Heat partitioning in terrestrial planets during core formation by negative diapirism
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A B S T R A C T

We investigate the gravitational heat partitioning between silicate and metallic phases during core formation by negative diapirism in terrestrial planets. We model the dynamic evolution of an iron diapir, sinking through a solid silicate proto-mantle. Our calculations include viscous heating and strong temperature and composition-dependence of viscosity. We show that the diapir mean temperature evolves towards an asymptotic value $T_{\infty}$, which depends on the efficiency of viscous heating and heat transfer between the diapir and its surroundings, and on the viscous rheology. We derived a simple analytic model that captures the thermal evolution observed in our numerical experiments. This model can be applied to determine the heat distribution within terrestrial planets with a large number of diapirs, during their growth and early differentiation. We show that the partitioning of core formation heating during negative diapirism depends strongly on the size distribution of the iron diapirs. A large number of small sinking iron diapirs favors metal–silicate heat exchange and leads to a relatively hot core, which allows a sustainable dynamo, as on Earth. A small number of large diapirs have the opposite effect and could correspond to a Mars-like planet with an early dynamo that is no longer active. In all cases, negative diapirism tends to leave the lowermost mantle significantly hotter than its upper part, which could trigger large amounts of melting in the early deep mantle.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Core formation is the first major differentiation event that determines the initial conditions from which the Earth and other terrestrial planets have evolved. The separation of metal and silicate in terrestrial planets is likely to generate $\sim 10^{29}$ to $10^{31}$ J of heat for Mars- to Earth-like planets by conversion of potential into thermal energy via viscous heating (Rubie et al., 2007; Solomon, 1979). This represents a temperature increase of few hundreds to few thousands of Kelvin depending on its partitioning.

While estimating the total amount of gravitational heating in a planet is relatively straightforward, determining its partitioning between the silicate and metallic part is not a trivial task and depends on the segregation mechanism. Negative diapirism is one plausible core formation scenario in which metal diapirs sink through a lighter proto-mantle (Karato and Murthy, 1997; Rubie et al., 2007; Stevenson, 1981; Tonks and Melosh, 1992). Recently, the dynamics of core formation scenarios have been studied by means of numerical experiments (Golabek et al., 2008; Monteux et al., 2009; Ricard et al., 2009; Samuel and Tackley, 2008), and laboratory experiments (Olson and Weeraratne, 2008). However, the question of gravitational/viscous heat partitioning for sinking diapirs with a strong thermally and compositionally dependent rheology has so far not been addressed. Consequently, studies that have investigated the thermal evolution and differentiation of growing terrestrial planets by negative diapirism have assumed an arbitrary heat partitioning between the sinking diapirs and their surroundings (Sasaki and Nakazawa, 1986; Senshu et al., 2002).

We thus investigate dynamically the heat partitioning between metal and silicate during core formation by negative diapirism using numerical modeling. We then derive general scaling laws for the time evolution of heat distribution between the iron diapirs and the silicate material and use them to determine the heat distribution within terrestrial planets during their growth and early differentiation.

2. Dynamical and thermal evolution

We first study numerically the heat partitioning between a metal diapir sinking through a silicate mantle by monitoring its thermal evolution. We subsequently propose simpler models that describe the thermal evolution we observe in our numerical experiments.

2.1. Numerical experiments

The governing equations and the numerical setup are identical to Samuel and Tackley, (2008) and will only be briefly summarized here. Using a finite volume code (Tackley, 2008) in spherical axisymmetric...
geometry, we solve for the conservation of mass, momentum, energy and composition, under the extended Boussinesq approximation and in the limit of infinite Prandtl number. The model assumes constant gravity g. Density varies as ρ = ρ_0 (1 − α T ΔT) + CΔρ, where ρ_0 is the reference silicates density, α is the thermal expansion, T the dimensionless potential excess temperature, ΔT a characteristic temperature scale, Δρ is the metal to silicate density contrast, and C is the composition that ranges from 0 (pure silicate) to 1 (pure metal). Viscosity varies according to η(T, C) = τ_0 exp(− CP T)/[1 + C (10^3 − 1)]. This law accounts for a sharp compositional viscosity decrease of three orders of magnitude in the iron material, which is large enough to consider η_rock ≪ η_silicates. The parameter γ represents the sensitivity of viscosity to temperature. Apart from density and viscosity, all the physical parameters have no dependence in C. Since we consider cases where compositional density contrasts largely dominate over the thermal density contrasts (ρ ≈ ρ_0 + CΔρ), the system evolves towards a steady state solution for both temperature and flow. The diapir reaches a terminal sinking velocity V_s, as long as it remains far from the closed boundaries (Samuel and Tackley, 2008).

By non-dimensionalizing the governing equations using the diapir’s radius r_d for distance, ρ_0 for density, τ_0 for viscosity, ΔT for temperature, and V_t for velocity, conservation of the energy is written:

\[ \frac{dT'}{dt'} + \mathbf{U}' \cdot \nabla T' = \frac{1}{P} \nabla^2 T' + \Gamma_v (\Phi' - T' U'_t), \]

(1)

where t is time, \( \mathbf{U} = (U_t, U_0) \) is the velocity vector and \( \Phi' \) is the viscous dissipation function. Primes denote dimensionless variables. The last two terms on the right hand side of Eq. (1) are respectively the production of heat due to the conversion of gravitational potential energy into thermal energy, and the contribution of adiabatic heating/cooling. The later is negligible compared to viscous heating. The first dimensionless number in Eq. (1) is a diapir thermal Péclet number:

\[ P_e = \frac{V_t r_d \tau_0 C_p}{k}, \]

(2)

where \( k \) the thermal conductivity and \( C_p \) the specific heat at constant pressure. As \( P_e \) increases, diffusive heat transfer between the diapir and its surrounding becomes less efficient. The second number expresses the efficiency of viscous heating:

\[ \Pi_v = \frac{V_t \tau_0}{r_d \Delta T \tau_0 C_p}. \]

(3)

As \( \Pi_v \) increases, the temperature increase due to viscous heating becomes more important.

The dimensionless numbers \( P_e, \Pi_v \) and the rheological parameter γ represent the governing parameters of our study. Therefore, in what follows we will express our results as a function of these parameters.

Several physical parameters entering in \( P_e \) and \( \Pi_v \) are known with a relatively small uncertainty. However, the diapir radius \( r_d \) and the silicate rock viscosity \( \eta \) can vary by several orders of magnitude. Therefore, the plausible range for \( P_e \) and \( \Pi_v \) values is large. Assuming that diapirs sink at Stokes velocity in the creeping flow regime \( V_c = \Delta \rho g \rho_0 g / \eta \) in a solid proto-mantle, one can calculate \( P_e \) and \( \Pi_v \) for a plausible range of values of \( r_d \) and \( \eta \). In that case using Eqs. (2) and (3), and assuming \( \rho_0 - \Delta \rho = 4000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}, P_e = \Delta \rho_0 C_p g \rho_0 g / (\eta k) \) and \( \Pi_v = r_d \Delta \rho / (\Delta T \rho_0) \).

We consider the viscosity range \( \eta = [10^{13} - 10^{22}] \text{ Pa s} \), in which the minimum value is the upper bound value corresponding to a partially molten proto-mantle (Karato and Murthy, 1997). The plausible range for \( r_d \) depends on the size distribution of accreting planetesimals and/or on the thickness of a surface magma ocean, for which significant uncertainties remain. We therefore consider \( r_d = [10^4 - 10^5] \text{ m} \), a range similar to that proposed by Karato and Murthy (1997).

Using \( C_p = 1200 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}, \Delta T = 1000 \text{ K} \) the resulting ranges of variation for \( P_e \) and \( \Pi_v \) are shown in Fig. 1a and b, respectively. \( \Pi_v \) varies in the range [0.01–1] while the range for \( P_e \) is [10^{−3}–10^{13}].

Our numerical experiments covered the ranges \( P_e = [10^{−2}–10^4] \) and \( \Pi_v = [0.01–10] \). Solving numerically the governing equations with very high values for \( P_e \) (>10^6) is computationally prohibitive, as it requires higher resolution and smaller time steps. However, as we shall see later, in the limit of high \( P_e \), diffusive heat transfer is negligible along sinking distances comparable to, or greater than, the radius of an Earth-sized planet.

The uncertainty in γ can also be large as the sensitivity of viscosity with temperature depends for instance on pressure, or on composition in major elements and minor elements (e.g., water), and can range from 10^5 to 10^12 (Karato and Wu, 1993). Our numerical experiments cover γ values ranging from 1 to 10^6. Very large values of γ (>10^6) produce very sharp and large gradients in stresses and cause numerical difficulties.

Fig. 2 displays the nearly steady state dimensionless temperature field for a case with \( P_e = 0.9, \Pi_v = 1 \) and γ = 1. As observed in (Samuel and Tackley, 2008), viscous heating is mainly located at the diapir’s “poles” in the silicate part and rapidly decreases away from the diapir. Part of this energy diffuses into the diapir while the remaining heat forms a halo around the diapir and a tail behind it. Fig. 3a displays the evolution of the diapir mean temperature \( T_d \) as a function of its sinking distance \( d \). The solid lines correspond to numerical experiments only differing in the initial temperature of the sinking diapir \( T_{0_d} \). The diapir temperature increases (black curve) or decreases (red and blue curves) with time, depending on \( T_{0_d} \) but always converges towards a specific value \( T_{s_m} \). For a sinking distance
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\(d'\gg 6\) the temperature configuration for these three experiments is close or identical to that shown in Fig. 2.

We also observed this asymptotic behavior for cases with temperature dependent viscosity. The solid curves in Fig. 3b show the evolution of diapir mean temperature for two numerical experiments with \(P_e = 0.4\), \(\Pi_v = 1.2\) and \(\gamma = 10^3\). Both curves converge toward the asymptotic value \(T_{\infty}\) and the temperature field (not shown) is qualitatively similar to that displayed in Fig. 2.

We conducted various additional experiments with different values for \(P_e\), \(\Pi_v\) and \(\gamma\) and we systematically find that after a sufficiently long time \(T_d\) evolves towards \(T_{\infty}\). Therefore determining this asymptotic value is key to predicting the heat partitioning between a sinking metal diapir and its surroundings. Stress dependent rheology would affect the value of \(V_v\) and therefore \(P_e\), \(\Pi_v\) and \(T_{\infty}\). However, the general behavior should remain similar to what we observed since even in that case the diapir reaches a terminal sinking velocity (Samuel and Tackley, 2008).

### 2.2. Semi-analytic model for determining \(T_{\infty}\)

To better characterize the thermal evolution of sinking diapirs, we use the model developed in (Samuel and Tackley, 2008), whose simplifying assumptions are: (i) adiabatic heating and thermal density differences are negligible, (ii) the diapir remains spherical and its viscosity is small compared to that of the surroundings, (iii) velocities are approximated by the analytic solution of Hadamard (1911) and (Rybczynski, 1911) (see also (Batchelor, 1967)), in spherical axisymmetric coordinates \([r, \theta]\) centered on the diapir. This is reasonable given the relatively low diapir Reynolds numbers considered in our experiments. Under these assumptions, the remaining equation to solve is conservation of energy, which simplifies to:

\[
\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + \mathbf{U}' \cdot \nabla T' = \frac{1}{P_e} \nu^2 T' + \Pi_v \phi_v. \tag{4}
\]

An additional advantage in using the semi-analytic model, is that it allows one to consider much larger values of \(\gamma\) than what can be achieved with the full numerical experiments. We tested the ability of the semi-analytic model (i.e., Eq. (4) solved by finite differences) to capture the essential physics and to reproduce the numerical experiments by performing runs with the same parameters as those used in the numerical experiments. The results displayed in Fig. 3a–b show that the semi-analytic model reproduces well the numerical experiments, even for temperature dependent viscosity. Additional comparisons were performed for various \(P_e = [10^{-1} -10^4]\), \(\Pi_v = [0.01-10]\) and \(\gamma = [1-10^6]\) and we found a good agreement between the semi-analytic model and the numerical experiments. This shows that the assumptions made for the semi-analytic model are reasonable. As mentioned in the previous section, very high \(\gamma\) values (>10^6) could not be considered for the numerical experiments. Therefore, the comparison between numerical experiments and semi-analytical model could not be performed for the range \(\gamma = [10^{-7} -10^{-3}]\).

However, as shown in (Samuel and Tackley, 2008), for \(P_e > 1\), the low viscosity zone due to the temperature increase is confined to a thin layer around the diapir and its influence on the diapir sinking velocity and the whole dynamics is very weakly influenced by higher values of \(\gamma\). Higher values of \(\gamma\) would tend to lead to larger sinking velocities and therefore larger values of \(P_e\) and \(\Pi_v\). Nevertheless, the whole dynamics should remain the same. Therefore, we are confident that the agreement between the numerical experiments and the semi-analytical model would remain good for greater values of \(\gamma\).

The relative simplicity of the semi-analytic model allows for a more intensive exploration of the parameter space \(P_e\), \(\Pi_v\) and \(\gamma\) at a more affordable computational cost. In addition, by solving Eq. (4) to steady state we can determine \(T_{\infty}(P_e, \Pi_v, \gamma)\):

\[
\mathbf{U}' \cdot \nabla T'_{\infty} = \frac{1}{P_e} \nu^2 T'_{\infty} + \Pi_v \phi_v. \tag{5}
\]

Unfortunately, the temperature dependence of viscosity introduces a non-linearity in the viscous heating term in Eq. (5), which is difficult to treat analytically. Instead, we solve numerically for Eq. (5) using a fast direct solver (superLU, (Demmel et al., 1999)) on a finite difference grid. As time stepping is no longer involved in Eq. (5), the computational expenses are greatly reduced. This enables us to calculate \(T_{\infty}\) for broad ranges of variations for \(P_e = [10^{-3} -10^4]\), \(\Pi_v = [0.01-10]\) and \(\gamma = [1-10^6]\).

---

**Fig. 2.** Results from the numerical experiments. Dimensionless potential excess temperature (close up view). The gray contour delineates the surface of the sinking diapir.

**Fig. 3.** Time evolution of the diapir average dimensionless potential excess temperature. (a) Comparison between three numerical and semi-analytical experiments. The green arrow indicates the asymptotic value \(T_{\infty}\) calculated by solving Eq. (4) in the permanent regime. Each experiment has the following model parameters \(P_e = 0.9\), \(\Pi_v = 1\), \(\gamma = 1\) and differ only by the initial temperature of the sinking diapir \(T_{d0} = (0, 2, 4)\). The green arrow indicates the asymptotic value of the diapir’s mean temperature \(T_{d0}\) calculated by solving Eq. (5). (b) Same as in (a) for a different set of experiments. \((T_{d0} = 0\) and \(T_{d0} = 1\), with \(P_e = 0.4\), \(\Pi_v = 1.2\), and \(\gamma = 10^3\).
[10^{-3} \text{–} 10^6]$, and for $\gamma = [10^2 \text{–} 10^{13}]$. For this explored range of parameters, we express $T_{\text{dm}}$ as the sum of two contributions:

$$
T_{\text{dm}} = a_0(P_e, \gamma) + a_1(\Pi_e, \gamma),
$$

where $a_0(P_e, \gamma) = 0.13/[0.043(1 + 0.52\ln(\gamma)) + P_e^{-0.5}]$ and $a_1(\Pi_e, \gamma) = 910^{-3}\ln(\Pi_e/(1 – \gamma^{-0.01}))$. Similar to (Samuel and Becercvic, 2006) the empirical functions $a_0(P_e, \gamma)$ and $a_1(\Pi_e, \gamma)$ were determined by collapsing successively the curves $T_{\text{dm}}$ calculated as a function of $P_e$, $\Pi_e$, and $\gamma$ into the empirical expression (6), following the approach described in (Ribe, 1996). Comparisons between the approximate values of $T_{\text{dm}}$ obtained using Eq. (6), and the solutions of Eq. (5) are displayed in Fig. 4 for various values of $P_e$, $\Pi_e$, and $\gamma$. Eq. (6) has an uncertainty of less than 10% and neglects significantly low values of $T_{\text{dm}}$ (i.e., $<0.09$).

2.3. Analytic model for the diapir thermal evolution

Despite its relative simplicity, the semi-analytical diapir sinking model remains computationally expensive due to the time dependence. This prohibits the use of Eq. (4) for investigating core formation heat partitioning with thousands or millions of sinking diapirs. Therefore, in this section we further simplify the semi-analytical diapir sinking model to an analytic expression. As sketched in Fig. 5, the thermal state of the sinking diapir of volume $V_\text{d} = 4/3\pi d^3$ is now represented only by its mean temperature $T_\text{d}$. The diapir exchanges heat with an intermediate shell of constant volume $V_f$ and of homogeneous temperature $T_i$ where viscous heating occurs. The intermediate region is surrounded by an outer shell of homogeneous potential temperature $T_o = 0$ where viscous heating is negligible. Heat exchange between these different regions occurs through boundary layers formed in the silicate proto-mantle. As for the numerical experiments and the semi-analytical model, the physical parameters for the diapir and the surrounding proto-mantle are identical, except for viscosity, which is assumed to be negligible in the diapir.

The conservation of energy for the sinking diapir (neglecting viscous heating within the diapir due to its very low viscosity) is:

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{4\pi r_d^2}{3} C_p (\rho_0 + \Delta \rho_i) T_d \right) = -4\pi r_d^2 k (T_d - T_i)
$$

with $\delta = \sqrt{2} g r_d / (\rho_0 C_p V_\text{d})$ the thickness of the thermal boundary layer across which heat diffusion occurs.

The conservation of energy for the intermediate region is:

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \frac{4\pi r^2}{3} C_p \rho_0 T \right) = F_i + V_d \phi_v.
$$

$$
F_i = \frac{\pi}{3} \int \left( \frac{\Delta \rho_i}{\rho_0} \right) T \rho_0 d V_i
$$

$$
V_d = \frac{4\pi}{3} r_d^3
$$

$$
\phi_v = \frac{\pi}{3} \int \left( \frac{\Delta \rho_i}{\rho_0} \right) V_i d V_i
$$

2.3.1. Analytic solution

The analytic solution of the energy conservation equations for the diapir and intermediate region is found by using Eq. (6) for $T_{\text{dm}}$ and Eq. (8) for $F_i$. The solution is given by:

$$
T_i = \frac{C_i}{1 + 2C_i} (T_d + \Delta T_i),
$$

where $C_i = 6k/((\rho_0 C_p V_\text{d}))$ and $\Delta T_i$ is the average temperature increase in the intermediate region due to viscous heating. With our Lagrangian frame of reference located at the center of the sinking diapir, the material present in the intermediate shell region, in contact with the sinking diapir, is continuously replaced by new material that has not been previously heated (i.e., with a temperature $T_o$). Therefore, the local increase of temperature in the intermediate region due to viscous heating is bounded, and we assume that $\Delta T_i$ is constant with time. We express $\Delta T_i$ by assuming that along a sinking distance $2r_o$, all the gravitational energy released: $\Delta E_d = V_\text{d} \Delta \rho_i g 2r_o$, is converted into heat in the intermediate region, $\Delta E_i = V_\text{d} \rho_0 C_p \Delta T_i$. This is consistent with conservation of energy and yields an expression for the average temperature increase due to viscous heating in the intermediate shell:

$$
\Delta T_i = \frac{2 \Delta \rho_i g r_o}{\rho_0 C_p}.
$$

Substituting Eq. (9) into Eq. (7) and integrating yields, upon non-dimensionalization by the scales used in section 2.1:

$$
\Gamma_d = \Gamma_{\text{dm}} + (T_{\text{dm}} - T_d) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{P_e} t \right),
$$

where $P_e$ is a modified Péclet number:

$$
P_e = \frac{\sqrt{2} (\rho_0 + \Delta \rho _i) 1 + 2C_i}{4\rho_0} \left[ \frac{1}{T_d} \right]^{1/2}.
$$
Note that for core formation in terrestrial planets, \( \rho_0 \sim \Delta \rho_c \) and therefore \( P_e \sim \Pi_{1/2} \) for any value of \( C_i \). Similarly to \( \Pi_{1} \), the second dimensionless number expresses the efficiency of viscous heating.

\[
\Pi'_{1} = \frac{1}{\Delta T} \frac{1}{1 + C_i} .
\]

\( \Pi'_{1} \) also corresponds to \( T_{\text{ave}} \), as \( \lim_{t \to T_\infty} T_{\text{ave}} = \Pi'_{1} \), and can therefore be determined using Eq. (6).

All the parameters in Eqs. (11), (12) and (13) are known, with the exception of \( f \), which is needed to determine \( P_e \) accurately. With the knowledge of \( T_{\text{ave}} \), and using Eqs. (10), (11) and (13) \( f = \Delta T_\infty / \Delta T_{\text{ave}} \). Note that the simplifying assumptions we made for the analytic model (e.g., averaging spatially varying quantities such as \( T_i, T_\infty \)) lead to the appearance of scaling constants. These constants do not appear explicitly in the analytical model, but are contained in the parameter \( f \), which is adjusted using the semi-analytical model (i.e., \( T_{\text{ave}} \)), the solution of Eq. (5).

We successfully tested the ability of Eq. (11) to reproduce the thermal evolution of the semi-analytical model (Eq. (4)) for various values of \( P_e \), of \( \Pi_{1} \), and \( \gamma \). For instance, Fig. 6a displays the time evolution of the average diapir temperature for the semi-analytical model (solid curves) and the analytic model (dashed curves), for \( P_e = 10, \Pi_{1} = 100 \) and \( \gamma = 1000 \). Two different initial diapir temperatures are considered \( T_{\infty 0} = 0 \) (black curves) and \( T_{\infty 0} = 4 \) (red curves). In both cases, the agreement between semi-analytical and analytic models is good. We carried similar successful comparisons between the analytic model and the numerical experiments (e.g., for \( \Delta T_{\infty 0} \), see Fig. 6b), which show that Eq. (11) captures the thermal evolution of a sinking diapir.

![Fig. 6.](image)

**Fig. 6.** (a) Comparison between the semi-analytic and analytic models. Time evolution of the diapir average dimensionless potential excess temperature for two experiments with identical parameters, but with two different initial temperatures \( T_{\infty 0} \). The green arrow indicates the asymptotic value \( T_{\infty 0} \) calculated by solving Eq. (5). (b) same as (a), for a different set of model parameters.

3. Application to core formation on Mars and the Earth

Here we illustrate a possible application of the scaling laws we derived to determine the total gravitational heat partitioning due to core formation first in a Mars-sized planet (i.e., with a planet radius \( R_p = 3500 \) km and a final core radius of 1600 km), then in an Earth-sized planet (i.e., with a planet radius \( R_p = 6400 \) km and a final core radius of 3500 km). For simplicity, we restrict our example to a crudely simplified scenario: The undifferentiated planet has an initial potential temperature profile set to \( T_\infty = 1300 \) K with a surface thermal boundary layer. Initially \( n_{\infty 0} \) iron diapirs of equal size \( (T_{\infty 0}) \), in thermal equilibrium with the silicate rocks are randomly located in the upper 1000 km of the planet (Fig. 7a). Each diapir is represented by its location \( (\theta_i, \phi_i, \phi_d) \) and its radius \( r_d \). Their total volume corresponds to the present-day Mars’ core. The only heat source we consider is gravitational heating and the only mechanism of heat transfer we consider is advection (via the sinking of the diapirs) and thermal diffusion between each diapir and their surrounding silicate mantle. Gravity is a function of the radial location \( r_p \), as \( g = (4/3)\pi G \rho_\infty r_p \) with \( \rho_\infty \) the average density of the planet, and \( G \) the gravitational constant. Similar to Senshu et al. (2002), each diapir sinks at the Stokes velocity \( V_s(\rho_\infty, \theta_i, \phi_d) \) of a frictionless sphere (Hadamard, 1911; Rybczynski, 1911). The viscosity used to calculate \( V_s(\rho_\infty, \theta_i, \phi_d) \) depends on temperature as: \( \eta = \eta_0 \exp \left[-\ln(\gamma)(T(\theta_i, \phi_d) - T_\infty)\right] \), with \( \eta_0 = 10^{10} \) Pa s and \( \gamma = 10^{10} \). Other model parameters are: \( \rho_0 = \Delta \rho_c = 4000 \) kg m\(^{-3}\), \( k = 3 \) W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\), \( C_c = 1200 \) J kg\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\), \( \Delta T_{\infty} = 1000 \) K.

The planet radius is discretized into 200 to 1000 points with a spacing \( \Delta r \) and we consider a time step that satisfies a Courant criteria (i.e., \( \Delta r \ll \min(\Delta \theta, \Delta \phi) \) over the whole domain and for all diapirs). At every time iteration each diapir position is updated. We assume diapir merging when the distance between two diapirs is smaller than the diameter of the larger one. Upon merging, the position of the newly formed diapir is determined by simple weighted average and its thermal energy is the sum of the merging diapirs. We follow the thermal evolution of the planet until complete core formation is achieved (Fig. 7b). We find that 99% of the core is formed within a few millions of years or less depending on the initial size of the iron diapirs, which is consistent with geochemical considerations (Kleine et al., 2004a,b).

Given the choice of physical parameters, the initial diapirs’ Pécellet number range from \( 10^3 \) to \( 10^7 \) depending on the value of \( n_{\infty 0} \) (see Fig. 1b). However as they sink, each diapir’s Pécellet number may change, due to changes in viscosity and to diapir merging, and may exceed \( 10^6 \) (see Fig. 1b), for which Eq. (6) may not give accurate results. However, one can show that in that case diffusive heat transfer is so inefficient that the diapir temperature remains essentially constant until the end of core formation. This can be seen by estimating the sinking distance \( d_s \),
necessary for a diapir (with zero initial temperature) to reach a fraction $\beta$ of its asymptotic value $T_{\text{asym}}$. Using Eq. (11): $d_i = -r_d P_e^2 \ln(1 - \beta)$. Then using $P_e = P_e^{2/3}$ (see section 2.3 and Eq. (12)), one finds:

$$d_i = -r_d P_e^{1/2} \ln(1 - \beta). \quad (14)$$

Using Eq. (14) with a relatively small value for $\beta$, say 0.1, the dimensional sinking distance $d_i$ necessary for a diapir to reach $\beta = 10\%$ of its asymptotic temperature $T_{\text{asym}}$ is $d_i = 0.19 r_e P_e^{2/3}$. Therefore, for $P_e > 10^6$, $d_i > 10 r_e$. Given the values of $n_{\text{ao}}$ considered, the initial value of $r_e$ is $10^7$ to $10^8$ km and tends to increase with time due to diapir merging and the decrease of viscosity with increasing temperature. This corresponds to $d_i > (1 - 10) R_p$. Therefore, we can reasonably consider that diapirs with $P_e > 10^6$ have essentially a constant temperature. In other words, the thermal energy produced by viscous heating around diapirs with $P_e > 10^6$ remains in the silicate proto-mantle.

Although gravity varies with depth we use Eq. (11) that assumes constant gravitational acceleration $g$. However, the CFL criteria we consider implies that Eq. (11) is used over small time intervals $\Delta t$ (or small sinking distances) during which the assumption that $g$ is constant remains reasonable.

### 3.1. Influence of the treatment of heat partitioning

Previous models of core formation via negative diapirism in terrestrial planets have assumed that heat partitioning between the sinking diapirs and their surroundings was fixed to a constant value (Senshu et al., 2002). In order to test the validity of this hypothesis we assume that the amount of gravitational heat that is transferred to each diapir, $P_{fl}$, is either fixed to an arbitrary value: 0%, 50% and 100%, or is determined using Eq. (11) (dotted blue curve). Fig. 8a displays the potential temperature profiles of the planet after complete core formation with $n_{\text{ao}} = 10^4$.

The treatment of gravitational heat partitioning drastically affects the early thermal profile of the planet. Only the fixed $P_{fl} = 25\%$ curve compares well with the more realistic dotted blue profile (determined using Eq. (11)). However, as illustrated in the next section, this value can be strongly influenced by parameters such as the size and the size distribution of the metal diapirs, or the accretional history of the growing planet (Kokubo and Ida, 1996). Therefore, assuming a constant and arbitrary value for $P_{fl}$ most likely leads to incorrect predictions for the thermal state of a young planet.

### 3.2. Influence of the initial size of iron diapir on core formation heat partitioning

We now use exclusively our analytical model (Eq. (11)) to determine the core formation heat partitioning for a Mars-sized planet, and we varied $n_{\text{ao}}$ between 1000 and 6109 (corresponding $r_{\text{ao}}$ = 20–160 km). Fig. 8b illustrates the influence of $r_{\text{ao}}$ (or $n_{\text{ao}}$) on the core-mantle partitioning of the heat released during core formation. This partitioning can be represented by $P_{fl}$, the ratio of the total gravitational energy released during core formation to the total increase of thermal energy in the silicate mantle. For high values of $n_{\text{ao}}$ (i.e., small initial size of iron diapirs $r_{\text{ao}}$) $P_{fl}$ decreases. This is mainly due to the fact that as the diapir size increases their individual $P_e$ (or $P_{fi}$) increases. Consequently, larger diapirs do not have time to thermally equilibrate with the surrounding silicate material where viscous heating takes place (see section 1 and Eq. (14)). Larger $r_{\text{ao}}$ values (smaller initial diapir sizes) lead to lower Péclet numbers allowing for thermal equilibration between the diapirs and the silicate mantle (Fig. 8b). Note that even diapirs with modest viscous heat production (low $P_{he}$) can transfer significant amounts of heat to the core by traveling through hotter silicate regions (left for instance by previous diapirs).

We iterate similar experiments, but for a larger, Earth-sized planet (with a planet radius $R_p = 6400$ km and a final core radius of 3500 km). The trend for the dependence of heat partitioning $P_{fl}$ as a function of the initial diapir size remains similar to what was shown for Mars-sized cases in Fig. 8b. However, we find that $P_{fl}$ values are significantly higher. Indeed, for an Earth-sized planet, the diapirs’ Péclet numbers $P_e$ are larger due to the higher gravity and the more frequent occurrence of diapir merging. Thus, even if the sinking distance of the diapir is extended and viscous heating is more important, the heat transfer between the sinking diapir and the surrounding hot proto-mantle is even more reduced. This results in an even hotter lowermost mantle than we observe for a Mars-sized planet.

### 4. Discussion

Our results have strong implications for our understanding of the initial stages and the long term thermo-chemical evolution of terrestrial planets. Indeed, as suggested by Fig. 8a–b large diapir size will leave the planet’s metallic core relatively cold. This would tend to prevent a sustainable planetary dynamo, as in the case of Mars (Acuña et al., 1998). Conversely, small diapir sizes would lead to a hotter core and a colder mantle. This core super heat would then favor the presence of a sustainable dynamo, as on Earth. In addition, core formation would leave the bottom of the silicate mantle significantly hotter than its upper part (Fig. 8a), which could generate a basal magma ocean (Labrosse et al., 2007).

Clearly, these questions need to be addressed systematically, in a more realistic setting than our simple differentiation model by including internal heating, radiation, convection, or impact heating, (Monteux et al., 2007; Sasaki and Nakazawa, 1986; Senshu et al., 2002), or a more
realistic size distribution and timing for generation of the metal diapirs based for instance on N-body simulations, (Nimmo and Agnor, 2006). In particular, impact heating certainly plays an essential role in the early thermal state of a young planet and initial core super heat. As pointed out by Ricard et al., (2009) the amount of energy delivered by impact heating is comparable to that provided by core formation in an Earth-sized planet. As a consequence, iron diapirs with high $P_\text{f}$ numbers would tend to deliver to the core relatively small amounts of viscous heating but relatively large amounts of impact heating, and vice-versa. For these reasons, the values of heat partitioning mentioned in this section are certainly not definitive. Given the substantial uncertainties tied to these processes, a systematic approach is highly desirable for future research. However, the results displayed in Fig. 8a–b clearly demonstrate that gravitational heat partitioning during core formation has a first order impact on the initial thermal state of a terrestrial planet. Furthermore, it cannot be treated in any arbitrary fashion and we recommend the use of Eq. (11), which can be straightforwardly incorporated into thermo-chemical evolution models of growing terrestrial planets (Sasaki and Nakazawa, 1986; Senshu et al., 2002).

5. Conclusions

We performed numerical experiments to investigate the gravitational heat partitioning between metal diapirs sinking through a lighter proto-mantle, with a rheology that strongly depends on temperature and composition. All our experiments show an asymptotic behavior of the diapir mean temperature towards $T_\text{eq}$ that we determined empirically. This value is independent of the initial temperature of the diapir and depends on the diapir Péclet Number $\Pi_\text{pe}$, on the importance of viscous heating $\Pi_\text{vis}$, and on the rheology.

We developed a simple analytical model for the thermal evolution of sinking metal diapirs that closely reproduces our numerical results. We have applied these scaling laws in a simplified core formation model to determine the core formation heat partitioning in Mars and Earth sized planets.

We show that the partitioning of core formation heating during negative diapirism depends strongly on the size distribution of the iron diapirs. A large number of small sinking iron diapirs favors metal-silicate heat exchange and leads to a relatively hot core, which allows a sustainable dynamo, as on Earth. A small number of large diapirs has the opposite effect and could correspond to a Mars-like planet with an early dynamo that is no longer active.

In all cases, negative diapirism tends to leave the lowermost mantle significantly hotter than its upper part, which could trigger large amounts of melting in the early deep mantle.

While we show that gravitational heat partitioning during core formation cannot be treated in any arbitrary fashion, our analytical model can easily be incorporated in thermo-chemical evolution models of growing terrestrial planets.
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