar anorthosites and evidence for a wet early Moon. Nature Geoscience 6: 177–180. http://www.nature.com/ngeo/journal/ v6/n3/pdf/ngeo1735.pdf.

Hull SD, Nakajima M, Hosono N, Canup RM, and Gassmöller R (2024) Effect of equation of state and cutoff density in smoothed particle hydrodynamics simulations of the Moonforming giant impact. The Planetary Science Journal 5(1): 9.

Ida S, Ueta S, Sasaki T, and Ishizawa Y (2020) Uranian satellite formation by evolution of a water vapour disk generated by a giant impact. *Nature Astronomy* 4: 880–885. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41550-020-1049-8.

Ivanov D, Fitoussi C, and Bourdon B (2022) Trace element volatility and the conditions of liquid-vapor separation in the proto-lunar disk. Icarus 386: 115143.

Jeffreys H (1930) The resonant theory of the origin of the Moon 2. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 91: 169–173.

Jenner FE and O'Neill HSC (2012) Analysis of 60 elements in 616 ocean floor basaltic glasses. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 13(2): Q02005.

Jennings ES, Jacobson SA, Rubie DC, Nakajima Y, Vogel AK, Rose-Weston LA, and Frost DJ (2021) Metal-silicate partitioning of W and Mo and the role of carbon in controlling their abundances in the bulk silicate Earth. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 293: 40–69.

Ji D and Dygert N (2023) Trace element evidence for serial processing of the lunar flotation crust and a depleted bulk Moon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 602: 117958.

Jing JJ, Su BX, Berndt J, Kuwahara H, and van Westrenen W (2024) Experimental investigation of first-row transition elements partitioning between olivine and silicate melt: Implications for lunar basalt formation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 373: 211–231.

Johansen A and Lambrechts M (2017) Forming planets via pebble accretion. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 45: 359–387.

Johansen A, Ronnet T, Bizzarro M, Schiller M, Lambrechts M, Nordlund A, and Lammer H (2021) A pebble accretion model for the formation of the terrestrial planets in the Solar System. *Science Advances* 7: eabc0444.

Jolliff BL and Haskin LA (1995) Cogenetic rock fragments from a lunar soil: Evidence of a ferroan noritic-anorthosite pluton on the Moon. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 59(11): 2345–2374.

Jolliff BL, Gillis JJ, Haskin LA, Korotev RL, and Wieczorek MA (2000) Major lunar crustal terranes: Surface expressions and crust-mantle origins. *Journal of Geophysical Research:* Planets 105(E2): 4197–4216. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999je001103.

Jones JH and Delano JW (1989) A three-component model for the bulk composition of the Moon. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 53(2): 513-527.

Jones JH and Palme H (2000) Geochemical constraints on the origin of the Earth and Moon. Origin of the Earth and Moon 1: 197–216.

Karato S-I (2013) Geophysical constraints on the water content of the lunar mantle and its implications for the origin of the Moon. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 384: 144–153. Karato S-I (2014) Asymmetric shock heating and the terrestrial magma ocean origin of the Moon. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series B* 90(3): 97–103. https://doi.org/ 10.2183/piab.90.97

Kato C and Moynier F (2017) Gallium isotopic evidence for extensive volatile loss from the Moon during its formation. Science Advances 3(7): e1700571.

Kato C, Moynier F, Valdes MC, Dhaliwal JK, and Day JMD (2015) Extensive volatile loss during formation and differentiation of the Moon. *Nature Communications* 6(1): 7617. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8617. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26137962.

Kegerreis JA, Ruiz-Bonilla S, Eke VR, Massey RJ, Sandnes TD, and Teodoro LFA (2022) Immediate origin of the Moon as a post-impact satellite. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* 937 (2): L40. https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac8d96.

Kendrick MA, Kamenetsky VS, Phillips D, and Honda M (2012) Halogen systematics (Cl, Br, I) in mid-ocean ridge basalts: A Macquarie Island case study. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 81: 82–93.

Khan A and Mosegaard K (2001) New information on the deep lunar interior from an inversion of lunar free oscillation periods. *Geophysical Research Letters* 28(9): 1791–1794. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL012445.

Khan A and Mosegaard K (2002) An inquiry into the lunar interior: A nonlinear inversion of the Apollo lunar seismic data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 107(E6). 3–1. Khan A, Mosegaard K, and Rasmussen KL (2000) A new seismic velocity model for the Moon from a Monte Carlo inversion of the Apollo lunar seismic data. *Geophysical Research Planets* 107(E6). 3–1.

Letters 27(11): 1591–1594. Khan A, Mosegaard K, Williams JG, and Lognonné P (2004) Does the Moon possess a molten core? Probing the deep lunar interior using results from LLR and Lunar Prospector.

Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 109(E9).

Khan A, Maclennan J, Taylor SR, and Connolly JAD (2006a) Are the Earth and the Moon compositionally alike? Inferences on lunar composition and implications for lunar origin and evolution from geophysical modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 111(E5).

Khan A, Connolly JAD, Olsen N, and Mosegaard K (2006b) Constraining the composition and thermal state of the Moon from an inversion of electromagnetic lunar day-side transfer functions. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 248(3): 579–598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.04.008.

Khan A, Pommier A, Neumann GA, and Mosegaard K (2013) The lunar moho and the internal structure of the Moon: A geophysical perspective. *Tectonophysics* 609: 331–352. Khan A, Connolly JAD, Pommier A, and Noir J (2014) Geophysical evidence for melt in the deep lunar interior and implications for lunar evolution. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 119(10): 2197–2221.

Khan A, Sossi PA, Liebske C, Rivoldini A, and Giardini D (2022) Geophysical and cosmochemical evidence for a volatile-rich Mars. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 578: 117330.

Khan A, Huang D, Durán C, Sossi PA, Giardini D, and Murakami M (2023) Evidence for a liquid silicate layer atop the Martian core. *Nature* 622(7984): 718–723. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-023-06586-4.

Kinoshita N, Paul M, Kashiv Y, Collon P, Deibel CM, DiGiovine B, Greene JP, Henderson DJ, Jiang CL, Marley ST, et al. (2012) A shorter ¹⁴⁶Sm half-life measured and implications for ¹⁴⁶Sm-¹⁴²Nd chronology in the solar system. *Science (New York, NY)* 335(6076): 1614–1617.

Kipp ME and Melosh HJ (1986) Origin of the Moon: A preliminary numerical study of colliding planets. Lunar and Planetary Science XVII: 420-421.

Kiseeva ES and Wood BJ (2015) The effects of composition and temperature on chalcophile and lithophile element partitioning into magmatic sulphides. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 424: 280–294.

Kleine T and Nimmo F (2024) Origin of the Earth. Treatise on Geochemistry 3.

Kleine T and Walker RJ (2017) Tungsten isotopes in planets. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 45: 389-417.

Kleine T, Munker C, Mezger K, and Palme H (2002) Rapid accretion and early core formation on asteroids and the terrestrial planets from Hf-W chronometry. *Nature* 418: 952–955. Klemme S, Günther D, Hametner K, Prowatke S, and Zack T (2006) The partitioning of trace elements between ilmenite, ulvospinel, armalcolite and silicate melts with implications for the early differentiation of the Moon. *Chemical Geology* 234(3–4): 251–263.

Kohn SC and Schofield PF (1994) The importance of melt composition in controlling trace-element behaviour: An experimental study of Mn and Zn partitioning between forsterite and silicate melts. *Chemical Geology* 117(1–4): 73–87.

Kokubo E and Genda H (2010) Formation of terrestrial planets from protoplanets under a realistic accretion condition. The Astrophysical Journal 714(1): L21–L25. https://doi.org/ 10.1088/2041-8205/714/1/121.

Kokubo E and Ida S (1998) Oligarchic growth of protoplanets. Icarus 131: 171-178.

König S, Münker C, Hohl S, Paulick H, Barth AR, Lagos M, Pfänder J, and Büchl A (2011) The Earth's tungsten budget during mantle melting and crust formation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 75(8): 2119–2136.

Konijn TJ, Visser RG, Dominik C, and Ormel CW (2023) Forming equal-mass planetary binaries via pebble accretion. Astronomy & Astrophysics 670. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202245176.

Konopliv AS, Asmar SW, Carranza E, Sjogren WL, and Yuan DN (2001) Recent gravity models as a result of the Lunar Prospector mission. Icarus 150(1): 1-18.

Korotev RL (2005) Lunar geochemistry as told by lunar meteorites. Geochemistry 65(4): 297-346.

Korotev RL and Irving AJ (2021) Lunar meteorites from Northern Africa. Meteoritics & Planetary Science 56(2): 206-240.

Kreutzberger ME, Drake MJ, and Jones JH (1986) Origin of the Earth's Moon: Constraints from alkali volatile trace elements. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 50(1): 91–98. Kronrod VA and Kuskov OL (2011) Inversion of seismic and gravity data for the composition and core sizes of the Moon. *Izvestiya, Physics of the Solid Earth* 47: 711–730.

Kronrod E, Matsumoto K, Kuskov OL, Kronrod V, Yamada R, and Kamata S (2022) Towards geochemical alternatives to geophysical models of the internal structure of the lunar mantle and core. Advances in Space Research 69(7): 2798–2824.

Kruijer TS and Kleine T (2017) Tungsten isotopes and the origin of the Moon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 475: 15–24.

Kruijer TS and Kleine T (2018) Origin and evolution of the Moon: Tungsten isotopic constraints. Encyclopedia of Lunar Science: 1-9.

Kruijer TS, Touboul M, Fischer-Gödde M, Bermingham KR, Walker RJ, and Kleine T (2014) Protracted core formation and rapid accretion of protoplanets. *Science* 344(6188): 1150–1154.

Kruijer TS, Kleine T, Fischer-Godde M, and Sprung P (2015) Lunar tungsten isotopic evidence for the late veneer. Nature 520(7548): 534–537. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14360. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25855296.

Kruijer TS, Archer GJ, and Kleine T (2021) No ¹⁸²W evidence for early Moon formation. *Nature Geoscience* 14(10): 714–715.

Kuskov OL and Kronrod VA (1998) Constitution of the Moon: 5. Constraints on composition, density, temperature, and radius of a core. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 107 (4): 285–306.

Kuskov OL, Kronrod VA, and Kronrod EV (2014) Thermo-chemical constraints on the interior structure and composition of the lunar mantle. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 235: 84–95.

Lambrechts M and Johansen A (2012) Rapid growth of gas-giant cores by pebble accretion. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 544: A32. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219127. Landeau M, Olson P, Deguen R, and Hirsh BH (2016) Core merging and stratification following giant impact. *Nature Geoscience* 9(10): 786–789. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2808. Langmuir I (1916) The evaporation, condensation and reflection of molecules and the mechanism of adsorption. *Physical Review* 8(2): 149.

Langseth MG, Keihm SJ, and Peters K (1976) Revised lunar heat-flow values. In: Lunar Science Conference, 7th, Houston, TX, March 15–19, 1976, Proceedings. Volume 3 (A77-34651 15-91), pp. 3143–3171, New York: Pergamon Press. Inc.

Laul JC, Hill DW, and Schmitt RA (1974) Chemical studies of Apollo 16 and 17 samples. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 5, pp. 1047–1066.

Lawrence DJ, Feldman WC, Barraclough BL, Binder AB, Elphic RC, Maurice S, and Thomsen DR (1998) Global elemental maps of the Moon: The Lunar Prospector gamma-ray spectrometer. *Science* 281(5382): 1484–1489.

Lawrence K, Johnson C, Tauxe L, and Gee J (2008) Lunar paleointensity measurements: Implications for lunar magnetic evolution. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 168 (1): 71–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2008.05.007.

Le Roux V, Dasgupta R, and Lee C-TA (2011) Mineralogical heterogeneities in the Earth's mantle: Constraints from Mn, Co, Ni and Zn partitioning during partial melting. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 307(3–4): 395–408.

Lee D-C, Halliday A, Snyder GA, and Taylor LA (1997) Age and origin of the Moon. Science 278: 1098–1103.

Leitzke FP, Fonseca ROC, Michely LT, Sprung P, Münker C, Heuser A, and Blanchard H (2016) The effect of titanium on the partitioning behavior of high-field strength elements between silicates, oxides and lunar basaltic melts with applications to the origin of mare basalts. *Chemical Geology* 440: 219–238.

Leitzke FP, Fonseca ROC, Sprung P, Mallmann G, Lagos M, Michely LT, and Münker C (2017) Redox dependent behaviour of molybdenum during magmatic processes in the terrestrial and lunar mantle: Implications for the Mo/W of the bulk silicate Moon. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 474: 503–515.

Leva I, Wieler R, and Halliday AN (2000) Cosmic-ray production of tungsten isotopes in lunar samples and meteorites and its implications for Hf-W cosmochemistry. *Earth and Planetary* Science Letters 175(1–2): 1–12.

Li H, Zhang N, Liang Y, Wu B, Dygert NJ, Huang J, and Parmentier EM (2019) Lunar cumulate mantle overturn: A model constrained by ilmenite rheology. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 124(5): 1357–1378.

Li J and Agee CB (2001) The effect of pressure, temperature, oxygen fugacity and composition on partitioning of nickel and cobalt between liquid Fe-Ni-S alloy and liquid silicatelmplications for the Earth's core formation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 65(11): 1821–1832.

Li Y, Li Y-X, and Xu Z (2022) The partitioning of Cu and Ag between minerals and silicate melts during partial melting of planetary silicate mantles. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 324: 280–311.

Lichtenberg T, Drazkowska J, Schönbächler M, Golabek GJ, and Hands TJ (2021) Bifurcation of planetary building blocks during Solar System formation. *Science* 371: 365–370. Lindstrom MM, Knapp SA, Shervais JW, and Taylor LA (1984) Magnesian anorthosites and associated troctolites and dunite in Apollo 14 breccias. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 89(S01): 41–49.

Liu Y and Ma C (2022) Direct evidence of volcanic outgassing of Na and K on the Moon from Apollo orange beads. Icarus 382: 115044.

Lock SJ and Stewart ST (2017) The structure of terrestrial bodies: Impact heating, corotation limits, and synestias. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 122: 950–982. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016je005239.

Lock SJ, Stewart ST, Petaev MI, Leinhardt ZM, Mace MT, Jacobsen SB, and Cuk M (2018) The origin of the Moon within a terrestrial synestia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 123: 910–951. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017je005333.

Lock SJ, Bermingham KR, Parai R, and Boyet M (2020) Geochemical constraints on the origin of the Moon and preservation of ancient terrestrial heterogeneities. *Space Science Reviews* 216(6): 109.

Lognonné P and Johnson C (2007) Planetary seismology. Treatise on Geophysics 10: 69-122.

Lognonné P, Gagnepain-Beyneix J, and Chenet H (2003) A new seismic model of the Moon: Implications for structure, thermal evolution and formation of the Moon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 211(1–2): 27–44.

Longhi J (1987) On the connection between mare basalts and picritic volcanic glasses. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 92(B4): E349-E360.

Longhi J (1992) Experimental petrology and petrogenesis of mare volcanics. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 56(6): 2235-2251.

Longhi J, Walker D, and Hays JF (1978) The distribution of Fe and Mg between olivine and lunar basaltic liquids. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 42(10): 1545–1558.

Magna T, Wiechert U, and Halliday AN (2006) New constraints on the lithium isotope compositions of the Moon and terrestrial planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 243(3–4): 336–353.

Mallmann G and O'Neill HSC (2009) The crystal/melt partitioning of V during mantle melting as a function of oxygen fugacity compared with some other elements (AI, P, Ca, Sc, Ti, Cr, Fe, Ga, Y, Zr and Nb). Journal of Petrology 50(9): 1765–1794.

Mann U, Frost DJ, and Rubie DC (2009) Evidence for high-pressure core-mantle differentiation from the metal-silicate partitioning of lithophile and weakly-siderophile elements. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73(24): 7360–7386.

Marinova MM, Aharonson O, and Asphaug E (2008) Mega-impact formation of the Mars hemispheric dichotomy. Nature 453: 1216–1219.

Marschall HR, Wanless VD, Shimizu N, Von Strandmann PAEP, Elliott T, and Monteleone BD (2017) The boron and lithium isotopic composition of mid-ocean ridge basalts and the mantle. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 207: 102–138.

Marty B (2012) The origins and concentrations of water, carbon, nitrogen and noble gases on Earth. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 313–314: 56–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2011.10.040.

Mastrobuono-Battisti A, Perets HB, and Raymond SN (2015) A primordial origin for the compositional similarity between the Earth and the Moon. *Nature* 520(7546): 212–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14333. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25855458.

Mathieu R, Libourel G, Deloule E, Tissandier L, Rapin C, and Podor R (2011) Na₂O solubility in CaO–MgO–SiO₂ melts. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 75(2): 608–628. Matsumoto K, Yamada R, Kikuchi F, Kamata S, Ishihara Y, Iwata T, Hanada H, and Sasaki S (2015) Internal structure of the Moon inferred from Apollo seismic data and selenodetic data from GRAIL and LLR. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42(18): 7351–7358.

Matsuyama I, Nimmo F, Keane JT, Chan NH, Taylor GJ, Wieczorek MA, Kiefer WS, and Williams JG (2016) GRAIL, LLR, and LOLA constraints on the interior structure of the Moon. Geophysical Research Letters 43(16): 8365–8375.

Maurice M, Tosi N, Schwinger S, Breuer D, and Kleine T (2020) A long-lived magma ocean on a young Moon. Science Advances 6: eaba8949.

McCallum IS and O'Brien HE (1996) Stratigraphy of the lunar highland crust: Depths of burial of lunar samples from cooling-rate studies. *American Mineralogist* 81(9-10): 1166–1175. McCallum IS and Schwartz JM (2001) Lunar Mg suite: Thermobarometry and petrogenesis of parental magmas. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 106(E11): 27969–27983. McCubbin FM and Barnes JJ (2019) Origin and abundances of H₂O in the terrestrial planets, Moon, and asteroids. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2019.115771.

McCubbin FM, Steele A, Hauri EH, Nekvasil H, Yamashita S, and Hemley RJ (2010) Nominally hydrous magmatism on the Moon. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107(25): 11223–11228. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1006677107. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20547878.

McCubbin FM, Kaaden KEV, Tartèse R, Klima RL, Liu Y, Mortimer J, Barnes JJ, Shearer CK, Treiman AH, Lawrence DJ, et al. (2015) Magmatic volatiles (H, C, N, F, S, Cl) in the lunar mantle, crust, and recolith: Abundances, distributions, processes, and reservoirs. *American Mineralogist* 100(8–9): 1668–1707.

McCubbin FM, Barnes JJ, Ni P, Hui H, Klima RL, Burney D, Day JMD, Magna T, Boyce JW, Tartèse R, et al. (2023) Endogenous lunar volatiles. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 89(1): 729–786.

McDonough WF and Sun S-S (1995) The composition of the Earth. Chemical Geology 120: 223-253.

McGee JJ (1993) Lunar ferroan anorthosites: Mineralogy, compositional variations, and petrogenesis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 98(E5): 9089-9105.

McLeod CL, Brandon AD, Fernandes VA, Peslier AH, Fritz J, Lapen T, Shafer JT, Butcher AR, and Irving AJ (2016) Constraints on formation and evolution of the lunar crust from feldspathic granulitic breccias nwa 3163 and 4881. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 187: 350–374.

Meissner F, Schmidt-Ott WD, and Ziegeler L (1987) Half-life and α-ray energy of 146 sm. Zeitschrift für Physik A Atomic Nuclei 327: 171–174.

Melosh HJ (1990) Giant impacts and the thermal state of the early Earth. LPI Conference on the Origin of the Earth: 69-83.

Melosh HJ, Kendall J, Horgan B, Johnson BC, Bowling T, Lucey PG, and Taylor GJ (2017) South Pole–Aitken basin ejecta reveal the Moon's upper mantle. *Geology* 45(12): 1063–1066.

Melosh HJ and Sonett CP (1986) When worlds collide: Jetted vapor plumes and the Moon's origin. Origin of the Moon: 621-642.

Melosh HJ, Kendall J, Horgan B, Johnson BC, Bowling T, Lucey PG, and Taylor GJ (2017) South Pole-Aitken basin ejecta reveal the Moon's upper mantle. *Geology* 45(12): 1063–1066. https://doi.org/10.1130/g39375.1.

Meyer C (2005) The Lunar Sample Compendium. http://curator.jsc.nasa.gov/Lunar/lsc/index.cfm.

Meyer C Jr, McKay DS, Anderson DH, and Butter P Jr (1975) The source of sublimates on the Apollo 15 green and Apollo 17 orange glass samples. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 6, pp. 1673–1699.

Mezger K, Maltese A, and Vollstaedt H (2021) Accretion and differentiation of early planetary bodies as recorded in the composition of the silicate Earth. *Icarus* 365: 114497. Michaut C and Neufeld JA (2022) Formation of the lunar primary crust from a long-lived slushy magma ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters* 49(2): e2021GL095408.

Mighani S, Wang H, Shuster DL, Borlina CS, Nichols CIO, and Weiss BP (2020) The end of the lunar dynamo. Science Advances 6(1): eaax0883. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv. aax0883. https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/sciadv.aax0883.

Millet M-A, Dauphas N, Greber ND, Burton KW, Dale CW, Debret B, Macpherson CG, Nowell GM, and Williams HM (2016) Titanium stable isotope investigation of magmatic processes on the Earth and Moon. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 449: 197–205.

Mittelholz A, Grayver A, Khan A, and Kuvshinov A (2021) The global conductivity structure of the lunar upper and midmantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 126(11): e2021JE006980. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE006980.

Mittlefehldt DW (2015) Asteroid (4) Vesta: I. The howardite-eucrite-diogenite (HED) clan of meteorites. Geochemistry 75(2): 155-183.

Mittlefehldt DW, Clayton RN, Drake MJ, and Righter K (2008) Oxygen isotopic composition and chemical correlations in meteorites and the terrestrial planets. *Reviews in Mineralogy* and Geochemistry 68(1): 399–428.

Mizuno H and Boss AP (1985) Tidal disruption of dissipative planetesimals. Icarus 63: 109-133.

Morard G, Bouchet J, Rivoldini A, Antonangeli D, Roberge M, Boulard E, Denoeud A, and Mezouar M (2018) Liquid properties in the Fe-FeS system under moderate pressure: Tool box to model small planetary cores. *American Mineralogist* 103(11): 1770–1779.

Morbidelli A, Baillié K, Batygin K, Charnoz S, Guillot T, Rubie DC, and Kleine T (2022) Contemporary formation of early Solar System planetesimals at two distinct radial locations. *Nature Astronomy* 6(1): 72–79. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-021-01517-7.

Morgan JW, Hertogen J, and Anders E (1978) The Moon: Composition determined by nebular processes. Moon and Planets 18: 465-478.

Mougel B, Moynier F, and Göpel C (2018) Chromium isotopic homogeneity between the Moon, the Earth, and enstatite chondrites. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 481: 1–8. Moynier F, Albarède F, and Herzog GF (2006) Isotopic composition of zinc, copper, and iron in lunar samples. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 70(24): 6103–6117.

Moynier F, Agranier A, Hezel DC, and Bouvier A (2010) Sr stable isotope composition of Earth, the Moon, Mars, Vesta and meteorites. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 300(3–4): 359–366.

Mueller S, Taylor GJ, and Phillips RJ (1988) Lunar composition: A geophysical and petrological synthesis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 93(B6): 6338-6352.

Mullen PD and Gammie CF (2020) A magnetized, Moon-forming giant impact. *The Astrophysical Journal* 903(1): L15. https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/abbffd. Munch FD, Khan A, Tauzin B, van Driel M, and Giardini D (2020) Seismological evidence for thermo-chemical heterogeneity in Earth's continental mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 539: 116240.

Münker C (2010) A high field strength element perspective on early lunar differentiation. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74(24): 7340–7361.

Nakajima M and Stevenson DJ (2014) Investigation of the initial state of the Moon-forming disk: Bridging SPH simulations and hydrostatic models. Icarus 233: 259–267.

Nakajima M and Stevenson DJ (2015) Melting and mixing states of the Earth's mantle after the Moon-forming impact. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 427: 286–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.06.023.

Nakajima M and Stevenson DJ (2018) Inefficient volatile loss from the Moon-forming disk: Reconciling the giant impact hypothesis and a wet Moon. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 487: 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.01.026.

Nakajima M, Genda H, Asphaug E, and Ida S (2022) Large planets may not form fractionally large moons. Nature Communications 13: 568. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28063-8.

Nakajima M, Atkins J, Simon JB, and Quillen AC (2024) The limited role of the streaming instability during Moon and exomoon formation. The Planetary Science Journal 5: 145. https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/ad4863.

Nakamura Y (1983) Seismic velocity structure of the lunar mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 88(B1): 677–686.

Nakamura Y (2005) Farside deep moonquakes and deep interior of the Moon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 110(E1). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JE002332.

Nakamura Y, Lammlein D, Latham G, Ewing M, Dorman J, Press F, and Toksöz N (1973) New seismic data on the state of the deep lunar interior. Science 181(4094): 49–51. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.181.4094.49.

Nakamura Y, Latham G, Lammlein D, Ewing M, Duennebier F, and Dorman J (1974) Deep lunar interior inferred from recent seismic data. *Geophysical Research Letters* 1(3): 137–140. https://doi.org/10.1029/GL001i003p00137.

Nakamura Y, Latham GV, Dorman HJ, and Harris JE (1981) Passive seismic experiment, long period event catalog, final version (1969 Day 202-1977 Day 273, ALSEP Stations 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16). Institute for Geophysics.

Nakamura Y, Latham GV, and Dorman HJ (1982) Apollo lunar seismic experiment-Final summary. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 87(S01): A117-A123.

Nakazawa K, Komuro T, and Hayashi C (1983) Origin of the Moon - Capture by gas drag of the Earth's primordial atmosphere. Moon and the Planets 28: 311–327.

Nasch PM and Manghnani MH (1998) Molar volume, thermal expansion, and bulk modulus in liquid Fe-Ni alloys at 1 bar: Evidence for magnetic anomalies? *Geophysical Monograph* Series 101: 307–317.

Neal CR (2007) Mare basalt compendium. https://www3.nd.edu/ cneal/Lunar-L/.

Neal CR and Taylor LA (1992) Petrogenesis of mare basalts: A record of lunar volcanism. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 56(6): 2177-2211.

Nebel O, Scherer EE, and Mezger K (2011) Evaluation of the 87Rb decay constant by age comparison against the U-Pb system. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 301(1–2): 1–8. Nemchin A, Timms N, Pidgeon R, Geisler T, Reddy S, and Meyer C (2009) Timing of crystallization of the lunar magma ocean constrained by the oldest zircon. *Nature Geoscience* 2(2): 133–136.

Nesvorný D, Youdin AN, and Richardson DC (2010) Formation of Kuiper Belt binaries by gravitational collapse. The Astronomical Journal 140(3): 785–793. https://doi.org/ 10.1088/0004-6256/140/3/785.

Nesvorný D, Li R, Youdin AN, Simon JB, and Grundy WM (2019) Trans-Neptunian binaries as evidence for planetesimal formation by the streaming instability. *Nature Astronomy* 3(9): 808–812. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0806-z.

Newsom HE (1986) Constraints on the origin of the Moon from the abundance of molybdenum and other siderophile elements. In: Hartmann WK, Phillips RJ, and Taylor GJ (eds.) Origin of the Moon: Proceedings of the Conference, Kona, HI, October 13–16, 1984, pp. 203–229. Lunar and Planetary Science Institute.

Newsom HE and Drake MJ (1982) Constraints on the Moon's origin from the partitioning behaviour of tungsten. Nature 297: 210-212.

Newsom HE and Palme H (1984) The depletion of siderophile elements in the Earth's mantle: New evidence from molybdenum and tungsten. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 69(2): 354–364.

Ni P, Zhang Y, Chen S, and Gagnon J (2019) A melt inclusion study on volatile abundances in the lunar mantle. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 249: 17-41.

Nie NX and Dauphas N (2019) Vapor drainage in the protolunar disk as the cause for the depletion in volatile elements of the Moon. *The Astrophysical Journal* 884(2). https://doi.org/ 10.3847/2041-8213/ab4a16.

Nimmo F, Faul UH, and Garnero EJ (2012) Dissipation at tidal and seismic frequencies in a melt-free Moon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 117(E9).

Norman MD and Taylor SR (1992) Geochemistry of lunar crustal rocks from breccia 67016 and the composition of the Moon. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 56(3): 1013–1024. Nunn C, Garcia RF, Nakamura Y, Marusiak AG, Kawamura T, Sun D, Margerin L, Weber R, Drilleau M, Wieczorek MA, et al. (2020) Lunar seismology: A data and instrumentation review. *Space Science Reviews* 216(5): 89.

Nyquist L, Bogard D, Yamaguchi A, Shih C-Y, Karouji Y, Ebihara M, Reese Y, Garrison D, McKay G, and Takeda H (2006) Feldspathic clasts in Yamato-86032: Remnants of the lunar crust with implications for its formation and impact history. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 70(24): 5990–6015.

O'Hara MJ, Biggar GM, and Richardson SW (1970) Experimental petrology of lunar material: The nature of mascons, seas, and the lunar interior. Science 167(3918): 605–607.

O'Neill HSC (1991) The origin of the Moon and the early history of the Earth-A chemical model. Part 1: The Moon. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 55(4): 1135–1157.

O'Neill HSC and Berry AJ (2021) The oxidation state of chromium in basaltic silicate melts. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 306: 304-320.

O'Neill HSC and Eggins SM (2002) The effect of melt composition on trace element partitioning: an experimental investigation of the activity coefficients of Fe0, NiO, CoO, MoO₂ and MoO₃ in silicate melts. *Chemical Geology* 186(1–2): 151–181.

O'Neill HSC and Palme H (2008) Collisional erosion and the non-chondritic composition of the terrestrial planets. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 366(1883): 4205–4238.

O'Neill HSC and Pownceby MI (1993) Thermodynamic data from redox reactions at high temperatures. I. An experimental and theoretical assessment of the electrochemical method using stabilized zirconia electrolytes, with revised values for the Fe-"FeO", Co-CoO, Ni-NiO and Cu-Cu₂O oxygen buffers, and new data for the W-WO₂ buffer. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 114(3): 296–314.

O'Neill HSC, Canil D, and Rubie DC (1998) Oxide-metal equilibria to 2500°C and 25 GPa: Implications for core formation and the light component in the Earth's core. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 103(B6): 12239–12260. https://doi.org/10.1029/97JB02601.

O'Neill HSC, Berry AJ, and Eggins SM (2008) The solubility and oxidation state of tungsten in silicate melts: Implications for the comparative chemistry of W and Mo in planetary differentiation processes. *Chemical Geology* 255(3–4): 346–359.

Onyett IJ, Schiller M, Makhatadze GV, Deng Z, Johansen A, and Bizzarro M (2023) Silicon isotope constraints on terrestrial planet accretion. Nature 619: 539–544. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-023-06135-z. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37316662.

Orville PM (1972) Plagioclase cation exchange equilibria with aqueous chloride solution; results at 700 degrees c and 2000 bars in the presence of quartz. *American Journal of Science* 272(3): 234–272.

Pahlevan K and Stevenson DJ (2007) Equilibration in the aftermath of the lunar-forming giant impact. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 262(3–4): 438–449. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.epsl.2007.07.055.

Pahlevan K, Stevenson DJ, and Eiler JM (2011) Chemical fractionation in the silicate vapor atmosphere of the Earth. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 301(3–4): 433–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.10.036.

Palme H and O'Neill HSC (2014) Cosmochemical estimates of mantle composition. In: Treatise on Geochemistry, 2nd Edition, 3, pp. 1–39. Elsevier, B.V.

Palme H and Rammensee W (1981) Tungsten and some other siderophile elements in meteoritic and terrestrial basalts. In: *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference*, vol. 12, pp. 796–798.

Paniello RC, Day JMD, and Moynier F (2012) Zinc isotopic evidence for the origin of the Moon. Nature 490(7420): 376–379. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11507.

Panning MP, Kedar S, Bowles N, Bugby D, Calcutt S, Cutler J, Elliott J, Garcia RF, Kawamura T, Lognonné P, Miller E, Nunn C, Pike WT, Pont G, de Raucourt S, Standley I, Walsh W, Weber RC, and Yana C (2022) Farside Seismic Suite (FSS): Surviving the lunar night and delivering the first seismic data from the farside of the Moon. In: 53rd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, vol. 2678, LPI Contributions, p. 1576.

Parker EN (1963) Kinematical hydromagnetic theory and its application to the low solar photosphere. Astrophysical Journal 552-575.

Peplowski PN, Evans LG, Hauck SA, McCoy TJ, Boynton WV, Gillis-Davis JJ, Ebel DS, Goldsten JO, Hamara DK, Lawrence DJ, et al. (2011) Radioactive elements on Mercury's surface from MESSENGER: Implications for the planet's formation and evolution. *Science* 333: 1850–1852.

Pernet-Fisher JF, Deloule E, and Joy KH (2019) Evidence of chemical heterogeneity within lunar anorthosite parental magmas. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 266: 109–130. Poitrasson F, Zambardi T, Magna T, and Neal CR (2019) A reassessment of the iron isotope composition of the Moon and its implications for the accretion and differentiation of terrestrial planets. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 267: 257–274.

Prettyman TH, Hagerty JJ, Elphic RC, Feldman WC, Lawrence DJ, McKinney GW, and Vaniman DT (2006) Elemental composition of the lunar surface: Analysis of gamma ray spectroscopy data from Lunar Prospector. Journal of Geophysical Research 111(E12). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005je002656.

Pringle EA and Moynier F (2017) Rubidium isotopic composition of the Earth, meteorites, and the Moon: Evidence for the origin of volatile loss during planetary accretion. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 473: 62–70.

Pringle EA, Moynier F, Savage PS, Badro J, and Barrat J-A (2014) Silicon isotopes in angrites and volatile loss in planetesimals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111(48): 17029–17032.

Prytulak J, Brett A, Webb M, Plank T, Rehkämper M, Savage PS, and Woodhead J (2017) Thallium elemental behavior and stable isotope fractionation during magmatic processes. *Chemical Geology* 448: 71–83.

Qin L, Alexander CMOD, Carlson RW, Horan MF, and Yokoyama T (2010) Contributors to chromium isotope variation of meteorites. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 74(3): 1122–1145.

Raedeke LD and McCallum IS (1980) A Comparison of Fractionation Trends in the Lunar Crust and the Stillwater Complex. In: Papike JJ and Merrill RB (eds.) Proceedings of the Conference on Lunar Highlands Crust, pp. 133–153. Pergamon Press.

Rai N and van Westrenen W (2014) Lunar core formation: New constraints from metal—silicate partitioning of siderophile elements. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 388: 343–352. Rammensee W and Wänke H (1977) On the partition coefficient of tungsten between metal and silicate and its bearing on the origin of the Moon. In: *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings*, vol. 8, pp. 399–409.

Rammensee W, Palme H, and Wanke H (1983) Experimental investigation of metal-silicate partitioning of some lithophile elements (Ta, Mn, V, Cr). In: Lunar and Planetary Science XIV, Abstract, vol. 14, pp. 628–629.

Rasmussen KL and Warren PH (1985) Megaregolith thickness, heat flow, and the bulk composition of the Moon. Nature 313(5998): 121-124.

Render J, Wimpenny J, and Borg L (2023) Gallium isotopic constraints for the origin of the Earth-Moon system. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 611: 118146.

Renggli CJ, King PL, Henley RW, and Norman MD (2017) Volcanic gas composition, metal dispersion and deposition during explosive volcanic eruptions on the Moon. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 206: 296–311.

Reufer A, Meier MMM, Benz W, and Wieler R (2012) A hit-and-run giant impact scenario. Icarus 221: 296–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.07.021.

Righter K (2002) Does the Moon have a metallic core?: Constraints from giant impact modeling and siderophile elements. *Icarus* 158(1): 1–13.

Righter K (2019) Volatile element depletion of the Moon—The roles of precursors, post-impact disk dynamics, and core formation. Science Advances 5(1): eaau7658.

Righter K and Drake MJ (1996) Evidence for a deep terrestrial magma ocean: Prediction of siderophile element metal/silicate partition coefficients at P, T, fO2 and metallic and silicate met composition. *Lunar and Planetary Science* 27: 1075.

Righter K, Danielson LR, Pando KM, Shofner GA, Sutton SR, Newville M, and Lee C-T (2016) Valence and metal/silicate partitioning of Mo: Implications for conditions of Earth accretion and core formation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 437: 89–100.

Righter K, Go BM, Pando KA, Danielson L, Ross DK, Rahman Z, and Keller LP (2017) Phase equilibria of a low S and C lunar core: Implications for an early lunar dynamo and physical state of the current core. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 463: 323–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.02.003.

Righter K, Pando K, Marin N, Ross DK, Righter M, Danielson L, Lapen TJ, and Lee C (2018) Volatile element signatures in the mantles of Earth, Moon, and Mars: Core formation fingerprints from Bi, Cd, Inand Sn. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 53(2): 284–305.

Ringwood AE (1970) Special papers—Apollo 11 symposium: Petrogenesis of Apollo 11 basalts and implications for lunar origin. Journal of Geophysical Research 75(32): 6453–6479. Ringwood AE (1972) Some comparative aspects of lunar origin. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 6: 366–376.

Ringwood AE (1977) Basaltic magmatism and the bulk composition of the Moon: I. Major and heat-producing elements. The Moon 16: 389-423.

Ringwood AE (1979) Origin of the Earth and Moon. Springer-Verlag.

Ringwood AE and Hibberson W (1991) Solubilities of mantle oxides in molten iron at high pressures and temperatures: Implications for the composition and formation of Earth's core. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 102(3–4): 235–251.

Ringwood AE and Kesson SE (1977) Basaltic magmatism and the bulk composition of the Moon. II - Siderophile and volatile elements in Moon, Earth and Chondrites: Implications for lunar origin. *The Moon* 16: 425–464. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00577902. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF00577902.

Ringwood AE and Seifert S (1986) Nickel-cobalt abundance systematics and their bearing on lunar origin. In: Origin of the Moon, p. 249.

Ringwood AE, Seifert S, and Wanke H (1987) A komatiite component in Apollo 16 highland breccias: Implications for the nickel-cobalt systematics and bulk composition of the Moon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 81: 105–117.

Ringwood AE, Kato T, Hibberson W, and Ware N (1991) Partitioning of Cr, V, and Mn between mantles and cores of differentiated planetesimals: Implications for giant impact hypothesis of lunar origin. *Icarus* 89(1): 122–128.

Robie RA and Hemingway BS (1995) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15 K and 1 bar (10⁵ Pascals) pressure and at higher temperatures, vol. 2131. US Government Printing Office.

Roskosz M, Amet Q, Fitoussi C, Dauphas N, Bourdon B, Tissandier L, Hu MY, Said A, Alatas A, and Alp EE (2020) Redox and structural controls on tin isotopic fractionations among magmas. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 268: 42–55.

Rubie DC, Frost DJ, Mann U, Asahara Y, Nimmo F, Tsuno K, Kegler P, Holzheid A, and Palme H (2011) Heterogeneous accretion, composition and core-mantle differentiation of the Earth. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 301(1–2): 31–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.11.030.

Rubie DC, Jacobson SA, Morbidelli A, O'Brien DP, Young ED, de Vries J, Nimmo F, Palme H, and Frost DJ (2015) Accretion and differentiation of the terrestrial planets with implications for the compositions of early-formed Solar System bodies and accretion of water. *Icarus* 248: 89–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.10.015.

Rudge JF, Kleine T, and Bourdon B (2010) Broad bounds on Earth's accretion and core formation constrained by geochemical models. Nature Geoscience 3(6): 439-443.

Rufu R and Canup RM (2020) Tidal evolution of the evection resonance/quasi-resonance and the angular momentum of the Earth-Moon System. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 125(8). https://doi.org/10.1029/2019je006312.

Rufu R, Aharonson O, and Perets HB (2017) A multiple-impact origin for the Moon. Nature Geoscience 10: 89–94. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2866. https://www.nature.com/ articles/ngeo2866.pdf.

Ruiz-Bonilla S, Borrow J, Eke VR, Kegerreis JA, Massey RJ, Sandnes TD, and Teodoro LFA (2022) Dealing with density discontinuities in planetary SPH simulations. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 512(3): 4660–4668. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac857.

Ruskol EL (1960) Origin of the Moon. I. Soviet Astronomy AJ 4: 657-668.

Russell CT, Coleman PJ Jr, and Goldstein BE (1982) Measurements of the lunar induced magnetic moment in the geomagnetic tail-Evidence for a lunar core. In: *Lunar and Planetary* Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 12, pp. 831–836. Russell CT, Raymond CA, Coradini A, McSween HY, Zuber MT, Nathues A, De Sanctis MC, Jaumann R, Konopliv AS, Preusker F, et al. (2012) Dawn at Vesta: Testing the protoplanetary paradigm. *Science* 336(6082): 684–686.

Ruzicka A, Snyder GA, and Taylor LA (2001) Comparative geochemistry of basalts from the Moon, Earth, HED asteroid, and Mars: Implications for the origin of the Moon. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 65(6): 979–997.

Ryder G and Wood JA (1977) Serenitatis and Imbrium impact melts-Implications for large-scale layering in the lunar crust. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 8, pp. 655–668.

Saal AE and Hauri EH (2021) Large sulfur isotope fractionation in lunar volcanic glasses reveals the magmatic differentiation and degassing of the Moon. Science Advances 7(9): eabe4641.

Saal AE, Hauri EH, Langmuir CH, and Perfit MR (2002) Vapour undersaturation in primitive mid-ocean-ridge basalt and the volatile content of Earth's upper mantle. *Nature* 419(6906): 451–455.

Saal AE, Hauri EH, Cascio ML, Van Orman JA, Rutherford MC, and Cooper RF (2008) Volatile content of lunar volcanic glasses and the presence of water in the Moon's interior. *Nature* 454(7201): 192–195.

Saal AE, Hauri EH, Van Orman JA, and Rutherford MJ (2013) Hydrogen isotopes in lunar volcanic glasses and melt inclusions reveal a carbonaceous chondrite heritage. *Science* 340 (6138): 1317–1320. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1235142. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23661641.

Safronov VS (1969) Evolution of the Protoplanetary Cloud and Formation of the Earth and Planets. Israel Program for Scientific Translations.

Sakamaki T, Ohtani E, Urakawa S, Suzuki A, Katayama Y, and Zhao D (2010) Density of high-Ti basalt magma at high pressure and origin of heterogeneities in the lunar mantle. *Earth* and Planetary Science Letters 299(3): 285–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.09.007.

Salmon J and Canup RM (2012) Lunar accretion from a Roche-interior fluid disk. *The Astrophysical Journal* 760(1): 83–101. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637x/760/1/83. Samuel H, Drilleau M, Rivoldini A, Xu Z, Huang Q, Garcia RF, Lekić V, Irving JCE, Badro J, Lognonné PH, et al. (2023) Geophysical evidence for an enriched molten silicate layer above Mars's core. *Nature* 622(7984): 712–717. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06601-8.

Sato M (1976) Oxygen fugacity and other thermochemical parameters of Apollo 17 high-Ti basalts and their implications on the reduction mechanism. Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings 2: 1323–1344.

Savage PS, Georg RB, Armytage RMG, Williams HM, and Halliday AN (2010) Silicon isotope homogeneity in the mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 295(1–2): 139–146. Schiller M, Bizzarro M, and Fernandes VA (2018) Isotopic evolution of the protoplanetary disk and the building blocks of Earth and the Moon. *Nature* 555: 507–510.

Schmidt MW and Kraettli G (2022) Experimental crystallization of the lunar magma ocean, initial selenotherm and density stratification, and implications for crust formation, overturn and the bulk silicate Moon composition. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 127(5): e2022JE007187.

Schmitt W, Palme H, and Wänke H (1989) Experimental determination of metal/silicate partition coefficients for P, Co, Ni, Cu, Ga, Ge, Mo, and W and some implications for the early evolution of the Earth. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53(1): 173–185.

Scott ERD and Wasson JT (1975) Classification and properties of iron meteorites. Reviews of Geophysics 13(4): 527–546. https://doi.org/10.1029/RG013i004p00527.

Sedaghatpour F, Teng F-Z, Liu Y, Sears DWG, and Taylor LA (2013) Magnesium isotopic composition of the Moon. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 120: 1–16.

Seifert S and Ringwood AE (1988) The lunar geochemistry of chromium and vanadium. *Earth, Moon, and Planets* 40(1): 45–70.

Shahar A, Ziegler K, Young ED, Ricolleau A, Schauble EA, and Fei Y (2009) Experimentally determined Si isotope fractionation between silicate and Fe metal and implications for Earth's core formation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 288(1–2): 228–234.

Sharp M, Righter K, and Walker RJ (2015) Estimation of trace element concentrations in the lunar magma ocean using mineral-and metal-silicate melt partition coefficients. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 50(4): 733–758.

Shearer CK and Papike JJ (1993) Basaltic magmatism on the Moon: A perspective from volcanic picritic glass beads. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 57(19): 4785–4812. Shearer CK, Elardo SM, Petro NE, Borg LE, and McCubbin FM (2015) Origin of the lunar highlands Mg-suite: An integrated petrology, geochemistry, chronology, and remote sensing perspective. *American Mineralogist* 100(1): 294–325.

Shervais JW and McGee JJ (1999) KREEP cumulates in the western lunar highlands: Ion and electron microprobe study of alkali-suite anorthosites and norites from Apollo 12 and 14. American Mineraloaist 84(5–6): 806–820.

Shimizu H, Matsushima M, Takahashi F, Shibuya H, and Tsunakawa H (2013) Constraint on the lunar core size from electromagnetic sounding based on magnetic field observations by an orbiting satellite. *Icarus* 222(1): 32–43.

Siebert J, Corgne A, and Ryerson FJ (2011) Systematics of metal-silicate partitioning for many siderophile elements applied to Earth's core formation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 75(6): 1451–1489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2010.12.013.

Siebert J, Badro J, Antonangeli D, and Ryerson FJ (2013) Terrestrial accretion under oxidizing conditions. *Science* 339(6124): 1194–1197. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1227923. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23306436.

Siebert J, Sossi PA, Blanchard I, Mahan B, Badro J, and Moynier F (2018) Chondritic Mn/Na ratio and limited post-nebular volatile loss of the Earth. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 485: 130–139.

Siegler M, Warren P, Franco KL, Paige D, Feng J, and White M (2022) Lunar heat flow: Global predictions and reduced heat flux. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 127(9): e2022JE007182.

Simon JI and DePaolo DJ (2010) Stable calcium isotopic composition of meteorites and rocky planets. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 289(3-4): 457-466.

Smith DE, Zuber MT, Neumann GA, Lemoine FG, Mazarico E, Torrence MH, McGarry JF, Rowlands DD, Head JW III, Duxbury TH, et al. (2010) Initial observations from the lunar orbiter laser altimeter (LOLA). Geophysical Research Letters 37(18).

Snape JF, Nemchin AA, Grange ML, Bellucci JJ, Thiessen F, and Whitehouse MJ (2016) Phosphate ages in Apollo 14 breccias: Resolving multiple impact events with high precision U-Pb SIMS analyses. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 174: 13–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.11.005.

Snape JF, Nemchin AA, Whitehouse MJ, Merle RE, Hopkinson T, and Anand M (2019) The timing of basaltic volcanism at the Apollo landing sites. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 266: 29–53.

Snape JF, Nemchin AA, Johnson T, Luginbühl S, Berndt J, Klemme S, Morrissey LJ, and van Westrenen W (2022) Experimental constraints on the long-lived radiogenic isotope evolution of the Moon. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 326: 119–148.

Snyder GA, Taylor LA, and Neal CR (1992) A chemical model for generating the sources of mare basalts: Combined equilibrium and fractional crystallization of the lunar magmasphere. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 56(10): 3809–3823.

Snyder GA, Borg LE, Nyquist LE, and Taylor LA (2000) Chronology and isotopic constraints on lunar evolution. Origin of the Earth and Moon 30: 361.

Sonett CP (1982) Electromagnetic induction in the Moon. Reviews of Geophysics 20(3): 411-455.

Sossi PA and Fegley B Jr (2018) Thermodynamics of element volatility and its application to planetary processes. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 84(1): 393–459.

Sossi PA and Moynier F (2017) Chemical and isotopic kinship of iron in the Earth and Moon deduced from the lunar Mg-Suite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 471: 125–135. Sossi PA and O'Neill HSC (2016) Liquidus temperatures of komatilites and the effect of cooling rate on element partitioning between olivine and komatilitic melt. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 171: 1–25.

Sossi PA, Moynier F, and van Zuilen K (2018) Volatile loss following cooling and accretion of the Moon revealed by chromium isotopes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115(43): 10920–10925. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809060115. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30297398.

Sossi PA, Klemme S, O'Neill HSC, Berndt J, and Moynier F (2019) Evaporation of moderately volatile elements from silicate melts: Experiments and theory. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 260: 204–231.

Sossi PA, Moynier F, Treilles R, Mokhtari M, Wang X, and Siebert J (2020) An experimentally-determined general formalism for evaporation and isotope fractionation of Cu and Zn from silicate melts between 1300 and 1500 C and 1 bar. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 288: 316–340.

Speziale S, Duffy TS, and Angel RJ (2004) Single-crystal elasticity of fayalite to 12 GPa. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 109(B12). https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2004JB003162.

- Steenstra ES, Rai N, Knibbe JS, Lin YH, and Van Westrenen W (2016) New geochemical models of core formation in the Moon from metal–silicate partitioning of 15 siderophile elements. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 441: 1–9.
- Steenstra ES, Lin Y, Rai N, Jansen M, and van Westrenen W (2017) Carbon as the dominant light element in the lunar core. American Mineralogist 102(1): 92–97.

Steenstra ES, Seegers AX, Eising J, Tomassen BGJ, Webers FPF, Berndt J, Klemme S, Matveev S, and van Westrenen W (2018) Evidence for a sulfur-undersaturated lunar interior from the solubility of sulfur in lunar melts and sulfide-silicate partitioning of siderophile elements. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 231: 130–156.

Steenstra ES, Berndt J, Klemme S, Fei Y, and Van Westrenen W (2020) A possible high-temperature origin of the Moon and its geochemical consequences. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 538: 116222.

Stevenson DJ (1987) Origin of the Moon - The collision hypothesis. Annual Review of Planetary Science 1987: 271-315.

Stixrude L and Lithgow-Bertelloni C (2005) Thermodynamics of mantle minerals-I. Physical properties. Geophysical Journal International 162: 610-632.

Su X, Zhang Y, Liu Y, and Holder RM (2023) Outgassing and in-gassing of Na, K and Cu in lunar 74220 orange glass beads. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 602: 117924. Sun L and Lucey PG (2024) Lunar mantle composition and timing of overturn indicated by Mg# and mineralogy distributions across the South Pole-Aitken basin. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 643: 118931.

Sun Z, Xiong X, Wang J, Liu X, Li L, Ruan M, Zhang L, and Takahashi E (2020) Sulfur abundance and heterogeneity in the MORB mantle estimated by copper partitioning and sulfur solubility modelling. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 538: 116169.

Tan Y and Harada Y (2021) Tidal constraints on the low-viscosity zone of the Moon. Icarus 365: 114361.

Tang H and Young ED (2020) Evaporation from the lunar Magma Ocean was not the mechanism for fractionation of the Moon's moderately volatile elements. *The Planetary Science Journal* 1(2): 49.

Tartèse R, Anand M, Gattacceca J, Joy KH, Mortimer JI, Pernet-Fisher JF, Russell S, Snape JF, and Weiss BP (2019) Constraining the evolutionary history of the Moon and the inner solar system: A case for new returned lunar samples. *Space Science Reviews* 215(8): 54.

- Tartèse R, Sossi PA, and Moynier F (2021) Conditions and extent of volatile loss from the Moon during formation of the Procellarum basin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118(12): e2023023118.
- Tatsumoto M (1970) Age of the Moon: An isotopic study of U-Th-Pb systematics of Apollo 11 lunar samples-II. In: Levinson AA (ed.). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta Supplement, Volume 1. Proceedings of the Apollo 11 Lunar Science Conference held 5–8 January, 1970 in Houston, TX. Volume 2: Chemical and Isotope Analyses, p. 1595. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Taylor SR (1982) Planetary Science: A Lunar Perspective. Lunar and Planetary Science Institute.

Taylor SR (1999) The Moon. In: Encyclopedia of the Solar System, pp. 247-275. Academic Press.

Taylor SR and Bence AE (1975) Evolution of the lunar highland crust. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 6, pp. 1121–1141.

Taylor GJ and Wieczorek MA (2014) Lunar bulk chemical composition: A post-Gravity Recovery and Interior Laboratory reassessment. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 372(2024): 20130242.

Taylor DJ, McKeegan KD, and Harrison TM (2009) Lu-Hf zircon evidence for rapid lunar differentiation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 279(3–4): 157–164. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.030.

Tera F and Wasserburg GJ (1976) Lunar ball games and other sports. Lunar and Planetary Science Conference 7: 858-860.

Tera F, Eugster O, Burnett DS, and Wasserburg GJ (1970) Comparative study of Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs, Ca, Sr and Ba abundances in achondrites and in Apollo 11 lunar samples. In: Levinson AA (ed.). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta Supplement, Volume 1. Proceedings of the Apollo 11 Lunar Science Conference held 5–8 January, 1970 in Houston, TX. Volume 2: Chemical and Isotope Analyses, p. 1637. New York: Pergamon Press.

Tera F, Papanastassiou DA, and Wasserburg GJ (1974) Isotopic evidence for a terminal lunar cataclysm. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 22: 1-21.

- Terasaki H, Rivoldini A, Shimoyama Y, Nishida K, Urakawa S, Maki M, Kurokawa F, Takubo Y, Shibazaki Y, Sakamaki T, Machida A, Higo Y, Uesugi K, Takeuchi A, Watanuki T, and Kondo T (2019) Pressure and composition effects on sound velocity and density of core-forming liquids: Implication to core compositions of terrestrial planets. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 124(8): 2272–2293. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JE005936. https://aupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JE005936.
- Thibault Y and Water MJ (1995) The influence of pressure and temperature on the metal-silicate partition coefficients of nickel and cobalt in a model C1 chondrite and implications for metal segregation in a deep magma ocean. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 59(5): 991–1002.
- Thiemens MM, Sprung P, Fonseca ROC, Leitzke FP, and Münker C (2019) Early Moon formation inferred from hafnium-tungsten systematics. *Nature Geoscience* 12(9): 696–700. Thiemens MM, Tusch J, Fonseca ROC, Leitzke F, Fischer-Gódde M, Debaille V, Sprung P, and Münker C (2021) Reply to: No 182W evidence for early Moon formation. *Nature Geoscience* 14(10): 716–718. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00821-1.

Thompson C and Stevenson DJ (1988) Gravitational instability in two-phase disks and the origin of the Moon. Astrophysical Journal 333: 452–481. https://doi.org/10.1086/166760. Tian Z and Wisdom J (2020) Vertical angular momentum constraint on lunar formation and orbital history. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117: 15460–15464. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003496117. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32571906.

Tian Z, Wisdom J, and Elkins-Tanton L (2017) Coupled orbital-thermal evolution of the early Earth-Moon system with a fast-spinning Earth. *Icarus* 281: 90–102. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.08.030.

Toksöz MN, Dainty AM, Solomon SC, and Anderson KR (1974) Structure of the Moon. Reviews of Geophysics 12(4): 539-567.

Torcivia MA and Neal CR (2022) Unraveling the components within Apollo 16 ferroan anorthosite suite cataclastic anorthosite sample 60025: Implications for the lunar magma ocean model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 127(2): e2020JE006799.

Touboul M, Puchtel IS, and Walker RJ (2015) Tungsten isotopic evidence for disproportional late accretion to the Earth and Moon. *Nature* 520(7548): 530–533. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature14355. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25855299.

Touma J and Wisdom J (1998) Resonances in the Early evolution of the Earth-Moon system. *The Astronomical Journal* 115(4): 1653–1663. https://doi.org/10.1086/300312. Treiman AH and Semprich J (2023) A dunite fragment in meteorite Northwest Africa (NWA) 11421: A piece of the Moon's mantle. *American Mineralogist* 108(12): 2182–2192. Trinquier A, Elliott T, Ulfbeck D, Coath C, Krot AN, and Bizzarro M (2009) Origin of nucleosynthetic isotope heterogeneity in the solar protoplanetary disk. *Science* 324(5925): 374–376. Urakawa S, Kato M, and Kumazawa M (1987) Experimental study on the phase relations in the system Fe-Ni-O-S up to 15 GPa. *High-Pressure Research in Mineral Physics: A Volume in Honor of Syun-iti Akimoto* 39: 95–111.

Urey HC (1947) The thermodynamic properties of isotopic substances. Journal of the Chemical Society (Resumed): 562-581.

Valdes MC, Moreira M, Foriel J, and Moynier F (2014) The nature of Earth's building blocks as revealed by calcium isotopes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 394: 135–145. van Kan Parker M, Sanloup C, Sator N, Guillot B, Tronche EJ, Perrillat J-P, Mezouar M, Rai N, and van Westrenen W (2012) Neutral buoyancy of titanium-rich melts in the deep lunar interior. *Nature Geoscience* 5: 186–189. https://doi.org/10.1038/NGE01402.

Villa IM, De Bièvre P, Holden NE, and Renne PR (2015) IUPAC-IUGS recommendation on the half life of 87Rb. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 164: 382-385.

Visscher C and Fegley B (2013) Chemistry of impact-generated silicate melt-vapor debris disks. The Astrophysical Journal 767(1): L12. https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/767/1/112.

Vockenhuber C, Oberli F, Bichler M, Ahmad I, Quitté G, Meier M, Halliday AN, Lee D-C, Kutschera W, Steier P, Gehrke RJ, and Helmer RG (2004) New half-life measurement of ¹⁸²Hf: Improved chronometer for the early solar system. *Physical Review Letters* 93: 172501. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.172501.

Wada K, Kokubo E, and Makino J (2006) High-resolution simulations of a Moon-forming impact and postimpact evolution. *The Astrophysical Journal* 638: 1180–1186. Wade J and Wood BJ (2005) Core formation and the oxidation state of the Earth. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 236(1–2): 78–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.05.017. Wai CM and Wasson JT (1979) Nebular condensation of Ga, Ge and Sb and the chemical classification of iron meteorites. *Nature* 282(5741): 790–793.

Walker D, Norby L, and Jones JH (1993) Superheating effects on metal-silicate partitioning of siderophile elements. Science 262(5141): 1858–1861.

Walker RJ, Bermingham K, Liu J, Puchtel IS, Touboul M, and Worsham EA (2015) In search of late-stage planetary building blocks. *Chemical Geology* 411: 125–142. Walsh KJ, Morbidelli A, Raymond SN, O'Brien DP, and Mandell AM (2011) The low mass of Mars: First evidence of early gas-driven migration. *Nature* 475: 206–209. Walterová M, Béhounková M, and Efroimsky M (2023) Is there a semi-molten layer at the base of the lunar mantle? *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 128(7): e2022JE007652.

Wang Z and Becker H (2013) Ratios of S, Se and Te in the silicate Earth require a volatile-rich late veneer. Nature 499(7458): 328-331.

Wang K and Jacobsen SB (2016) Potassium isotopic evidence for a high-energy giant impact origin of the Moon. Nature 538: 487-490.

Wang X, Fitoussi C, Bourdon B, Fegley B Jr, and Charnoz S (2019) Tin isotopes indicative of liquid-vapour equilibration and separation in the Moon-forming disk. *Nature Geoscience* 12(9): 707–711.

Wang S-J, Li S-J, Lin Y, and Sheng S-Z (2023) Mass-dependent nickel isotopic variations in achondrites and lunar rocks. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 350: 16–27.
Wänke H and Dreibus G (1982) Chemical and isotopic evidence for the early history of the Earth-Moon system. In: *Tidal Friction and the Earth's Rotation II: Proceedings of a Workshop Held at the Centre for Interdisciplinary Research (ZiP) of the University of Bielefeld. September 28–October 3, 1981, pp. 322–344.*

Wanke H and Dreibus G (1986) Geochemical evidence for the formation of the Moon by impact-induced fission of the proto-Earth. In: Origin of the Moon, p. 649.

Wänke H, Baddenhausen H, Dreibus G, Jagoutz E, Kruse H, Palme H, Spettel B, and Teschke F (1973) Multielement analyses of Apollo 15, 16, and 17 samples and the bulk composition of the Moon. In: *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings*, vol. 4, p. 1461.

Wänke H, Baddenhausen H, Blum K, Cendales M, Dreibus G, Hofmeister H, Kruse H, Jagoutz E, Palme C, Spettel B, et al. (1977) On the chemistry of lunar samples and achondritesprimary matter in the lunar highlands: A re-evaluation. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 8, pp. 2191–2213.

Ward WR, Canup RM, and Rufu R (2020) Analytical model for the tidal evolution of the evection resonance and the timing of resonance escape. Journal of Geophysical Research 125: e2019JE006266. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JE006266.

Warren PH (2005) "New" lunar meteorites: Implications for composition of the global lunar surface, lunar crust, and the bulk Moon. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 40(3): 477–506. Warren PH (2011) Stable-isotopic anomalies and the accretionary assemblage of the Earth and Mars: A subordinate role for carbonaceous chondrites. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 311(1–2): 93–100.

Warren PH and Wasson JT (1977) Pristine nonmare rocks and the nature of the lunar crust. In: Lunar Science Conference, 8th, Houston, TX, March 14–18, 1977, Proceedings. Volume 2 (A78-41551 18-91), vol. 8, pp. 2215–2235. New York: Pergamon Press, Inc.

Wasson JT and Kallemeyn GW (1988) Compositions of chondrites. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 325 (1587): 535–544.

Wasson JT, Boynton WV, Kallemeyn GW, Sundberg LL, and Wai CM (1976) Volatile compounds released during lunar lava fountaining. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings, vol. 7, pp. 1583–1595.

Weber RC, Lin P-Y, Garnero EJ, Williams Q, and Lognonné P (2011) Seismic detection of the lunar core. Science 331(6015): 309–312.

Weidenschilling SJ (1977) The distribution of mass in the planetary system and solar nebula. Astrophysics and Space Science 51: 153-158.

Weiss BP and Tikoo SM (2014) The lunar dynamo. Science 346(6214): 1246753. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246753.

Weiss BP, Bai X-N, and Fu RR (2021) History of the solar nebula from meteorite paleomagnetism. Science Advances 7(1): eaba5967.

Wetherill GW (1986) Accumulation of the terrestrial planets and implications concerning lunar origin. In: Origin of the Moon, pp. 519-550.

Wetzel DT, Rutherford MJ, Jacobsen SD, Hauri EH, and Saal AE (2013) Degassing of reduced carbon from planetary basalts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110(20): 8010–8013. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219266110.

Wiechert U, Halliday AN, Lee D-C, Snyder GA, Taylor LA, and Rumble D (2001) Oxygen isotopes and the Moon-forming giant impact. Science 294(5541): 345–348.

Wieczorek MA and Phillips RJ (2000) The "Procellarum KREEP Terrane": Implications for mare volcanism and lunar evolution. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 105(E8): 20417–20430.

Wieczorek MA, Jolliff BL, Khan A, Pritchard ME, Weiss BP, Williams JG, Hood LL, Righter K, Neal CR, Shearer CK, et al. (2006) The constitution and structure of the lunar interior. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 60(1): 221–364.

Wieczorek MA, Neumann GA, Nimmo F, Kiefer WS, Taylor GJ, Melosh HJ, Phillips RJ, Solomon SC, Andrews-Hanna Jeffrey C, Asmar SW, Konopliv AS, Lemoine FG, Smith DE, Watkins MM, Williams JG, and Zuber MT (2013) The crust of the Moon as seen by GRAIL. *Science* 339: 671–675.

Williams JG, Boggs DH, Yoder CF, Ratcliff JT, and Dickey JO (2001) Lunar rotational dissipation in solid body and molten core. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 106(E11): 27933–27968.

Williams JG, Turyshev SG, Boggs DH, and Ratcliff JT (2006) Lunar laser ranging science: Gravitational physics and lunar interior and geodesy. Advances in Space Research 37(1): 67–71.

Williams JG, Konopliv AS, Boggs DH, Park RS, Yuan D-N, Lemoine FG, Goossens S, Mazarico E, Nimmo F, Weber RC, Asmar SW, Melosh HJ, Neumann GA, Phillips RJ, Smith DE, Solomon SC, Watkins MM, Wieczorek MA, Andrews-Hanna JC, Head JW, Kiefer WS, Matsuyama I, McGovern PJ, Taylor GJ, and Zuber MT (2014) Lunar interior properties from the GRAIL mission. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 119(7): 1546–1578.

Wimpenny J, Borg L, and Sio CKI (2022) The gallium isotopic composition of the Moon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 578: 117318.

Wing BA and Farquhar J (2015) Sulfur isotope homogeneity of lunar mare basalts. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 170: 266–280.

Wisdom J and Tian Z (2015) Early evolution of the Earth-Moon system with a fast-spinning Earth. *Icarus* 256: 138–146.

Witt-Eickschen G, Palme H, O'neill HSC, and Allen CM (2009) The geochemistry of the volatile trace elements As, Cd, Ga, In and Sn in the Earth's mantle: New evidence from in situ analyses of mantle xenoliths. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73(6): 1755–1778.

Wolf R and Anders E (1980) Moon and Earth: Compositional differences inferred from siderophiles, volatiles, and alkalis in basalts. *Geochemica et Cosmochimica Acta* 44: 2111–2124.

Wolf AS, Jäggi N, Sossi PA, and Bower DJ (2023) VapoRock: Thermodynamics of vaporized silicate melts for modeling volcanic outgassing and magma ocean atmospheres. The Astrophysical Journal 947(2): 64.

Woo JMY, Nesvorný D, Scora J, and Morbidelli A (2024) Terrestrial planet formation from a ring: Long-term simulations accounting for the giant planet instability. *Icarus* 417: 116109. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.icarus.2024.116109.

Wood BJ and Wade J (2013) Activities and volatilities of trace components in silicate melts: A novel use of metal-silicate partitioning data. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 166: 911–921.

Wood JA, Dickey JS Jr, Marvin UB, and Powell BN (1970) Lunar anorthosites and a geophysical model of the Moon. In: Levinson AA (ed.) *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* Supplement. 1. Proceedings of the Apollo 11 Lunar Science Conference held 5–8 January, 1970 in Houston, TX, Volume 1: Mineralogy and Petrology, p. 965. New York: Pergamon Press.

Wood BJ, Kiseeva ES, and Mirolo FJ (2014) Accretion and core formation: The effects of sulfur on metal-silicate partition coefficients. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 145: 248–267.

Wood BJ, Wade J, and Norris CA (2017) MetalAct Website. Springer. https://norrisau.org/expet/metalact/?p=about.

Wood BJ, Smythe DJ, and Harrison T (2019) The condensation temperatures of the elements: A reappraisal. *American Mineralogist: Journal of Earth and Planetary Materials* 104(6): 844–856.

Xiao C, Wu Y, Yan J, Harada Y, Zhang Y, and Li F (2022) Comparison of the effects of different viscoelastic and temperature models on the theoretical tidal response of the Moon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 127(12): e2022JE007215.

- Yamamoto S, Nagaoka H, Ohtake M, Kayama M, Karouji Y, Ishihara Y, and Haruyama J (2023) Lunar mantle composition based on spectral and geologic analysis of low-Ca pyroxeneand olivine-rich rocks exposed on the lunar surface. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 128(5). doi:e2023JE007817.
- YI W, Halliday AN, Alt JC, Lee D-C, Rehkämper M, Garcia MO, Langmuir CH, and Su Y (2000) Cadmium, indium, tin, tellurium, and sulfur in oceanic basalts: Implications for chalcophile element fractionation in the Earth. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 105(B8): 18927–18948.
- Yin Q, Jacobsen SB, Yamashita K, Blichert-Toft J, Telouk P, and Albarede F (2002) A short timescale for terrestrial planet formation from Hf-W chronometry of meteorites. Nature 418: 949–952.

Yobregat E, Fitoussi C, and Bourdon B (2024) Rb-Sr constraints on the age of Moon formation. Icarus 420: 116164.

Yoshioka T, Nakashima D, Nakamura T, Shcheka S, and Keppler H (2019) Carbon solubility in silicate melts in equilibrium with a CO-CO₂ gas phase and graphite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 259: 129–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.06.007.

Youdin AN and Goodman J (2005) Streaming instabilities in protoplanetary disks. The Astrophysical Journal 620(1): 459-469. https://doi.org/10.1086/426895.

- Young ED, Galy A, and Nagahara H (2002) Kinetic and equilibrium mass-dependent isotope fractionation laws in nature and their geochemical and cosmochemical significance. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 66(6): 1095–1104.
- Young ED, Kohl IE, Warren PH, Rubie DC, Jacobson SA, and Morbidelli A (2016) Oxygen isotopic evidence for vigorous mixing during the Moon-forming giant impact. Science 351(6272): 493–496.
- Zambardi T, Poitrasson F, Corgne A, Méheut M, Quitté G, and Anand M (2013) Silicon isotope variations in the inner solar system: Implications for planetary formation, differentiation and composition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 121: 67–83.
- Zhai M, Nakamura E, Shaw DM, and Nakano T (1996) Boron isotope ratios in meteorites and lunar rocks. Geochimica et cosmochimica acta 60(23): 4877-4881.
- Zhang J, Dauphas N, Davis AM, Leya I, and Fedkin A (2012) The proto-Earth as a significant source of lunar material. Nature Geoscience 5(4): 251–255.
- Zhang ZJ, Nie NX, Mendybaev RA, Liu M-C, Hu JJ, Hopp T, Alp EE, Lavina B, Bullock ES, McKeegan KD, et al. (2021) Loss and isotopic fractionation of alkali elements during diffusion-limited evaporation from molten silicate: Theory and experiments. ACS Earth and Space Chemistry 5(4): 755–784.
- Zhao B, Morard G, Boulard E, Boccato S, Siersch NC, Rivoldini A, Guignot N, Henry L, King A, Zurkowski C, et al. (2023) Local structure and density of liquid Fe-C-S alloys at Moon's core conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 128(3): e2022JE007577.
- Zhu F, Lai X, Wang J, Amulele G, Kono Y, Shen G, Jing Z, Manghnani MH, Williams Q, and Chen B (2021) Density of Fe-Ni-C liquids at high pressures and implications for liquid cores of Earth and the Moon. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 126(3): e2020JB021089.
- Zuber MT, Smith DE, Watkins MM, Asmar SW, Konopliv AS, Lemoine FG, Melosh HJ, Neumann GA, Phillips RJ, Solomon SC, et al. (2013) Gravity field of the Moon from the Gravity Recovery and Interior Laboratory (GRAIL) mission. *Science* 339(6120): 668–671.